FoodSmartphone





Smartphone analyzers for on-site testing of food quality and safety

H2020-MSCA-ITN-2016

Joint Training Manual

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 720325

| Revision history | | | | |
|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Version | Date | Modified by | Comments | |
| V0.1 | 12 November 2019 | Ms Ciara Sarsfield (QUB) | First Draft of D7.4 for Consortium Approval | |
| V0.2 | 4 December 2020 | Mrs Joanna Scott (QUB) | Amendments to report due to EU privacy laws as report to be made public | |
| V0.3 | 10 December 2020 | Michel Nielen (WR) | Minor revisions on D7.4 title page and document control page based on new GA contract 07/09/2020 | |
| V0.4 | 14 December 2020 | Michel Nielen (WR) | Cover pages revised for use on public website <u>www.foodsmartphone.eu</u> | |

Joint Training Manual FoodSmartphone 2017-2020

© copyright: the FoodSmartphone consortium 2020. Download permissions: this material may be solely downloaded and used for education purposes and we kindly ask you to acknowledge the FoodSmartphone project. Any other (non-educational) use: please contact the copyright owners via <u>www.wur.nl/en/Contact-Wageningen-University-Research.htm</u>

Table of Contents

| 1 | Preface | 5 |
|---|--|-----|
| 2 | Summer school on smartphone-based assay development | 6 |
| 3 | Summer school on food applications, QA/QC and validation | 333 |
| 4 | Summer school on software design and exploitation | 582 |
| 5 | Final event: workshop smarttech for food | 686 |

Preface

A paradigm shift in food quality and safety testing is required in order to free resources for an intensified combat against fraud in the food chain. As an enabling technology solution to the problem, the FoodSmartphone project proposes the development of smartphone-based (bio)analytical sensing and diagnostic tools, for simplified on-site pre-screening of quality and safety parameters and wireless data transfer to servers of relevant stakeholders. The consortium has been built upon highly complementary disciplines: (bio)analytical chemists, biologists, physicists, micro/nano-engineers, mathematicians, organic- and food chemists work together on the joint supra-disciplinary goal. The scientific training in novel smartphone-based sensing technologies plus the complementary skills training provided, may have a major impact on future EU monitoring practices and, moreover, pave the road for future Citizen Science. More information can be found at <u>www.FoodSmartphone.eu</u>.

The FoodSmartphone project is a European Training Network and offered the early-stage researchers an extensive programme of both mandatory and optional network-wide training events and intersectoral secondments. This Joint Training Manual provides a compilation of the presentations given at the four mandatory network-wide training events:

- N1 was the summer school on Smartphone-based Assay Development & Open Science hosted by Wageningen Food Safety Research (formerly known as RIKILT) in Wageningen (NL),
- N2 was the summer school on Food Applications, QA/QC and Validation hosted by the University of Chemical Technology in Prague (CZ),
- N3 was the summer school on Software Design and FoodSmartphone Exploitation hosted by Queen's University Belfast (UK),
- N4 was the final FoodSmartphone network conference, called Workshop on SmartTech for Food, that was hosted by CSIC in Barcelona (E).



1st Summer School on

Smartphone-based Food Analysis

26-30 June 2017 Wageningen, The Netherlands





CONTENTS

Smartphone-based Food Analysis

26-30 June 2017

Contents Programme List of Participants

Monday 26 June

- 1 Setting the scene 1: EU monitoring practices
- 2 Setting the scene 2: the RASFF
- 3 Validation and benchmarking of screening assays
- 4 Foodsmartphone concepts
- 5 Introduction to hot paper studies

Tuesday 27 June

- 6 Biorecognition
- 7 Ligand binding assays
- 8 How to speed-up binding assays
- 9 Communication workshop

Wednesday 28 June

- 10 Surface Chemistry
- 11 Membranes and microsieves
- 12 Electrochemical detection
- 13 Optical detection
- **14** Microfluidics

Thursday 29 June

- 15 CAD, 3D printing
- 16 Image data handling
- **17** Commercial smartphone assays

Friday 30 June

- 18 Smartphone-based NIR scanners
- **19** Mobile Microscopy, Sensing & Diagnostics through Computational Photonics

Dr Leen van Ginkel Dr Hans Marvin Dr Bjorn Berendsen Prof Michel Nielen Prof Michel Nielen

Dr Monique Bremer Dr Monique Bremer Dr Monique Bremer Bos Matchworks BV

Prof Han Zuilhof Prof Cees van Rijn Dr Louis de Smet Prof Michel Nielen Prof Daniel Filippini

Prof Daniel Filippini Dr Jeroen Jansen Mr. R. Niemijer

Dr Yannick Weesepoel Prof Aydogan Ozcan

Course: Smartphone-based Food Analysis (SFA)

Programme of the 1st edition, 2017



Week 26: 26-30 June, 2017 H2020 Marie-Curie project FoodSmartphone in co-operation with Graduate School VLAG Course Director: Prof. Michel W.F. Nielen Course organizer: Mrs. Chantal Doeswijk (Graduate School VLAG) Co-organizer: Mr. Wim Beek (H2020 FoodSmartphone project) Course venue: RIKILT, Room 0016/0017, Building #123 (Vitae) Wageningen Campus (Akkermaalsbos 2)

| Monday, 26 J | June 2017 | | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|---|-----------------------------|--|
| 10:30 | Registration with coffee / tea | | | | |
| 11:15-11:30 | Welcome, short introduction to | the programme | Prof. Michel Nielen (RIKILT, WU) | | |
| 11:30-12:30 | Setting the scene 1: EU monitor | pring practices | Dr. Leen van Ginkel (RIKILT) | | |
| 12:30-13:30 | Lunch (Orion building #103)) | | | | |
| 13:30-13:45 | Group photo outside Orion | | | | |
| 13:45-14:45 | Setting the scene 2: the RASF | F | Dr. Hans Marvin (RIKILT) | | |
| 14:45-15:45 | Validation and benchmarking c | of screening assays | Dr. Bjorn Berendsen (RIKILT) | | |
| 15:45-16:15 | Coffee, tea & refreshments | | | | |
| 16:15-17:15 | Foodsmartphone concepts | | Prof. Michel Nielen (RIKILT, WU) | | |
| 17:15-18:00 | Introduction to hot paper studie | es | Prof. Michel Nielen (RIKILT, WU) | | |
| 19:00-21:00 | Course Dinner Colors World | Food | Markt 15, 6701 CX Wageningen | | |
| | | | | | |
| Tuesday, 27 | June 2017 | | I | | |
| 08:30-09:00 | Coffee & tea | | | | |
| 09:00-10:00 | Biorecognition | | Dr. Monique Bremer | (RIKILT) | |
| 10:00-11:00 | Ligand binding assays | | Dr. Monique Bremer (RIKILT) | | |
| 11:00-11:30 | Coffee & tea | | | | |
| 11:30-12:30 | How to speed-up binding assa | ys | Dr. Monique Bremer | (RIKILT) | |
| 12:30-13:45 | Lunch (Orion building # 103) | Γ | | | |
| 13:45-15:45 | Hands-on labwork 1-15, | Comm. workshop (16-30, | ing. Jeroen Peters | Marcella Bos & | |
| | Forum (P703, building #102) | RIKILT building) | (RIKILT) | Hanneke van Marle | |
| | | | | (Bos Matchworks BV) | |
| 15:45-16:15 | Coffee, tea & refreshments | 1 | | | |
| 16:15-17:15 | Hands-on labwork | Comm. workshop | ing. Jeroen Peters | Marcella Bos & | |
| | (continued) | (continued) | (RIKILT) | Hanneke van Marle | |
| 47.45.40.00 | | | | (Bos Matchworks BV) | |
| 17:15-18:00 | Happy hour debates (RIKILT b | uilding) | Prof. Michel Nielen (RIKILT, WU) | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 28 June 2017 | | | | |
| 08:30-09:00 | | | Duef Hen Zuille of (O | | |
| 09:00-10:00 | Surface Chemistry | | Prof. Han Zulinof (Organic Chemistry, WU) | | |
| 11:00 11:00 | Coffee & tee | | Prof. Cees van Rijn | (Aquamarijn micronitration) | |
| 11:20 12:20 | | | Dr. Leuie de Emet ((| Pracesia Chamiater (W/LI) | |
| 12:20 12:30 | | | | | |
| 12.30-13.40 | Hot paper debates | | Drof Michael Nielen (DU/U T W/U) | | |
| 13.45-14.45 | Hot paper debates | | | | |
| 14.40-10.40 | Coffoo too & refreehmente | Optical detection | | KIKIL(WU) | |
| 10.40-10.10 | Microfluidice | | Dref Deniel Filippini (Linkining Linipposity, OF) | | |
| 17:15 10:00 | | | | | |
| 17.10-16.00 | ESPs business mosting (ESP | | Prof. witcher Nielen (| | |
| 10.15-21:30 | ESRs business meeting (ESRs only) | | Dr. Arjen Gerssen (KIKILI) | | |

| Thursday, 29 | June 2017 | | | | |
|---------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| 08:30-09:00 | Coffee & tea | | | | |
| 09:00-10:00 | CAD, 3D printing | | Prof. Daniel Filippini (Linköping University, SE) | | |
| 10:00-11:00 | Image data handling | | Dr. Jeroen Jansen (Radboud University) | | |
| 11:00-11:30 | Coffee & tea | | | | |
| 11:30-12:30 | Commercial smartphone assay | /S | Mr. R. Niemeijer (R-Biopharm AG) | | |
| 12:30-13:45 | Lunch (Orion building) | | | | |
| 13:45-15:45 | Hands-on labwork (15-28, | Comm. workshop (1-14, | ing. Jeroen Peters | Marcella Bos & | |
| | Forum (P703, building #102) | RIKILT building) | (RIKILT) | Hanneke van Marle | |
| | | | | (Bos Matchworks BV) | |
| 15:45-16:15 | Coffee, tea & refreshments | | | | |
| 16:15-17:15 | Hands-on labwork | Comm. workshop | ing. Jeroen Peters | Marcella Bos & | |
| | (continued) | (continued) | (RIKILT) | Hanneke van Marle | |
| | | | | (Bos Matchworks BV) | |
| 17:15-18:00 | Happy hour presentations 4-7 | | Prof. Michel Nielen (RIKILT, WU) | | |
| | | | | | |
| Friday, 30 Ju | ne 2017 | | | | |
| 08:30-09:00 | Coffee & tea | | | | |
| 09:00-10:00 | Smartphone-based NIR scanners | | Dr. Yannick Weesepoel (RIKILT) | | |
| 10:00-11:00 | Mobile Microscopy, Sensing ar | nd Diagnostics through | Prof. Aydogan Ozca | n (UCLA, Los Angeles, USA) | |
| | Computational Photonics | | | | |
| 11:00-11:30 | Coffee & tea, meet the expert | | | | |
| 11.30-11.45 | Course certificates | | | | |
| 11:45-12:45 | Farewell Lunch (Orion building) | | | | |
| 12:45-16:15 | ESRs e-newsletter (ESRs only) | | Mr. Wim Beek (RIKILT) | | |











| The lègal bas | ficial Journal | L 95 |] | |
|----------------|--|--------------------------------|---|--|
| rupa an Sam | Legislation register an regis | Notice 40 1 April 2011 | | |
| | $\overline{(1-)} = \log 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \log 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \log 1 \otimes 1 \otimes$ | d algo chur ann ga an ga an tu | | |

| The legal basis for monitoring | |
|--|--|
| Official Journal L 95 of the European Union | |
| Regulation (EU) 2017/625 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 March 2017 on official controls and other official activities performed to ensure the application of food and feed law, rules on animal health and welfare, plant health and plant protection products, | |
| | |



















DES was a mainstay of the livestock industry, too. It was used in animal feed to fatten cattle, lamb, and chicken. A large percentage of the hamburgers, veal, poultry, and steaks on our dinner plates in the 1950s, '60s, and '70s, were DES-fed

toxic bodies hormone disruptors and the legacy of DES














































































Conclusion and outlook

- Current monitoring programs for residues and contaminants are based on incidents and crises that occurred in the previous century and have resulted in a high level of consumer protection.
- Future developments will have to deal with the relationship that exists between food security and food safety at a global level.
- Fast and simple on-site techniques will have to supplement advanced in-lab techniques in order to fulfil future demands of consumers and (local) producers for a first quick assessment.
- Smartphone-based analyses potentially can make an important contribution.

5



1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017

Monitoring: Rapid Alert for Food and Feed (RASFF)

Hans Marvin¹

As part of the General Food Law (178/2002/EC), the Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF) was established. RASFF provides a knowledge and the technological platform for the EU-28 national food safety authorities, the European Commission, European Food Safety Authority, Norway, Liechtenstein, Iceland and Switzerland. The objective of RASFF is to provide food and feed control authorities with an effective tool to exchange information about measures taken responding to serious risks detected in relation to food or feed. Four types of notifications are reported in RASFF: 1) alerts (serious health risk, rapid action is required), 2) information (a risk identified, no rapid action needed by other members), 3) border rejection (consignments tested and rejected at the external borders of the EU), 4) news (any other relevant information). The European Commission is requirement, scope and classification. Furthermore, the European Commission is responsible for the communication with non-EU countries when a product is a subject to a notification and the product has been exported or has been imported from that country.

All notifications are publically available and can be found in the RASFF portal: (https://webgate.ec.europa.eu/rasff-window/portal/?event=SearchForm&cleanSearch=1).

Till date (May 2017), more than 47,000 notifications can be found in the RASFF portal. This data source provides a wealth of data that has been used in many scientific studies.

Suggestions for further reading:

- [1] The Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed, 2015 annual report. European Commission (https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/safety/docs/rasff_annual_report_2015.pdf)
- [2] Kleter, G.A., Prandini, A., Filippi, L., and Marvin, H.J.P.(2009) Identification of potentially emerging food safety issues by analysis of reports published by the EU Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF) during a four-year period. Food Chem. Toxicol. 47, 932-950. DOI:10.1016/j.fct.2007.12.022
- [3] Petróczi, A., Taylor, G., Nepusz, T., Naughton D.P (2010) Gate keepers of EU food safety: Four states lead on notification patterns and effectiveness. Food and Chem. Toxicol.48, 1957– 1964. Doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2010.04.043

¹ E-mail; hans.marvin@wur.nl; Wageningen University & Research, RIKILT, Bu Toxicology Novel Foods and Agrochains, Wageningen, The Netherlands

1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017

Monitoring: Rapid Alert for Food and Feed (RASFF)

Hans Marvin (RIKILT)





Foodsmartphone PhD course, Wageningen 26-06-2017

Food may be contaminated with residues...





and contaminants...



Potential large number of hazards......

| Residues | |
|--|----------------|
| Pesticides | > 1000 |
| Veterinary drugs | > 300 |
| Environmental contaminants | > 1000 |
| dioxins, PCB, PAH, flame retardants, perfluorinated compounds, | |
| biocides, anti-fouling, endocrine disruptors, heavy metals | |
| Natural contaminants | |
| Mycotoxins | > 500 |
| Fytotoxins | > 500 |
| Fycotoxins | > 100 |
| Processing contaminants & aldulterants | >> 100 |
| acryl amide, heterocyclic amines, furan, 3-MCPD, decontamination | 1 agents, |
| solvent residues, packaging contaminants (badge, ESBO, SEM, ph | ithalates, Sn) |
| marker substances (spoilage, irradiation), dyes, melamine | |

UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

Food Safety incidents



Low public trust in:



European Commission reacted

EU White Paper on Food Safety

Strategic priorities:

WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

- Establishment of the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) in 2002
- "Farm to Fork" approach in EU legislation
- Defining responsibilities for food safety
- General Food Law (Regulation 178/2002)
- Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF)















RASFF: Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed

Centralized system

- Required by General Food Law 178/2002/EC
- Managed by European Commission
- Members: EU-28 national food safety authorities, Commission, EFSA, Norway, Liechtenstein, Iceland and Switzerland
- Objective: to provide food and feed control authorities with an effective tool to exchange information about measures taken responding to serious risks detected in relation to food or feed.

WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

Types of notifications in RASFF

- Alerts (serious health risk, rapid action is required)
- Information (a risk identified, no rapid action needed by other members)
- Border rejection (consignments tested and rejected at the external borders of the EU)
- News (any other relevant information)







- Managing of the RASFF system
- Providing knowledge and the technological platform of RASFF
- Performs checks on each notification, prior to making them available to all members of the network.
- The following is checked: i) completeness check, ii) legislative requirements, iii) verification if the notification falls within the scope of the RASFF, iv) translation into English, v) classification of the notification, vi) members of the network flagged for action, vii) recurrences of similar problems relating to the same professional operator and/or hazard/country of origin.

WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

RASFF how does it work?



| Notifications list N | ew search | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Search Page | | | Get r | esults Clear fo |
| Notification | | Dato | | |
| Reference | | Week | current week [16] | |
| Subject | ○ or ○ and | | previous week [15] | |
| Notified by | * | | week V of year V | |
| Open alerts | \$ | Notified between | and | (dd/mm/yyy |
| Туре | | Product | | |
| Туре | \$ | Category | | |
| Classification | 🗘 🗆 withdrawn | Flagged as | | |
| Basis | \$ | Country | | |
| | | Action taken | | |
| Hazard | | Keywords | | |
| Category | \$ | Keywords | | Open UR |
| Risk decision | \$ | | | |

| European G Notificati Search F | Commission > RASFF Portal ons list New search | | | Get results Clear form |
|---|---|-----|--|---|
| Notificatio Reference Subject Notified b Open aler Type Classifica Basis | Austria (AT) Belgium (BE) Buigaria (BG) Commission Services (CS) Croatia (HR) Cyprus (CY) Crech Republic (CZ) Denmark (DK) Estonia (EE) Finliand (FI) France (FR) | • • | Veek current week or our revious wee or week of of Notified between an Cracegory Category Flagged as Country Action taken Keywords | (16) k [15] year • d (dd/mm/yyyy) d • e • e • e • e • e |
| | Germany (DE) Greece (GR) Hungany (HU) loeiand (IS) Ireland (IE) Italy (IT) Latvia (LV) Liechtenstein (LI) Lithuania (LT) Luxembourg (LU) Malta (MT) Netheriands (NL) Norway (NO) Poiand (PL) | • | Get results Clear form Lo | ad onteria Save onteria |

| Notifications list New search | |
|--|---|
| Search Page | Get results C |
| Notification Reference Subject Notified by | Date Week current week [16] previous week [15] week ‡ of year ‡ Notified between and (dd/mm |
| Type | Product |
| Туре | Category |
| Classificatio food | h Flagged as |
| Basis food contact material | Country |
| | Action taken |
| Hazard | Keywords |
| Category | Keywords Ope |
| Risk decision | 0 |

| | European Comm | ission > RASFF Portal | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|
| | Notifications lis | t New search | | |
| | Search Page | | | Get results Clear form |
| | Notification Reference Subject Notified by |) o or ⇔ and | Veek Notified betw | current week [16] previous week [15] week \$ of year \$ ween and (dd/mm/yyyy) |
| | Type Classification Basis | د (withdram) الم | Product Category Wn Flagged as Country | (0 |
| | Category | GMO / novel food | Action taken Keywords | Cpen URL |
| | Hisk decision | TSEs adulteration / fraud allergens biocontaminants biotoxins (other) | Get result | ts Clear form Load criteria Seve criteria |
| /AG | | composition feed additives food additives and flavourings foreign bodies heavy metals industrial contaminants | Cookie policy Top | |

| European Comn | nission - RASFF Portal | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------------|------------|--------|-------------------|------------------|
| Notifications list | st New search | | | | |
| Search Page | 3 | | | | Get results Clea |
| Notification | | Date | | | |
| Reference | | Week | | current week [16] | |
| Subject | o or o and | | | week tof year | • |
| Notified by | 4 | Notified b | etween | and | (dd/mm/y |
| Open alerts | 4 | | | | (|
| Туре | | Product | | | |
| Туре | | Category | | | |
| Classification | 🗘 🗇 withdrawn | Flagged a | as (| | |
| Basis | 1 | Country | | | |
| | | Action tal | ken 🗌 | | |
| Hazard | | Keywords | | | |
| Category | | Keywords | | | Open l |
| Risk decision | / | 3 | | | |
| | serious | | | | |
| | not serious | | | | |

| European Commission> | AASPF Ponal | | |
|------------------------|------------------|--|---|
| Notifications list Nev | w search | | |
| Search Page | | | Get results Clear form |
| Notification | | Date | |
| Reference | | Week | current week [16] |
| Subject | or or and | | previous week [15] week \$ of year \$ |
| Notified by | \$ | Notified betwe | en and (dd/mm/sysy) |
| Open alerts | \$ | | ana (aga ana 333) |
| (VP) | | Product | |
| Туре | 0 | Category | |
| Classification | ¢ withdrawn | Flagged as | alcoholic beverages |
| Basis | \$ | Country | animal by-products |
| | | Action take | animal nutrition - [OBSOLETE] |
| | | - | cephalopods and products thereof |
| Catagony | | Keywords | cereals and bakery products |
| Category | - | Reywords | cocoa and cocoa preparations, coffee and tea |
| Risk decision | 93 | | compound feeds |
| | | | confectionery |
| | | and the second s | dietetic foods, food supplements, fortified foods |
| | | Got res | eggs and egg products |
| | | | farmed crustaceans and products thereof - [OBSOLETE] |
| | | | farmed fish and products thereof (other than crustaceans and molluscs) - [OBSOLET |
| | Version 1.61 C | colde policy To | fats and oils |
| | | | feed additives |
| | | | teed for tood-producing animals - [OBSOLETE] |
| | | | feed materials |
| | | | ideo premixiores |

| - | European Commission Notifications list | RASFF Portal | RASP | F [Consumers Portal Support Help Disclaimer Log in |
|---|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| | Search Page | | Week | Get results Clear form |
| | Open alerts | or and | Notified betw | week () of year () |
| | Classification Basis | ¢) withdrawn ¢ | Flagged as Country Action take | Afghanistan (AP) Albania (AL) |
| | Category Risk decision | * | Keywords | Algeria (DZ) American Samoa (AS) Andorra (AD) Angola (AO) Anguilla (AI) |
| 1 | | Version 1.61 | Get reis | Antarcica (AU) Antigua and Barbuda (AG) Argentina (AR) Armenia (AM) Aruba (AW) Australia (AU) Austria (AT) |
| | | | | Azerbaijan (AZ) Bahamas (BS) Bahrain (BH) Bangladesh (BD) Barbados (BB) |

| European Commission> RASFF Portal | |
|--|--|
| Notifications list New search | |
| Search Page | Get results Clear for |
| Notification Reference Subject Notified by | Cuts current week [16] Week previous week [15] week ‡ of year ‡ Notified between |
| Open alerts \$ | Product |
| Туре | Category |
| Classification withdrawn | Flagged as |
| Basis + | Country \$ |
| | Action take |
| Harrie | destruction detailed by operator |
| Category ¢ | Keywords import not authorised |
| Risk decision 🗘 | informing authorities |
| | Informing consignor |
| | no action taken |
| | Got ros no stock left |
| | official detention |
| | physical/chemical treatment |
| Version 1.01 C | public warning - press release |
| | re-dispatch |
| | recall from consumers |
| | relabelling |

| Notifications li | st New search | | |
|------------------|--|------------------|---------------------------------|
| Search Page | 9 | | Get results Clea |
| Notification | | Date | |
| Reference | | Week | current week [16] |
| Subject | o or o and | | previous week [15] |
| Notified by | 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1 | Notified between | 01/01/001 and 01/02/001 (dd/mmb |
| Open alerts | * | NULLIEG DELWEET | Givenzer and Siveszer (dominy |
| TYPE | | Product | |
| Туре | ¢ | Category | |
| Classification | 🛊 🔿 withdrawn | Flagged as | |
| Basis | 4 | Country | |
| | | Action taken | |
| | | | |
| Category | mycotoxins ¢ | Keywords | Open |
| 10000 - 100 | | | Contrasta |

| N | | | 84 | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------|------------------|------|
| | otifications list | New search | Export to | * | | | | | | | |
| Se | earch res | sult: 15 | 7 noti | ficatio | ns | | | | | | |
| | Search criteria | Notified fr | om 01/01/20 | 17 Notified | till 31/03/2017 | Hazard | category | mycotoxins | 3 | | |
| | | 1 | First Previ | ous 100 No | tifications 1 to 100 | of 157 | Next 100 | Last | | | |
| | Classification | Date of case | Reference | Notifying country | Subject | | | Product Category | Type | Risk decision | |
| 1. | alert | 31/03/2017 | 2017.0411 | Germany | aflatoxins (B1 = I µg/kg - ppb) in n from unknown or Netherlands | 8.87; Tot. helon see rigin, via | = 9.82 ds (Egusl) the | nuts, nut products and seeds | food | serious | Deta |
| 2. | alert | 30/03/2017 | 2017.0396 | Netheriands | aflatoxins (B1 = 1 µg/kg - ppb) in g the United Kingd | 15.1; Tot. roundnut iom | = 36.9 s from | nuts, nut products and seeds | food | serious | Deta |
| 3. | border rejection | 30/03/2017 | 2017.AOB | United Kingdom | aflatoxins (B1 = 1 B1 = 32; Tot. = 3 groundnuts in sh | 103; Tot. 6.5 µg/kg | = 121 / - ppb) in China | nuts, nut products and seeds | food | serious | Deta |
| 4. | border rejection | 29/03/2017 | 2017.ANW | Greece | aflatoxins (B1 = 1 B1 = 11.0; Tot. = µg/kg - ppb) in b groundnut kerne | 11.8; Tot. 12.1 / B lanched is from C | = 13.0 / I = 2.8 hina | nuts, nut products and seeds | food | serious | Deta |
| 5. | border rejection | 29/03/2017 | 2017.ANX | Germany | aflatoxins (B1 = 2 B1 = 3.9; Tot. = 4 pistachios in she | 24.4; Tot. 1.4 µg/kg II from In | = 27.6 / - ppb} in an | nuts, nut products and seeds | food | serious | Deta |
| 6. | border rejection | 29/03/2017 | 2017.ANZ | Germany | aflatoxins (Tot. = pistachios in she | 13 µg/kg Il from In | i - ppb) in In | nuts, nut products and seeds | food | serious | Deta |
| 7. | information for attention | 28/03/2017 | 2017.0390 | Belgium | aflatoxins (B1 = 1 µg/kg - ppb) in w seeds from Egyp | 507.3; To hite sun t | t. = 543.1 lower | feed materials | feed | serious | Deta |
| 8. | border rejection | 24/03/2017 | 2017.ANF | Spain | aflatoxins (B1 = 1 µg/kg - ppb) in s from China | 10.2; Tot. helled pe | ≈ 13.9 anuts | nuts, nut products and seeds | food | serious | Deta |
| 9. | border rejection | 23/03/2017 | 2017.AMW | United Kingdom | aflatoxins in grou Argentina | indnuts f | rom | nuts, nut products and seeds | food | serious | Deta |
| 10. | border rejection | 23/03/2017 | 2017.AMV | United Kingdom | aflatoxins (B1 = 2 in groundnuts fro | 27.3 µg/k m India | g - ppb) | feed materials | feed | serious | Deta |
| 11. | border rejection | 23/03/2017 | 2017.AMZ | Germany | aflatoxins (B1 = 1 µg/kg - ppb) in p | 57.8; Tot. Istachio | = 62.8 nuts with | nuts, nut products | food | serious | Deta |

| European Commission | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| European Commissi | on > RASFF Portal | | | | |
| Notifications list | New search Export to | XML Print version | | | |
| Notification det | tails - 2017.0411 | | | | |
| aflatox | cins (B1 = 8.87; Tot. = 9.82 | ug/kg - ppb) in melon s | seeds (Egusi) fro | om unknown origin, via | the Netherlands |
| Reference: | 2017.0411 | Notification type: | food - alert - | official control on the mai | rket |
| Notification date: | 31/03/2017 | Action taken: | no stock left | | |
| Last update: | 31/03/2017 | Distribution status: | no distributio | n from notifying country | |
| Notification from: | Germany (DE) | Product: | melon seeds | (Egusi) | |
| Classification | alert | Product category: | nuts, nut proc | lucts and seeds | |
| Risk decision | serious | Published in RASFF Consumers' Portal | has never bee | en published | |
| Hazards | | | | | |
| Substance / Hazard | i Category | Analytical re | sult | Units | Sampling date |
| aflatoxins | mycotoxin | s B1 = 8.87; To | t. = 9.82 | µg/kg - ppb | 06/03/2017 |
| Countrios/organia | ations concerned /p - | distribution O - origin | | | |
| | auons concerned (D = | distribution, $O = Origin)$ | | | |

Reports on mycotoxins in RASFF



EC publish annually

| ۲ | 3 04 Notificati | 9 -3,4 compa | % ired to 2014 | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| RASEF | gave ris | e to | f which | Alerts co | - 3% ompared to |
| (A)-(A) follo | 6 20 w up notificati | A +5,0 compa | 1% red to 2014 | | |
| NOTU | ICATION | S BY PE | opuci | CATEGORY | r |
| Kom | iteriteriteriteriteriteriteriteriteriter | CACCURATE A | | | Compared |
| Fruit and | vegetables 🌚 | | | 634 | +2% |
| Nuts, nut products | and seeds 🖁 | | | 477 | +35% |
| Fish and fish | products | | 297 - | | -8% |
| | Feed | | 206 | | -47% |
| Poultry and poultr | products 😽 | | 76 | | -5% |
| Meat and mea | t products 👟 | | 9 | | +1% |
| Food contact | materials 🙆 | —— 15 | 2 | | -21% |
| Herbs | and spices 🦄 | 150 | | | +19% |
| Dietetic proc supplements, for | lucts, food 🧉 | 122 | | | -67% |
| Cereals and baker | products 🥔 | 122 | - | | +5% |
| | Shelifish 💮 | — 61 — | | | - 105% |
| | NOTIFIC | ATIONS BY | HAZARD | | |
| | NOTIFIC | RESTICIDE | HAZARD | | |
| PPOUR GRADUITS | MYCOTOXINS - | neginuted | ARTTAL | AND FLAVOURINGS | ALLERGEN |



Many scientific studies (2 examples)

| ELSEVIER | Food and Chem Volume 47, Issue 5, May | ical Toxicology 2009, Pages 932–950 | | World Mycotoxin Journal, August 2011, 4 (2) 329-338 Network analysis of the RASFF database: a mycotoxi | n perspective |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Identification | of potentially emerge | ging food safety issues by | y | A. PORTOCEP + J. Nepuster, G. Layone + and D.J.S. Naugation "School of Life Sciences, Kingston University, Kingston upon Thames, Su County Council, Property Business & Regulatory Services, Scientific Service Kingdow: A nangletonophispeton ac. ad. | rrey KT1 2EE, United Kingdom: ² Hamps , Hyde Park Road, Southaea, POS 4LL, Uni |
| analysis of re Rapid Alert S | eports published | <u></u> | Food Co | ontrol 79 (2017) 143-149 | |
| year period G.A. Kleter* ▲ · ☎, / Show more http://doi.org/10.1016 | A. Prandini ^b , L. Filippi ^b , H.J.P <u>9, fct.2007.12.022</u> | ELSEVIER | Contents list FO journal homepage: we | s available at ScienceDirect od Control ww.elsevier.com/locate/foodcont | CONTROL FOOD CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL |
| Abstract The SAFE FOOD identification of el notifications filed Food and Feed, to | IS project undertakes to merging food safety haz through RASFF, the Eur o identify emerging trend o identify emerging trend | Analysis of foreign b Rapid Alert System f Ilija Djekic ^{a,*} , Danijela Jan [*] Department of Food Safety and Quality Ma ^b Department of Food Safety and Food Quality | bodies present in for Food and Fee nkovic ^a , Andreja Rajl magement. University of Belgrade – ty, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering | European food using data from ed (RASFF) kovic ^{a, b} Faculty of Agriculture, Belgrade, Serbia c, Chent University, Belgium | CrossMark |
| assigned to categ | published in the four-ye pories of products and ha | ARTICLEINFO Article history: | A B S T R A C T This paper contains | a comprehensive review of different types of foreign matter | reported in Rapid Alert |
| | | Received 29 Innuary 2017 | the second se | the second state of the se | 6 |
| | | Received 28 January 2017 Received in revised form 25 March 2017 Accepted 29 March 2017 Available online 29 March 2017 Kenwards: | System for Food and cidents of foreign m products involved ar Regional distributi regions, with the mo glass and metals. Ma | I recat (NOSF) during the period 1936–2013. It provides in atter contamination discussed and mined in terms of types ad geographic distribution within indicated European region ion shows that the scattering of number of notifications is is st notifications coming from Eastern Europe. The top three for in food categories in which foreign bodies occur are fruits ar | formation on 1446 in- of foreign bodies, food s. rather similar between oreign bodies are pests, id vegetables, nuts, nut |

Kleter et al: RASFF trend analysis

Research study:

- Can data be used for trend analysis
 - Early recognition of trends possible?
- Links between hazards and other parameters?
 - Certain regions of origin?
 - Certain products ?
- Four-years data, 11,403 records (next slides)
 - Alert 29%, information 71%



Kleter et al.: RASFF trend analysis



- Increasing trend and temporary high in reports on food contact substances
 - Cutlery and other kitchenware, particularly from China
 - ITX reports (packaging of juices etc., EU)

UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

Kleter et al.: RASFF trend analysis



- Temporary high in occurrence of reports on pesticide residues
 - Mainly unauthorized OP-pesticide isophenfosmethyl on bell peppers from Spain



Contraction of the

Many scientific studies (2 examples)

| ELSEVIER | Food and Chem Volume 47, Issue 5, May | ical Toxicology 2009, Pages 932–950 | * food and Contraction 0.338 | |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Identification | of potentially emerg | ging food safety issues | by D.P. NargMon ⁴ | |
| analysis of re Rapid Alert S | eports published | 4- F | Food Control 79 (2017) 143-149 | |
| year period | | | Contents lists available at ScienceDirect | |
| G.A. Kleter ^{a,} 🎍 🔤, A 🗄 Show more | A. Prandini ^b , L. Filippi ^b , H.J.P | | Food Control | CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL CONTROL |
| http://doi.org/10.1016 | /j.fct.2007.12.022 | ELSEVIER | journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodcont | CONTROL |
| | | | | |
| Abstract The SAFE FOOD identification of er notifications filed Food and Feed, to | S project undertakes to nerging food safety haz through RASFF, the Eur o identify emerging trend | Analysis of foreign Rapid Alert Systen Ilija Djekic ^{a,*} , Danijela ^a Department of Food Safety and Quality ^b Department of Food Safety and Food Q | bodies present in European food using data from a for Food and Feed (RASFF) Jankovic ^a , Andreja Rajkovic ^{a, b} Management, University of Belgrade – Faculty of Agriculture, Belgrade, Serbia uality, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Chent University, Belgium | CrossMark |
| Abstract The SAFE FOOD identification of er notifications filed Food and Feed, to alert notifications assigned to categ | S project undertakes to nerging food safety haz through RASFF, the Eur pidentify emerging trend published in the four-ye lories of products and ha | Analysis of foreign Rapid Alert System Ilija Djekic ^{a,*} , Danijela ⁴ Department of Food Safety and Food Department of Food Safety and Food O | bodies present in European food using data from a for Food and Feed (RASFF) Jankovic ^a , Andreja Rajkovic ^{a, b} Management, University of Belgrade – Faculty of Agriculture, Belgrade, Serbia uality, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Chent University, Belgium | CrossMar |
| Abstract The SAFE FOOD identification of er notifications filed Food and Feed, tr alert notifications assigned to categ | S project undertakes to nerging food safety haz through RASFF, the Eur o identify emerging trend published in the four-ye tories of products and he | Analysis of foreign Rapid Alert System Ilija Djekic ^{a,} *, Danijela ^a Department of Food Safety and Quality ^b Department of Food Safety and Food Q A R T I C L E I N F O Article history: Received 28 January 2017 Received 29 March 2017 Accepted 29 March 2017 Accepted 29 March 2017 | bodies present in European food using data from a for Food and Feed (RASFF) Jankovic ^a , Andreja Rajkovic ^{a, b} Management, University of Belgrade – Faculty of Agriculture, Belgrade, Serbia uality, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Belgram A B S T R A C T This paper contains a comprehensive review of different types of foreign matter repo System for Food and Feed (RASFF) during the period 1998–2015. It provides inforn cidents of foreign matter contamination discussed and mined in terms of types of products involved and geographic distribution within indicated European regions. Regional distribution shows that the scattering of number of notifications is rath regions, with the most notifications coming from Eastern Europe. The top three foreign | CrossMarl CrossMarl Arted in Rapid Aler nation on 1446 in orreign bodies, food er similar betweet m bodies are pests |



Conclusion: Most notifications coming from Eastern Europe. The top three foreign bodies are pests, glass and metals. Main food categories in which foreign bodies occur are fruits and vegetables, nuts, nut products, confectionery and bakery products.



Reporting countries (2000-2009)



WAGENINGEN

UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH

Gate keepers: Italy (20%), Germany (18%), UK (11%), Spain (10%), Netherlands (7%)

13 countries with ${<}1\%$



Fig. 1. Breakdown of RASFF notifications by country making entry between 2000 and 2009

New approach to study the data in RASFF

| 244 TSEVIER | Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Food Control journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/foodcont | Bayesian Network model for food fraud \Rightarrow Statistical relationship between all |
|---|---|--|
| Prediction of food food and Feed (R/ | fraud type using data from Rapid Alert System fo SSFF) and Bayesian network modelling | for \bigcirc parameters \Rightarrow High prediction performance (>90%) |
| 'amine Bouzembrak, H KU7 Wigesiges DR. Allemaatasi | ans J.P. Marvin" 2. 6708 Will Wagensingen, The Netherlands | |
| RTICLEINFO | A B S T R A C T | |
| tick/ Molecy scelved & April 2015 scelved in revised form 5 September 2015 copted 22 September 2015 sallable online & October 2015 | Because food finaud can harm human health and erode consumer trust; it is impo at an early stage. Therefore the aim of this analyses as a predict the expected floor products for which the product category and coursy of origin are known in ord activities. For this purpose we used a Bapetan Network (BN) model that we operated 2000-2003 the income 2000 below the course of the product of the operated 2000-2003 the income 2000 below the course of the product of the operated 2000-2003 the income 2000 below the course of the product of the operated 2000-2003 the income 2000 below the course of the product of the product of the operated 2000-2003 the income 2000 below the course of the product of the product of the operated 2000-2003 the income 2000 below the course of the product of the product of the operated 2000-2003 the income 2000 below the course of the product of the operated 2000 below the product of the pr | specialize that it is detected of analog people in imported was developed based of manufactored (see a special section) of the special section of the special se |
| ywords: nyesian networks xxd fraud type prediction | different faud types (i) inproper, fraudulent, missing or absent health certific tion, (iii) tampering, (v) improper, expired, fraudulent or missing common ent declarations. (v) expiration date. (vi) mislabelinn. The data were then used to o | Kake, (i) iligal importa- otry documents or import |
| ASTE | constructed BN model was validated using 88 food fraud notifications reporter proposed model predicted 80% of food fraud types correctly when food fraud category had been reported previously in RASFF. The model predicted 52% of | develop and model the of packet and the former of the of the former of t |
| 4599 960125125028551-24-24-509675151550285 | constructed RV model was validated using BI food fraud notifications repen- proposed model predicted Bio C I hood fraud appearing the info final category had here reported previously in BAGFF. The model predicted 322 of manual solutions. Solution and Statemark (Statemark) and Statemark manual solutions. Solution and Statemark (Statemark) and Statemark manual Solutions. Solution and Statemark (Statemark) and Statemark manual Solutions. Solution and Statemark (Statemark) and Statemark Statemark (Statemark). Solution and Statemark (Statemark). Solution and Statemark Statemark (Statemark). Solution and Statemark (Statemark). Solution and Statemark Statemark (Statemark). Solution and Statemark (Statemark). Solution and Statemark (Statemark). Solution and Statemark Statemark (Statemark). Statemark (Statemark). Solution and Statemark (Statemark). Soluti | Product Product Notiffving country |
| sian Netwo od 2000-202 | proper and the restored was validated units B1 food fraud nordifactores repeated category had been reported previously in MART. The model predicard 324 of the report of the reported previously in MART. The model predicard 324 of the report of the reported previously in MART. The model predicard 324 of the report of the reported previously in MART. The model predicard 324 of the report of the reported previously in MART. The model predicard 324 of the report of the reported previously in MART. The model predicard 324 of the report of the reported previously in MART. The report of the | ASFF; Year Construction (Action taken) |
| sian Netwo od 2000-202 ng links bet lazard and | properties of the standard was validated units the food fraud modifications repeated category had here repeated previously in KART. The model previously as KART managementative of the data was associated and the standard state of managementative of the data was associated as a state of the | ASFF; Year Country of origin Year |
| sian Netwo od 2000-20 ng links bet lazard and Distribution | properties of the state of the | ASFF; cted) |
| sian Netwo od 2000-202 ng links bet lazard and Distribution lazard cate | propugation of predicted was validated units the food fload conditations report category had been reported previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made predicted 324 of manual solutions was an experimental previously in KART. The made solutions was an experimental previous and the previously in KART. The made solution of the previously in the manual solution of the previously in the | ASFF; cted) |
| sian Netwo od 2000-202 ng links bet lazard and Distribution lazard cate Notifying co | propugation of predicted to a validated units all food flaud modulations report externs and predicted field flaud approximation of the flaud approximation of the externs and the second second second second second second second prediction of the second second second second second second prediction of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second secon | ASFF; cted) bitribution bitributication bitribution bitribution bitribution bitribution bi |
| sian Netwo od 2000-202 ng links bet lazard and Distribution lazard cate Notifying co Country of c | propugation of predicted to a validated units the food fload conditations repeat category had been reported previously in AUGT. The model predicted 324 of merced to 100000000000000000000000000000000000 | ASFF; cted) bitribution view of and the second seco |
| sian Netwo od 2000-202 ng links bet lazard and Distribution lazard cate Notifying co Country of c | properties of the state of the | ASF; cted) bistribution bistrib |

- RASFF is a useful platform to exchange information on measures taken by authorities in relation to risks detected food or feed
- The RASFF database contains a huge amount of data (> 47,000 records) which is useful for trend analysis.
- There are big differences between countries in regard to the frequency of reporting in RASFF
- Bayesian Network modelling is a useful tool to understand the relationships between the parameters reported in RASFF and are useful in prediction models

Conclusions

End

Questions?





35

1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017

Validation and benchmarking of a screening assays

Bjorn Berendsen¹

Developing a new method can be a lot of work. You are finally finished, you've written the operating procedure and you can't wait to analyse your first sample. Stop right there! Is the method indeed as good as you think and ready to be used by just anyone? What is the performance of your new method? What levels is it able to reproducibly detect? Is it selective or does it respond to other chemicals as well and does it sometimes result in false positive results? Do you know the answers to these questions, then you are dismissed. If not, read on.

A validation is undeniably an important step before a new method can be applied in practice. During a validation the method performance is determined including detection limits, trueness, precision and selectivity. If a validation is successful (if carried out according to the right standards), this is the ultimate proof that your test results are trustworthy. In other words: only then you are sure what your method is capable of (and what not).

The validation of a screening assay is, compared to a validation of a quantitative confirmatory assay, quite simple. Only a few parameters are of relevance as stated by commission decision 2002/657/EC and related guidelines and therefore the workload is limited. European Reference Laboratory guidelines give clear instructions on the process. A number of blank samples and a number of spiked samples should be analysed under within-laboratory reproducibility conditions. The exact number (at least 20 blanks and 20 spiked samples) depends on the performance of the method. If the spiked population is clearly distinct from the blank population, it is concluded that CCß (the level from which, with 95% probability, a sample will result in a positive/suspect finding) is equal or below the spiked level (also called the target screening concentration).

After validation, can be applied in practice and, if needed, be accreditated. Even though the method is fully validated, it should be monitored in time (benchmarking) to guarantee continued acceptable performance. Benchmarking can be done based on first, second or third line quality control samples, of which the latter regards proficiency testing. Quality control data should not only be assessed, but also trends should studied, e.g. by using a control chart. Finally, regularly (depending on the frequency of use) methods and validation data should be revised to keep data on method performance up to date.

Detailed information about the procedures and advised further reading can be found here:

- [1] CD 2002/657/EC in different languages: http://publications.europa.eu/en/publicationdetail/-/publication/ed928116-a955-4a84-b10a-cf7a82bad858/language-en
- [2] EURL guidelines on validation of a screening assay 20/1/2010, Guidelines for the validation of screening methods for residues of veterinary medicines: https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/safety/docs/cs_vet-med-residues_guideline_validation_screening_en.pdf
- [3] Stolker AA, Application of EU guidelines for the validation of screening methods for veterinary drugs. Drug Test Anal 2012, Suppl. 1:28-33.

¹ E-mail; bjorn.berendsen@wur.nl; Wageningen University & Research, RIKILT, Bu Veterinary Drugs, Wageningen, The Netherlands

1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017













| Classification of Performance characteristics | | | | | |
|---|-----------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--|
| Performance | Qualitati | ive method | Quantitative method | | |
| characteristic | screening | confirmatory | screening | confirmatory | |
| Trueness / Recovery | | | | | |
| Repeatability | | | | | |
| Within- Laboratory reproducibility | | | | | |
| Decision limit (CCa) | | | | | |
| Detection capability (CC) | | | | | |
| Specificity | | | | | |
| Ruggedness | | | | | |
| Stability | | | | | |
| Linearity | | | | | |



Parameters

Precision

Repeatability

Closeness of agreement between independent test results obtained under the same conditions

• Within-lab reproducibility

Closeness of agreement between independent test results obtained under different conditions











| Classi | ification of Perf | ormance characte | eristics | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Performance | Qualitat | ve method | Quantitative method | |
| characteristic | screening | confirmatory | screening | confirmatory |
| Trueness / Recovery | | | | |
| Repeatability | | | | |
| Within- Laboratory reproducibility | | | | |
| Decision limit (CCa) | | | | |
| Detection capability (CCβ) | | | | |
| Specificity | | | | |
| Ruggedness | | | | |
| Stability | | | | |
| Linearity | | | | |


































































































































- Microsieve-based devices
- 3D-printed lab-on-chip based devices
- Microfluidic paper-based analytical devices
- System integration

FoodSmart phone.eu





Demonstration of applicability



- Validated reference methods for pesticides, mycotoxins, allergens, antibiotics
- Protocols for within-lab and on-site testing
- On-site application
- Benchmarking versus lab-based methods

FoodSmart phone.eu

The individual ESR projects Multiplex smartphone assay for allergens FoodSmartphone-MS confirmation LSPR smartphone for marine toxins LSPR smartphone for food spoilage organisms LSPR smartphone for food spoilage organisms Novel image analysis software Enzyme paper assays for AChE inhibitors DNA-addressable multiplex binding arrays Electrode arrays for multiplex smartphone assays Lab-on-chip for iSPR smartphone detection Bioaffinity microsieves for integrated sample prep Aptamer-based smartphone assays for aflatoxins









Hot Paper Studies

- 1. <u>Read</u>: critically read the paper you got (pdfs of all other papers are available on Blackboard)
- 2. Evaluate: complete the evaluation form on page 2
- 3. <u>Share & discuss</u> in your sub-group: *decide which of your subgroup papers is the most interesting to present plenary*
- 4. <u>Present & debate</u> plenary: *one 7 minutes presentation per sub-group* (*no presentation format, the sub-group can choose any form they prefer*)



Evaluation Form Hot Paper Studies

| Your name: | Your sub-group no |
|------------------------------|-------------------|
| Paper title: | |
| | |
| Journal, volume, year, page: | |

Q1 what do you like most in this paper?

Q2 what is wrong and/or missing in this paper versus your ultimate aim of reliable smartphone-based food analysis?

Q3 what research do you propose to improve that situation (what would be the niche)?







- Biorecognition: recognition and binding of a *specific analyte* by a *bioelement* such as an enzyme or antibody.
- Upon binding a change (e.g. in the structure of the biomolecule, mass or formation of a product) occurs.
- This change can be transformed into a signal and used for "detection"
- The bioelement is very specific to the target analyte. It does not recognise other analytes.

FoodSmart phone.eu










Biorecognition elements: aptamers

• Aptamers versus antibodies:

| Antibodies | Aptamers |
|---|---|
| Requires the use of animals | No animals required |
| High affinity and specificity for the target | Medium high affinity and specificity for the target |
| Production difficult for toxic substances and lichaamseigen stoffen | Can be generated against toxins and molecules that do not elicit good immune response |
| Difficult to modify binding parameters on demand | Binding parameters could be modified |
| Reversible temperature denaturing | Irreversible temperature denaturing |
| Limited shelf life | Stable to long-term storage |
| Batch to batch variation | Little batch variation due to chemical synthesis |
| Labelling of antibodies can cause loss in affinity | Reporter molecules can be adjusted to aptamers at precise locations not involved in binding |
| https://www.ifm.liu.se/edu/coursescms/tfy | a62/lectures/Biorecognition-elements-TFYA62.pdf |



































































| | ELISA TE | STS | |
|--|---|---|------------------------------------|
| Advantages •Fast (~90 minute •Low Cost equipm needed •Simple to use •Capable of testing sample numbers | s) ent g high | Disadvantages Semi-Quantitative (us Can generate false por results Can only detect a sing analyte or family of analyte | ually) ositive gle alytes |
| •Sensitive FoodSmart ©2015;Wager More and QUB | Main Food A •Mycotoxins i •Veterinary du •Allergens •Marine bioto •Food adulter •Speciation | nalysis Applications n food and feed rug residues in foods xins in shellfish ration | |

| Late | eral Flow Devices |
|--|--|
| Advantages •Fast (~10 minutes •Low Cost •Simple to use •Portable | Disadvantages •Qualitative (usually) •Designed for testing low numbers of samples •Sensitivity |
| Ma •My •Ar •Ma •Fo •Sp | in Food Analysis Applications /cotoxins in food and feed atibiotics in milk arine biotoxins in shellfish ood adulteration beciation |

| Strip test | ELISA (15 k€) | BIACORE (250 k€) | IBIS (90 k€) | LUMINEX (50 k€) | |
|------------|------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
| 10 min. | 1-3 h. | 25 min. | 25 min. | 1.5 h. | 1 Analyte 5 Samples |
| 50 € | 200 € | 15 € | 15 € | 0.08 € | |
| 4 h. | 2-4 h. | 7 h. | 7 h. | 1.75 h. | 1 Analyte 80 Samples |
| 800 € | 1200 € | 240 € | 240 € | 1.30 € | |
| 20 min. | 2-4 h. | 25 min. | 25 min. | 1.5 h. | 2 Analyte 5 Samples |
| 100 € | 400 € | 15 € | 15 € | 0.16 € | |
| 2.5 h. | days | 3 h. | 25 min. | 1.5 h. | 15 Analyte 5 Samples |
| 750 € | 3000 € | 75 € | 15 € | 1.2 € | |
| week | days | 35 h. | 7 h. | 1.75 h. | 15 Analyta 90 Samalar |
| 1200 € | 18000 € | 1200 € | 240 € | 19€ | |







| Kinetics of binding assays |
|---|
| Affinity of the binding: the strength of binding of a single molecule to its ligand. It is typically measured and reported by the equilibrium dissociation constant (K_D) |
| • Equilibrium association constant: $K_A = k_a/k_d = [RA] / [R][A]$ unit: M ⁻¹ |
| • Equilibrium dissociation constant: $K_D = 1/K_A = k_d/k_a = [R][A]/[RA]$ unit: M |
| In short, the smaller the K_D value the greater the affinity of the antibody for its target. |
| FoodSmart phone.eu |

| K _D value | Molar concentration (sensitivity) | Affinity |
|--|--------------------------------------|---|
| 10 ⁻⁴ to 10 ⁻⁶ | Micromolar (µM) | Most antibodies |
| 10 ⁻⁷ to 10 ⁻⁹ | Nanomolar (nM) | 10 ⁻⁹ High affinity antibodies |
| 10 ⁻¹⁰ to 10 ⁻¹² | Picomolar (pM) | Very high affinity antibodies |



























| 10 min. 1-3 h. 25 min. 25 min. 1.5 h. 1 Ana 4 h. 2-4 h. 7 h. 7 h. 1.75 h. 1 Ana | lyte 5 Samples |
|---|-----------------|
| 4 h. 2-4 h. 7 h. 7 h. 1.75 h. 1 Ana | |
| | lyte 80 Samples |
| 20 min. 2-4 h. 25 min. 25 min. 1.5 h. 2 Ana | lyte 5 Samples |
| 2.5 h. days 3 h. 25 min. 1.5 h. | |
| week days 35.h 7.h 1.75.h | alyte 5 Samples |



S

Freepps.top Curated Apps & Games

In the Communication Workshop we will use Kahoot! Please download the free app on your device before the workshop.



Kahoot!

Review:
Kahoot is a free student-response app, which allows you to
create game-like multiple-choice guizzes in real time.

Search here.

Teachers and students can either make their own original guizzes or find, use, and remix public guizzes. Kahoot guickly becomes a go-to for teachers looking for a way to improve a classroom engagement. Functionality 10/10 Kahoot?s ...





Q

All activere names, brands, company names, registered and woll-known tradamarks mentioned on Fleepps top are for reference only and their copyright belongs to their respective owners. Freepps top claims no ownersing, representation or devisionment of general and apps reviewed on this site.



1



2



2017 WORKSHOP CROSS CULTURAL COMMUNICATION





Marcella Bos (1963) is facilitator, trainer and mentor of teams and teamleaders.

Marcella is founder of Bos Matchworks BV (2001), associate partner of Engaging Leadership Group and talent banker at MasterPeace. Marcella is an expert in creating meaningful meetings and events, with a strong focus on the experience of participants. In 2008 she launched the 5 Wheel Drive concept and released the book 'Events en Beleven' in collaboration with Johan Rippen. Before she started her own company Marcella worked in the Banking Industry, in Healthcare, Education and as a Journalist. She studied Social Studies at Hogeschool van Amsterdam.

Marcella is facilitator of teams and their leaders: trainer in personal GROWTH and leadership development and organizer of meaningful events

Hanneke van Marle (1977) for many years held, among others, the position of Global Talent Manager at Rabobank International. Nowadays Hanneke shares her experiences and expertise in human resources and human behavior, and she shares her strategic and analytic talent in training courses, masterclasses and workshops, both as trainer and as facilitator.

Marcella and Hanneke both work for Bos Matchworks on various programs about personal leadership, personal and team effectiveness, team connection, flow, group culture and (cross-cultural) communication.

You can contact us via marcella@bosmatchworks.nl



Effective Communication Cycle



3

The impact of our communication



4



8 ways of listening, described

Pretending

You give the impression that you're listening, say 'yes' and nod every once in a while, but meanwhile you mainly invest in your own thoughts.

Responsive listening

Hearing sound, ignoring contents. You immediately know the solution and answer the other. Selective listening

You only hear certain things, often what you expected or what you wanted to discuss.

Autobiographic listening

You're listening while filtering everything through your own experiences and paradigms. You hear your own story.

Disputing listening

You only listen to the other to find the weak spots in his argument. As soon as you get the opportunity, you interrupt him/her and rush to explain why you're right.

Attentive listening

You pay close attention to what someone says and allow the words to get through to you.

Reflective listening

You repeat what the other says to show them that you've heard them. The risk is that this may become a technique of copying.

Empathic listening

You're listening with the intention to understand the other. You suppress your own judgment, answers, solutions and interests. You try to put yourself in the shoes of the other. You ask questions until you truly understand the other.





The Lewis Model @ Richard D.Lewis

Chief characteristics of the three categories

Linear-active

Multi-active

Reactive

Talks half the time Does one thing at a time Plans ahead step by step Polite but direct Partly conceals feelings Confronts with logic Dislikes losing face Rarely interrupts Job-oriented Sticks to facts Truth before diplomacy

1

Talks most of the time Does several things at once Plans grand outline only Emotional **Displays** feelings Confronts emotionally Has good excuses Often interrupts People-oriented Feelings before facts Flexible truth

Listens most of the time Reacts to partner's action Looks at general principles Polite, indirect Conceals feelings Never confronts Must not lose face Doesn't interrupt Very people-oriented Statements are promises Diplomacy over truth

© Richard D.Lowis






Bionanotechnology









































































































Nano and Micro Engineered Membrane Technology (Microsieves)

FoodSmart phone.eu

Wageningen 28 June 2017





Cees van Rijn



Tong Duy Hien



1

Jacob Baggerman



Ai Nguyen



Outline

- Microengineered membrane technology
- Applications
 - Filtration
 - Microbial detection
 - Cell capture
 - Emulsification
 - Spraying



3



Microengineered membranes: Microsieves



5

C. J. M van Rijn, Nano and Micro Engineered Membrane Technology Elsevier, The Netherlands, 2004. ISBN 0444514899.



Homogenous pore size



Homogeneity of pore size

- ✓ Chemical inertness
- ✓ High mechanical strength
- ✓ Highly homogeneous pore size distribution
- ✓ Capability of surface functionalization



Low Trans-membrane Pressure High Flux Performance





Applications of microsieves



Filtration



Emulsification



Cell Detection



Sprays

9





Journal of Membrane Science, 494, 2015, pp121–129¹⁰

Vacuum Slit



11

Filtration Characteristics: Pressure and Rotation Frequency





Flow Reversal







- cross-flow filtration rate
- transmembrane pressure
- back shock frequency
- < 2 m/s < 0.2 bar < 5Hz



15

Microfiltration Applications

- Sterilization of food and pharmaceutical fluids
- Purification wash water for integrated circuits
- Clarification of juices and beer
- Membrane bioreactor for waste water treatment





microscreen vs plate count

| Microbial detection | | | |
|---------------------|--|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Techniques | Plate count | Microsieve-based Detector (new) | Antibody-coated Microsieves (new) |
| Principle | ample of 9 m 9 m 9 m 9 m 9 m 9 m 0.1 | Bacteria Small components | |
| Sensitivity | √High | √High | √High |
| Incubation time | ×Long | ✓No need | ✓No need |
| Washing steps | ×Cumbersome | √Fast | ✓Fast |
| Sample volume | ×Small | ×Small | ✓Large |

Bacteria

Output

18

Bacteria


Capture efficiency vs Flowrate

Capture efficiency of 3.5-µm antibody-coated microsieves compared to 0.45-µm microsieves as positive control (100% capture)



slower flow \rightarrow more capture



21



Protein-repellent microsieves







UNCOATED





Zwitterion-COATED





seeding: Single cells in individual wells

| _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | | _ | | - | | | - H | | | _ | _ | | | _ | | | | | _ | _ | |
|-----|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|-----|--------------|---|---|----|-----|---|------|-----|-----|---|----|----|-----|---|-----|------|----|-----|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 125 | | | | |
| 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 121 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | -11 | | | | | | ^ | | 4 | | | | | ш. | | | | | | _ | 0.0 | - 4 | 10 | | |
| | | Ħ (| cei | IS | : # | WE | HIS | υ,: | | 1 | | | | | H (| cei | S | .# | we | HIS | | 0,9 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | 6. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1000 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Very high fill grade with single cells per well

After capture / seeding of single cells in individual wells

- Add reagents to the well plate and monitor the response of individual cells
 - Pharmacology, drug testing, drug screening
- Transfer individual cells to a next analysis platform
 - RT-PCR for gene analysis, point-mutations
 - Sequencing of the whole genome
- Transform the well content to next analysis platform
 - Analyze the products produced by the individual cells

29



Microsieve emulsification

- optimal droplet/particle size
- droplets 2 100 µm, particles 50nm – 150 µm
- monodisperse: C.V. ≈ 5 % •
- reproducible, robust and • scalable
- encapsulation/double • emulsions









Summary

- Micro engineering yields new filter types
- Micro engineered membranes show improved performance at lower cost
- Micro engineered membranes provide new solutions for microbiology and cell biology
- Combining bottom-up nanotechnology with top-down micro-engineering for better performance

1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017

Electrochemical detection

Louis de Smet¹

The vast growth of smartphone use has opened opportunities to develop portable smartphonebased sensor (SPS) systems for field and point-of-care (POC) applications.¹ Electrochemical (bio)sensor platforms represent an attractive type of sensors for SPS development in a variety of settings, including medical, water for in-field environmental monitoring,² and food analysis.³

In this lecture, first some basics on electrochemistry, electrical equipment and electrodes and voltammetry will be discussed. Given its widespread use in electroanalytical chemistry focus will be given on cyclic voltammetry and several of its modes of operation. Next, three recently published cases will be discussed, with an emphasis on the surface chemistry and the chemical reactions involved in the detection mechanisms.

Case I covers work on an electrochemical immunosensor for the detection of food allergens.⁴ The allergen of choice was β -casein, one of the main milk proteins. The enzymatic activity was amperometrically detected by adding hydrogen peroxide and a suitable redox mediator. With Hepatitis C core antibody as the analyte of interest, case II is more related to the medical field,⁵ but the study nicely 1) shows the use of yeast cell lines to produce dual-affinity constructs and 2) gives a comparison of the optical (fluorescent) and electrochemical detection of antibodies. Such a biosensor-based approach is illustrative for the detection of other pathogens as well, including food-related applications.⁶ Also Case III deals with two parallel approaches,⁷ *i.e.* the colorimetric (visible light) and voltammetry-based electrochemical detection of bacteria using substrates specific to enzymes produced by each species.

The presented cases reflect the main recent developments in combining electrochemical approaches with smartphone technology. Finally, some opportunities for further research and a brief outlook will be presented.

Suggestions for further reading:

- [1] Smartphone-Based Sensors, Gao, X; Wu, N. Electrochem. Soc. Interface 2016, 25, 4, 79-81 [doi]
- [2] Universal mobile electrochemical detector designed for use in resource-limited applications, Nemiroski, A.; Christodouleas, D.C.; Hennek, J.W.; Kumar, A.A.; Maxwell, E.J.; Fernández-Abedul, M.T.; Whitisides, G.M. PNAS, 2014, 111, 33, 11984-11989 [doi]
- [3] 'Electroanalytical Techniques and Instrumentation in Food Analysis' by Carlos M. Pereira and Rubin Gulaboski, Chapter 17 in the Handbook of Food Analysis Instruments (Ed: Otles), CRC Press, 2008 [doi]
- [4] Case I: Electrochemical immunosensor for the determination of β-casein, Molinari, J.; Moina,
 C.; Ybarra, G. J. Electrochem. Sci. Eng. 2015, 5, 1, 9-16 [doi]
- [5] Case II: Detection of Hepatitis C core antibody by dual-affinity yeast chimera and smartphonebased electrochemical sensing, Aronoff-Spencer E.; Venkatesh A.G.; Sun A.; Brickner H.; Looney D.; Hall D.A. Biosens. Bioelectron., 2016, 86, 690–696 [doi]
- [6] a) Electrochemical biosensors for fast detection of food contaminants trends and perspective, Rotariu L.; Lagarde F.; Jaffrezic-Renault N.; Bala C.; Trends in Analytical Chemistry 2016, 79,80–87 [doi]; b) 'Electrochemical Biosensors for Food Security: Allergens and Adulterants Detection' by Campuzano, S. et al. in Biosensors for Security and Bioterrorism Applications (Nikolelis and Nikoleli), Springer, 2016 [doi]

¹ E-mail; louis.desmet@wur.nl; Wageningen University & Research, Organic Chemistry, Wageningen, The Netherlands

1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017

 [7] Case III: Colorimetric and Electrochemical Bacteria Detection Using Printed Paper- and Transparency-Based Analytic Devices, Adkins J.A.; Boehle K.; Friend C.; Chamberlain B.; Bisha B.; Henry C.S. Anal. Chem. 2017, 89, 3613–3621 [doi]

























































| 4 | Case III: Enzyme | |
|--|--|-----------|
| analytical chemistr | Anal. Chem. 2017, 89, | 3613-3621 |
| Paper- and Transpare | ncy-Based Analytic Devices | rinted |
| Jaclyn A. Adkins, [†] Katherine Boo and Charles S. Henry ^{*,†,‡,§} © | hle, [†] Colin Friend, [‡] Briana Chamberlain, [§] Bledar Bisha, [∥] | |
| [†] Department of Chemistry, [‡] School of B University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523 ^{II} Department of Animal Science, Universi | iomedical Engineering, and [§] Chemical and Biological Engineering, Colorad 5, United States ty of Wyoming, Laramie, Wyoming 82071, United States | lo State |
| Colorimetric | Recented Contamination Food | |
| | | 29 37 |






































































- Biorecognition assays exploit usually optical spectroscopy as a readout system
- Same principles apply for smartphone approach, but often reflection mode measurements
- Smartphone assay challenges with respect to reagent storage and incubation time(s)
- Only low-cost smartphone modifications required

FoodSmart phone.eu

1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017

Microfluidics / Lab-on-a-chip

Daniel Filippini¹

The miniaturization and automatization of chemical analyses carried out in microfluidic and lab-ona-chip (LOC) configurations can be associated with a number of advantages such as producing less waste, using less reagents and demanding smaller samples.

The smaller dimensions involved in LOC shortens diffusion distances and maximizes the area to volume ratio, which affect in the flow regime and overall behaviour of the systems.

In this lecture, the motivations for LOC based analyses are presented together with the conceptual background to understand the microfluidic behaviour, and simple tools to analyse and predict the operation of fluidic systems.

State or the art LOC solutions are presented to highlight the contrast with autonomous LOC solutions aimed at complementing cell phones for chemical detection. Examples of such implementation are discussed in detail as well as the consideration of LOC detection principles that optimize the readout resources available in cell phones.

Suggestions for further reading:

- [1] W.Tian, E. Finehout Eds., Microfluidics for Biological Applications, Springer (2008).
- [2] Science 288 (2000), 113.
- [3] Science 290 (2000), 1536.
- [4] Lab Chip 13 (2013), 415.
- [5] Lab Chip 9 (2009), 417.
- [6] Anal. Chem. 80 (2008), 6206.
- [7] Nat. Comm. 3 (2012), 1283.
- [8] PNAS 105 (2008),19606.
- [9] Lab Chip 9 (2009), 2286.
- [10] Lab Chip 13 (2013), 51.
- [11] Biosens. & Bioelectron. 77 (2016), 1153.
- [12] Angewandte Chemie 54 (2015), 8708.
- [13] Trends in Biotechnology 32 (2014), 351.
- [14] Angewandte Chemie 52 (2012), 11585.

¹ E-mail; danfi@ifm.liu.se; Optical Devices Laboratory, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017





Microfluidics / Lab-on-a-chip

Daniel Filippini Professor in Applied Physics Optical Devices Laboratory (ODL) Division of Sensor and Actuator Systems (SAS), Linköping University, Sweden

Optical Chemical Sensing, Autonomous Lab-on-a-chip, 3D printed Optics and Microfluidics



Division of Sensor and Actuator Systems - SAS

Graphene sensors; Soft microrobots and actuators; Biosensors; 3D printed optics and Autonomous lab-on-a-chip; Chemical imaging; Data mining; Integrated detection systems



Applied Sensor Science



- Graphene and SiC-FET gas sensors
- Graphene and monolayers for gas sensors
- Emissions monitoring and
- Particle sensors

control

Smart Sensing / Data Mining

Science



- · Electroactive surfaces and scaffolds
- On-chip mechanostimulation of cells
- Polymer (micro-)actuators
- Soft microrobotics

Bionics and Transduction Biosensors and Bioelectronics



- Integrated Biosensor Platform
 - Membrane based lateral flow tests
 - Encapsulated catalytic and biocatalytic system
 - Processable materials for printed bioelectronic devices

Chemical Sensor Systems



- Electrochemical sensing
- Basic electrochemistry
- Gas sensing
- Drinking water monitoring sensor systems

Optical Devices Laboratory



- Optical Chemical Sensing
- Autonomous Lab-on-a-chip
 - 3D printed fast prototyping of **Optics and Microfluidics**
 - Distributed Detection Systems and Apps

Division of Sensor and Actuator Systems - SAS

Graphene sensors; Soft microrobots and actuators; Biosensors; 3D printed optics and Autonomous lab-on-a-chip; Chemical imaging; Data mining; Integrated detection systems









Anke Suska Daniel Filippini

ESR9 / PhD Applied Physics Lab-on-chip devices for smartphone imaging Surface Plasmon Resonance (iSPR) detection

WP3

Integrated sample preparation devices

Optical Devices Laboratory



- **Optical Chemical Sensina**
- Autonomous Lab-on-a-chip 3D printed fast prototyping of Optics and Microfluidics
- Distributed Detection
- Systems and Apps

Overview



Fluidics for components in pneumatic computers S. Colin, Microfluidics, Wiley (2004) B.W. Anderssen, The Analysis and design of pneumatic systems, Wiley (1967)



- Background / Motivations
- Lab-on-a-chip (LOC) solutions
- Autonomous LOC
- Cell phone LOC readout

Background / Motivations

- **Small volumes** (less waste, lower reagents costs and smaller sample volumes for diagnostics)
- **Faster analysis** and response times due to short diffusion distances, fast heating, high surface to volume ratios, small heat capacities
- Better process control, compactness and automatization allowing parallelization and highthroughput analyses
- Compatible with mass production technologies leading to cost-effective disposable chip configurations





Background

• Péclet Number (Pe)

$$Pe = \frac{diffusion time}{convection time} = \frac{L^2/D}{L/v} = \frac{v \cdot L}{D}$$

Pe >> 1 \rightarrow diffusion length (L_d), L_d << L

L = characteristic size, D = diffusion coefficient, v = flow velocity







Mixing requires long channels



TM Squires, RJ Messinger, SR Manalis, Making it stick: convection, reaction and diffusion in surface-based biosensors, Nat. Biotech. 26, 417 (2008)



- $\eta = 1 \text{ mPa.s}$

Background



low flow rates (µL/hr)

Simple Analogue Simulation



www.falstad.com



Unger MA, Chou HP, Thorsen T, Scherer A, Quake SR, "Monolithic Microfabricated Valves and Pumps by Multilayer Sott Lithography", Science 288: 113-116 (2000) Quake SR and Scherer A, "From Micro to Nano Fabrication with Soft Materials", Science 290: 1536-40 (2000). X. Wu, N, Schneider, A Platen, I Mitra, M Blazek, R Zengerle, R Schüle, M. Meier, PNAS, 113, E4143-50 (2016)

Chip-in-the-lab



Main functions



Control in Laboratory Configurations



www.dolomite-microfluidics.com/droplets



Lab Chip 2009, 417



Lab Chip 2016, 1698

Chemical sensing with cell phones

- Cell phone are the **most ubiquitous** technical infrastructure
- Available **for free** for chemical sensing if properly interfaced
- Autonomous increase of sophistication
- Wireless and portable communication platform
- Equipped with sophisticated physical transducers: cameras, screens, accelerometers, magnetic and capacitive sensors, etc.



Interfacing chemical sensing to cell phones

Computer controlled instruments



Jing Li, NASA's Ames Research Center for Cell-All DARPA IOIO Mint - Portable Android Development Kit

Reusable accessory solutions



Lab on a Chip 13 (2013), 51 JoVE (2013)DOI:10.3791/50451

Disposable accessory solutions



Biosens. & Bioelectron. 77, 2016, 1153 Angewandte Chemie 54, 2015, 8708 Trends in Biotechnology 32, 2014, 351 Angewandte Chemie 52, 2012, 11585

Ubiquitous

Not Ubiquitous

Device Requirements

- **Disposable** Selective and Low cost
- **Generic** Detection principles and Architectures
- Universal interfacing All phone models, brands, OS and generations (default OS resources)
- Autonomous



G. Comina, A. Suska, D. Filippini, Biosensors and Bioelectronics 77, 2016, 1153 P. Preechaburana, A. Suska, D. Filippini, Trends in Biotechnology 32, 2014, 351



Sample extraction /collection





E. Jue, et al., Lab Chip, 2016

sample extraction

S. Begolo, et al., Lab Chip, 2014, DOI: 10.1039/c4lc00910j

Sample collection and transport

I) Initiation

I) Activation

I) Operation

I) Operation

I) Termination



T. Kokalj, et al., LabChip,2014,14,4329-4333

G. Comina, et al., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 8708 -87

Sample collection and transport

sample pad result window pregnant not pregnant 3D paper fluidics / Paper Analytical Devices samples A B C D

Lateral flow devices



A. W. Martinez, S. T. Phillips, G. M. Whitesides, PNAS 105, 2008, 19606-19611



MIT Lab 5 / Paper Microfluidics, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=J5LwNGm0tbw

Phaseguides





P. Vulto, et al., Lab Chip 11, 2011, 1596-1602

Sample collection and transport

Lab-on-a-disk, Capillary valves



M. La, et al. ,Biomicrofluidics (2015)

sample preparation





Separation



I. Dimov, et al. ,Lab Chip, 2011, 11, 845-850

A. Browne, et al., Lab Chip, 2011, 11, 2440



Transport and conditioning



Detection

Cell Phone Readout: Intensity vs. Position and Time

Intensity

- •256 levels/channel
- Limited dynamic range
- Unchanged specifications
- Quantitative value affected by illumination

| Nikon D600 ADL Normal | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----|
| Canon PowerShot G1 X DR Off | | 19 |

Pixels and video frame rate

- 5MP front cameras (~2500x1900)
- 12 MP rear cameras (4000x3000)
- 30 fps to 240fps in slow motion
- Continuously evolving





P. Preechaburana, M. Collado Gonzalez, A. Suska, D. Filippini, Angewandte Chemie 52 (2012), 11585

SPR detection advantages

- Displacement detection

 <u>1920</u>x1080 pixels (HD video) vs.
 <u>256</u> levels intensity resolution (>7x)
 Robust to ambient light contamination
- **Time resolution** 30 fps standard (240 fps slow motion)
- Limited signal contrast well within cameras dynamic range
- Screen luminance (>200 nits) enough as light source.
- Generic principle / supports labelfree detection



Diffusion-reaction



L. Gerber, L. Rosenfeld, Y. Chen, S. Tang, Lab Chip, 2014, 14, 4324–4328

Air outlet





Y. Song, et al., NATURE COMMUNICATIONS | 3:1283 , 2012

F. Shen, et al., Lab Chip, 2010, 10, 2666-2672

Quantitative Glucose Unibody for **Any** cell phone



G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Angew. Chem. 54, 2015, 8708.

Summary

alucose concentration (mM)

- Classical microfluidic/LOC configurations offer an extensive catalog of analytical solutions, which are best configured for laboratory operation or in dedicated instruments.
- Autonomous LOC for cell phone detection imply adapting numerous microfluidic principles to operate beyond laboratories.
- Optical responses are best configured for cell phone detection using position and time response than the absolute value of intensity or color.

Optical Devices Laboratory Division of Sensor and Actuator Systems



1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017

Computer Aided Design (CAD) & 3D Printing

Daniel Filippini¹

Digital manufacturing entails the automatic conversion of a computer aided design (CAD) into its materialization as a physical object. Dominant industrial techniques such as computer numeric controlled drilling are subtractive methods, where a block of material is carved out to produce the desired CAD. Alternatively, modern additive manufacturing (AM) techniques aim at only contributing the material necessary to create the design. Beyond such economy of building materials, AM enables the generation of monolithic hollow geometries not possible with subtractive methods.

In this lecture, main AM technologies are presented, with special emphasis on AM fabrication of labon-a-chip (LOC) and optical devices. Practical advantages for low-cost fast-prototyping, especially relevant during the development cycle of any particular LOC or optical design are illustrated, and the Unibody-LOC design principle is discussed.

Examples of Unibody-LOC mixers, unidirectional valves, pumps and autonomous LOC are considered, as well as the use of the same workflow for the fabrication of optical components.

The lecture concludes with the illustration of a CAD for Unibody-LOC generation using minimum resources and free software.

Suggestions for further reading:

- [1] https://formlabs.com/3d-printers/form-2
- [2] http://www.nanoscribe.de/en/
- [3] https://www.3dhubs.com
- [4] Lab Chip 11 (2011), 288.
- [5] https://www.stratasysdirect.com
- [6] Nature Materials 16 (2017), 303.
- [7] Nature 536 (2016), 451.
- [8] Nature Materials 15 (2016), 815
- [9] Lab Chip 14 (2014), 424.
- [10] Lab Chip 14 (2014), 2978.
- [11] Anal. Methods, 2016, 8, 6135.
- [12] Angewandte Chemie 54 (2015), 8708.
- [13] https://www.autodesk.com/products/fusion-360/overview
- [14] Edmund Optics, The making of an Aspheric Lens, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=JK1auletTfg
- [15] M. Bass Ed., Handbook of Optics, Vol I, Chapter 40 and 41, McGraw-Hill, (1995)
- [16] I. Gibson, D. W. Rosen, B. Stucker, Additive Manufacturing Technologies. Rapid Prototyping to Direct Digital Manufacturing, Springer, (2010).
- [17] M. Schaub, J. Schwiegerling, E. C. Fest, A. Symmons, R. Hamilton Shepard, Molded Optics Design and Manufacture, CRC Press, (2011).

¹ E-mail; danfi@ifm.liu.se; Optical Devices Laboratory, Linköping University, Linköping, Sweden

1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017





Computer Aided Design (CAD) & 3D Printing

Daniel Filippini Professor in Applied Physics Optical Devices Laboratory (ODL) Division of Sensor and Actuator Systems (SAS), Linköping University, Sweden

optical Chemical Sensing, Autonomous Lab-on-a-chip, 3D printed Optics and Microfluidics



Overview

Digital microfabrication

- Additive Microfabrication Principles
- Motivations for additive microfabrication of Labon-a-chip (LOC) devices and optics.
- Examples of 3D printed fluidics and optics

Digital Manufacturing

- Computer Aided Design (CAD)
- Computer Aided Manufacturing (CAM)
 - Computer Numeric Control (CNC)

Subtractive Additive Drills, Milling Machines, Plasma Cutters, Water Jet Cutters, Laser Cutters. 3D printer.





Patek Phillipe Grandmaster Chime 2.6 m€ https://youtu.be/FdxbysUSSAM

Selective Laser Sintering (SLS)

CNC vs. Additive Manufacturing (AM)



- Conceived to work with metals
- Result is a part in a process / involves multiple manufacturing steps
- Expensive platforms
- Excellent surface finish
- Accuracy within 50 μ m
- Significant waste

- Conceived to work with polymers
- Single step manufacturing
- Less expensive platforms
- Poorer surface finish
- Accuracy worse than 500 μm
- Minimal waste (Sustainable)
- Complex monolithic architectures cannot be made by CNC



Additive Manufacturing (AM)



Vat photopolymerization



Stereolithography (SLA) uses a single point laser that maps a cross sectional area (layer) of a design through the bottom of the tank solidifying the material. Then he platform lifts up and lets a new layer of resin to be processed.



Direct light processing (DLP) uses a digital light projector screen to flash a single image of each layer all at once.

- Several platforms under 5000€
- Low surface roughness
- Voxel ~ 100 x 250 x 250 μm³





https://formlabs.com/3d-printers/form-2





2 photon polymerization -Direct Laser Writing ~100 nm resolution









Microscope Projection Lithography Systems - MPLS



Material extrusion



Fused Deposition Modeling

(FDM) uses uses a string of solid thermoplastic material (filament), pressed through a heated nozzle. The nozzle is scanned to deposit the melted material at precise locations, where it cools down and solidifies.

- From 100€
- Poor surface roughness
- Voxel ~ 100 x 500 x 500 μm³



Material extrusion

- 3D Bioprinters
- 20 000 250 000€
- Numerous materials
- Full control of the printing path
- Resolution similar to FDM



Nature Materials 16 (2017), 303.

Liquid Printer

- It does not print layer by layer
- Direct 3D path supported in a polyurethane gel.
- After gel removal free printout
- Faster than layer by layer
- Control of surface tension and channels geometry.
- Not commercial





Nature 536 (2016), 451.



Material jetting dispenses a photopolymer from hundreds of tiny jets in a printhead to build up a part layer by layer. As the droplets are deposited they are cured by UV light. The model requires support.

- 50 000 750 000€
- Industrial standard
- Resolution ~ 25μ m³

Material jetting



Multi-color and multi-material bio-model. Technology: PolyJet

Selective Laser Sintering (SLS)



SLS uses a laser to sinter thin layers of powdered material one layer at a time to create a solid structure. The process begins by spreading an initial layer of powder over a build platform. The cross section of the part is then sintered by the laser at which point the build platform drops down one layer thickness

• From 10 000USD (Fuse 1)







Nature Materials 15 (2016), 815

Lab-on-a-chip Microfabrication

Photolithography / Integrated Circuit Fabrication

- High reproducibility and throughput
- Parallel processing
- Automatic process
- Expensive equipment (~billion €)
- Expensive running costs / Clean room environment
- XY resolution 22-16 nm
- Z resolution ~Å



Motivations for 3D printed LOC

- Classical LOC microfabrication method is inherited from IC fabrication.
- It requires specialized skills (learning process) and special facilities (clean rooms).
- 3D structuring introduces additional fabrication steps, including additional permanent masks and alignment procedures.
- Flexibility to introduce changes is limited and costly.
- Thickness is typically limited to under 100μ m.
- 3D printed LOCs explore a low-cost fast-prototyping concept, which does not require clean rooms, specialized facilities, or training in fabrication.
Classical micro fabrication



3D Printed templates for PDMS



G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Lab Chip 14, 2014, 424.

3D Printed templates for PDMS Lab-on-a-chip



- Flexible to introduce changes (~4 iterations/day)
- Low cost (~0.5 USD/device)
- Fast (~20 min/device)
- Fabrication skills transferred to printer
- Any number of 3D features / without depth limitations
- Outside clean room

G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Lab Chip 14, 2014, 424.
G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Lab Chip 14, 2014, 2978.
G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Proc. of SPIE 10061 (2017).

Apple - Unibody Design





Stacking Plane Roughness



G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Micromachines 6, 2015, 437.



Fabrication platform

- Consumer grade stereolithography (SLA-laser (405nm)) 3D printer (Form1+, 2400 US\$) vs. (clean room 1p/year ~3600 US\$)
- Prototype fabrication time about 20min
- Materials cost ~0.5 US\$/prototype
- Resolution ~ 250 \times 250 \times 25 μm 50 \times 50 \times 50 μm
- Surface roughness <u>around Ι μm</u>
- Working volume: 125 x 125 mm x 165 mm 40 x 30 mm x 180 mm
- Proprietary resin, undisclosed composition. MSDS: Acrylate Monomer + Modified Acrylate Oligomer + Epoxy Monomer + Photoinitiator.

G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, *Lab Chip* 14, 2014, 424. G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, *Lab Chip* 14, 2014, 2978.

74

Use of z-dimension with Form I+ 3D Printing

- Complete freedom to configure geometry
- Increase printing time but allows simultaneous printouts
- Easier to avoid closed structures
- Wall roughness is better than Miicraft and enables 4 configurable sides

Unibody Mixers



G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Lab Chip 14, 2014, 2978.

Unibody-LOC unidirectional valves



G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, *Angew. Chem.* 54, 2015, 8708.G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, *Micromachines* 6, 2015, 437.

Same CAD in different printers

Miicraft Form1+

check-valve

silicone

tubing

Х

Suska, A.; Comina, G; Filippini, D. SPIE BIOS, San Francisco, 28-31 January 2017.



Ζ

У

STREET, STREET

Unibody injector with manual pumps

tape



Suska, A.; Comina, G; Filippini, D. Proceedings of MFHS 2014 – 2nd International Conference on MicroFluidic Handling Systems, 2014.

Unibody-LOC for enzymatic detection



- H,L calibration range
- Integrated pump
- Integrated mixer
- Deep features for reagent capture
- Optical readout
- Conditioning = 6s
- Glucose
- Glutamate

 \odot - \triangleright +

G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Angew. Chem. 54, 2015, 8708.
G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Anal. Methods, 2016, 8, 6135.

Unibody Paper Fluidics

cellulose paste



G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, Lab Chip 14, 2014, 2978.

Fabrication of Optical Components

Classical Fabrication of Lenses

- Demands expensive industrial equipment
- Multiple manufacturing steps
- Requires trained operators
- Hard to customize beyond solids of revolution



Edmund Optics



G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, *Angew. Chem.* 54, 2015, 8708.G. Comina, A. Suska and D. Filippini, *Anal. Methods, 2016, 8, 6135*

Computer Aided Design (CAD)



Autodesk Inventor Fusion





















22) Open .stl file with the printer software23) Print in the indicated direction

Summary

- Affordable SLA and DLP 3D printers are well adapted for low-cost fast-prototyping of disposable optics and microfluidics.
- Additive techniques not only facilitate numerous affordable iterations during the development process but also allow architectures not easily achievable by classical methods.
- Releases the resources normally devoted to routine fabrication labor for more creative design and optimization tasks.













































Experimental design

- · The route from analyte solution to app readout is long
- · Many choices need to be made, choices influence each other
- · Good data can only come from good measurements
- 'Design of Experiments' invented by Roland Fisher →



Design of Experiments

- · A systematic way to design what experiments to do to find the best measurement
 - *E.g.* X1 = cmos chips Sony vs. Samsung
 - X2 = measurement height 5 cm vs 1 cm
 - X3 = measurement at 6:00 and at 18:00 (maybe also 12:00)
 - Repeat every experiment 1 ... 8 several times, with different analysts
- But:
 - Maybe the optimum is not at an 'experiment'
 - Should we do all experiments?










































Data handling in smartphone colorimetry

- Going from colorimetric measurement to readout is a multi-step process systematically different from 'conventional' spectroscopy
- You can use Design of Experiments and Optimization to improve calibration of your colorimetric setup
- The multivariate advantage provides a lot of relevant problems that you will meet in the application of smartphone colorimetry in real-life practice!
- Questions: jj.jansen@science.ru.nl











- Coffee, liquorice, tea, spices, herbs (AFB, OTA)
- All products derived from these commodities (including feed)





| RDASSMART APP | rbiopharm |
|---|-----------|
| Why test for mycotoxins? | |
| Most countries have mycotoxin regulation(s) | |
| • Mycotoxins have a significant economic and social impact | |
| Direct crop revenue loss due to mycotoxins | |
| Decrease of growth rate livestock | |
| Trada flaur Jacoba | |

R-Bioph

Trade flow losses

RIDA SMART App

- Public health costs & social costs
 - USA/Canada: Annually mycotoxin related losses ± \$ 5 billion
 Molds spoil app. 10% of the world's annual harvest (Science, 2010)



























































| RIDA*SM | ART APP – on-site myco | toxin testing with smartphone-ba | sed test evaluation |
|--|--|--|--|
| | | | na incana an anatria fan infansi an |
| intrada bas | | Construction and a second se | - |
| | | A spectra of the spec | 0 |
| Marriezand re- | the fit is a second | the second s | |
| and the second s | Contractive Contractive Contractive | Andres and an and an annual state of the local data and an and and | |
| 70110 | | Mangel Managel and Annual Configer and Annual An | C |
| 1 | No. of Concession, Name of Concession, Name of Street, or other | Second Control Control and Control of Second | Contraction of the second s |
| 1000 | | | |
| | The destroyed in the second second | | |
| and the second second | | | |
| 1000 | THE REPORT OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIP | | |
| and in case of | | | and the second sec |
| | | The second | Contains |
| and other states as a | | Contraction define to comments in some in the same strate of some | and the second s |
| | | And and the second state of the second state o | Sound to a minimum data secondary for charts granter and |
| Sector Sector | and the second se | and the other deal date the least with the state which it is the second of | Second and a second framework of the second se |
| 100 | BARABBAR | Laborary Martines and Annual Tracks - Construction Contract to Annual Contraction | A selected to be explained and a second seco |
| ig i have charts | D'and a second second second second | and a second diversifier of a second se | and the second sec |
| | | The comparison of the comparison of the temperature of the comparison of the compari | and the second s |



| A®SMART APP | | | | | | re | Nopha | m |
|---|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|----------|---------|---------|-------------------|
| ecoverv rates | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 4 | - 11 | |
| Fig 4: Recovery rates. Irilog | y∞ refer | ence m | aterials | were | analyze | d with | all | 1 |
| RIDA®SMART APP-compatib | le R-Bic | pharm | lateral | flow tes | sts. Myo | cotoxin | concer | itrations |
| on the reference material cer | tificates | were s | et as ta | rget va | lues. | | | |
| ION'QUICK DON (A.r. No. 83908) | Trillogy* rel | ference mate | erial (wheat | | | | | |
| arget value | ND | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 6.2 |
| ecosy [N] | - H. | 105 | 93 | 91 | 100 | 95 | 104 | 22 |
| 1018 | Trilogy" ret | IN THE MARK | etal (com) | | | | | 1 |
| arget value | ND | 0,5 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 27 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 6.2 |
| lecousty [%] | | 213 | 104 | 102 | 105 | 100 | 104 | 97 |
| IDATQUICK ABAIner RQS (Art. No. \$5205) | Tringp ⁴ rel | entrate make | | | | | | the second second |
| anget value | ND | 1.7 | 5.9 | 14.3 | 20.2 | 31.6 | 50.8 | 98.7 |
| kcowery (Ni) | | - | 97 | 110 | 104 | 106 | 96 | 82 |
| RAYQUEK Attansis RQS LCD (Art. No. 85204) | Trillogy" ref | erence male | ebal (corn) | | | | | |
| arget value | ND | 3.7 | 5.9 | 14,3 | 20.2 | 31.6 | 50.8 | 98.7 |
| ecovery PNI | | | 88 | 84 | 93 | 88 | 100 | 97 |
| BATQUECK Zearelenon RQS (Art. No. 85504) | fology" ref | erence mate | mal(corn) | 16 3 | 100 3 | 1 10 | St. St. | Connected in |
| aget value | ND | 59 | 88 | 121 | 163 | .267 | 472 | 1021 |
| kcovery [%] | | 73 | 117 | 121 | 111 | 86 | 82 | 84 |
| EXPOLICK Formation RQS (Mrt. No. 85404) | Triog/ rei | erence make | elat(com) | | | | | |
| arget value | ND | 0.6 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 6.6 | 9.2 | 12.5 |
| RECOVERY [%] | | 92 | 111 | 98 | 94 | 101 | 84 | - |
| ISATQUICK T-2 / HT-2 RQE (Art. No. 85304) | Oats sample | e (for spice) | level and three | at values? | 2 110 J | 5 W 3 | 1 10 | 1 mm |
| | 10 | 50 | 100 | 350 | 400 | 600 | 800 | 1000 |
| arget value | ND | | | | | | | |



| aa it wark? | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| es it work? | | | | | | | |
| and we validated the | RIDA®SMARTA | APP using Trilog | v certified | | | | |
| nycotoxin reference | materials. Here | are some data fo | r aflatoxin | | | | |
| compared to the RIE | A®QUICK SCA | V reader): | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Trilogy Reference Materia | Reference value | Res | ults | | | | |
| Trilogy Reference Materia | Reference value | Res RIDA®QUICK SCAN | ults RIDA®SMART APP | | | | |
| Trilogy Reference Materia | Reference value Blank | Res RIDA®QUICK SCAN <4 µg/kg | <mark>RIDA®SMART APP</mark> <4 μg/kg | | | | |
| Trilogy Reference Materia AC-215 AC-285 | Reference value Blank 5,9 µg/kg | RIDA®QUICK SCAN <4 µg/kg 4.5 µg/kg | IDA®SMART APP <4 µg/kg 5.2 µg/kg | | | | |
| Trilogy Reference Materia AC-215 AC-285 AC-2203 | Reference value Blank 5,9 µg/kg 11,1 µg/kg | Res RIDA®QUICK SCAN <4 µg/kg | Its RIDA®SMART APP <4 µg/kg | | | | |
| Trilogy Reference Materia AC-215 AC-285 AC-2203 AC-286 | Reference value Blank 5.9 µg/kg 11,1 µg/kg 20,2 µg/kg | Res RIDA®QUICK SCAN <4 µg/kg | RIDA®SMART APP <4 µg/kg | | | | |



| DA®QUIC | K mycoto | kin tests | | | |
|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 'K mycotoxin latera | al flow tests | _ | | |
| UVE KIDA-QUIC | A mycoloximalere | ai now tests | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Article number | Test | Detection | on range | | |
| | implementation | BIDATESANT AND | | | |
| 85206 | Simin | 4 - 100 un/hn | A 100 mm/km | | |
| 85904 | 5 min | 500 - 5500 un/kg | 500 - 5500 ug/kg | | |
| 85606 | 5 min | 300 - 10000 µg/kg | 300 - 10000 µa/kg | | |
| 85304 | 5 min | 30 - 10000 µg/kg | 50 - 8000 µg/kg | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Article number | Test | Detection range | | | |
| | I mpementation | RIDA'SMART APP | RIDA"OUICK SCAN | | |
| 85205 | 5 min | 4 - 100 µg/kg | 4 - 100 µg/kg | | |
| BCCOA | 6 min | 50 - 1000 un/ha | 75 - 500 un/kn | | |
| | Article number RS206 RS904 RS9 | Article number Test 15206 S min 15206 S min 15205 S min | Article number Text Detect Article number Text Detect Association Simin Sociation (Stream) Association (Str | | |





| RIDA®SMART APP | r-biopharm |
|---|-------------------|
| Why use smartphone technology? | <u> </u> |
| First of all: Technology | |
| Camera quality in most smartphones is very good | |
| Smartphone software can do the evaluations and calculate | ions |
| Smartphones are easily available (Just go online and order | ©) and affordable |
| They are globally certainly more easily available than "lateral flow re | eaders" |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| erida smart App | R-Biopharm Group |



















1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017

Smartphone-based NIR scanners

Yannick Weesepoel¹

During the past years, the field of vibrational spectroscopics is in the process of 'democratization'. The available spectroscopic hardware can nowadays be purchased for as little as USD 250, is miniaturized or build into a smartphone, and the software interface has been made dummy-proof. These recent developments pave the way for so-called 'food scanners' and can basically deal with applications which are complementary to the envisioned H2020 FoodSmartPhone applications. The commercial available food scanners are mainly based on near-infrared spectroscopy (NIR) and can therefore have applications in determination of the macro-composition of (unprocessed) foods, determination of food authenticity and various quality parameters like freshness. However, before a workable food scanner application can be deployed for citizens, a spectral database has to be constructed covering sufficiently the within-food product variation and the measuring practises variation by the end-user. In this lecture we will therefore touch upon the various aspects of building a smartphone-based NIR application for industry and consumers. This will cover the following topics:

- Sampling for a reliable database
- Protocols for reliable measuring
- Dealing with instrumental error and multivariate statistics
- Validation of your spectral database
- Communication of the results to the end-user
- Pilot-applications for NIR food scanners

Finally, we will touch upon the development of new type of scanners in the H2020 project PhasmaFOOD (www.phasmafood.eu). This project aims to develop a scanner for a wider array of food applications by combining different type of spectral sensors and imaging techniques.

Suggestions for further reading:

- [1] Future trends in food authenticity: Pustjens, A. M.; Weesepoel, Y.; van Ruth, S. M., 1 Food Fraud and Authenticity: Emerging Issues and Future Trends A2 - Leadley, C.E. In Innovation and Future Trends in Food Manufacturing and Supply Chain Technologies, Woodhead Publishing: 2016; pp 3-20.
- [2] Spectral Error: Bazar, G.; Kovacs, Z.; Tsenkova, R., Evaluating Spectral Signals to Identify Spectral Error. PLoS One 2016, 11, 15.
- [3] Validation: Alewijn, M.; van der Voet, H.; van Ruth, S., Validation of multivariate classification methods using analytical fingerprints - concept and case study on organic feed for laying hens.
 J. Food Compos. Anal. 2016, 51, 15-23.
- [4] SCiO sensor case: Wilson, B. K.; Kaur, H.; Allan, E. L.; Lozama, A.; Bell, D., A New Handheld Device for the Detection of Falsified Medicines: Demonstration on Falsified Artemisinin-Based Therapies from the Field. Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg. 2017, 96, 1117-1123.
- [5] Data fusion: Borras, E.; Ferre, J.; Boque, R.; Mestres, M.; Acena, L.; Busto, O., Data fusion methodologies for food and beverage authentication and quality assessment - A review. Anal. Chim. Acta 2015, 891, 1-14.

¹ E-mail; yannick.weesepoel@wur.nl; Wageningen University & Research, RIKILT, Bu Authenticity and Bioassays, Wageningen, The Netherlands

1st Summer School on Smartphone-based Food Analysis Wageningen, The Netherlands, 26-30 June 2017

- [6] Case on hand-held NIR (MicroNIR): O'Brien, N.; Hulse, C. A.; Pfeifer, F.; Siesler, H. W., Technical Note Near infrared spectroscopic authentication of seafood. J. Near Infrared Spectrosc. 2013, 21, 299-305.
- [7] Case on Oregano: Black, C.; Haughey, S. A.; Chevallier, O. P.; Galvin-King, P.; Elliott, C. T., A comprehensive strategy to detect the fraudulent adulteration of herbs: The oregano approach. Food Chem. 2016, 210, 551-557.
- [8] PhasmaFOOD NIR sensor: Pugner, T.; Knobbe, J.; Gruger, H., Near-Infrared Grating Spectrometer for Mobile Phone Applications. Appl. Spectrosc. 2016, 70, 734-745.





































| | | | | Gmail | Images | *** | 0 | Y | |
|--------------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|--------|-----|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| C | 000 | | | | | | | | |
| G | OD | JIC | | | | | | | |
| | | 200 B 20100 CB | | | | | | | |
| today I would like to | | | Ų | | | | | | |
| today i would like to ta | ilk about | | | | | | | | |
| i would like to leave ea | arly today | | | | | | | | |
| i would like to take sid | k leave today | | | | | | | | |
| i would like to take da | y on today | | | | | | | | |
| | Google Search | I'm Feeling Lucky | | | | | | | |
| | | B | port inappropria | te predictions | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |















13























| Liquids: Distilled spirits | | 8 |
|----------------------------|--|---|
| | TESTING MODEL RESULTS 000000 0000000 000000000 00000000000000 000000000000000000000000000000000000 | 0 |






















Mobile Microscopy, Sensing and Diagnostics through Computational Photonics

Aydogan Ozcan, Ph.D.

Electrical Engineering Department, Bioengineering Department, California NanoSystems Institute

University of California, Los Angeles, CA

ozcan@ucla.edu ; http://innovate.ee.ucla.edu/ ; http://org.ee.ucla.edu/

My research focuses on the use of computation/algorithms to create new optical microscopy, sensing, and diagnostic techniques, significantly improving existing tools for probing micro- and nano-objects while also simplifying the designs of these analysis tools. In this presentation, I will introduce a new set of computational microscopes which use lens-free on-chip imaging to replace traditional lenses with holographic reconstruction algorithms. Basically, 3D images of specimens are reconstructed from their "shadows" providing considerably improved field-of-view (FOV) and depth-of-field, thus enabling large sample volumes to be rapidly imaged, even at nanoscale. These new computational microscopes routinely generate >1-2 billion pixels (giga-pixels), where even single viruses can be detected with a FOV that is >100 fold wider than other techniques. At the heart of this leapfrog performance lie self-assembled liquid nano-lenses that are computationally imaged on a chip. These self-assembled nano-lenses are stable for >1 hour at room temperature, and are composed of a biocompatible buffer that prevents nano-particle aggregation while also acting as a spatial "phase mask." The field-of-view of these computational microscopes is equal to the active-area of the sensor-array, easily reaching, for example, >20 mm² or >10 cm² by employing state-of-the-art CMOS or CCD imaging chips, respectively.

In addition to this remarkable increase in throughput, another major benefit of this technology is that it lends itself to fieldportable and cost-effective designs which easily integrate with smartphones to conduct giga-pixel tele-pathology and microscopy even in resource-poor and remote settings where traditional techniques are difficult to implement and sustain, thus opening the door to various telemedicine applications in global health. Some other examples of these smartphone-based biomedical tools that I will describe include imaging flow cytometers, immunochromatographic diagnostic test readers, bacteria/pathogen sensors, blood analyzers for complete blood count, and allergen detectors. Through the development of similar computational imagers, I will also report the discovery of new 3D swimming patterns observed in human and animal sperm. One of this newly discovered and extremely rare motion is in the form of "chiral ribbons" where the planar swings of the sperm head occur on an osculating plane creating in some cases a helical ribbon and in some others a twisted ribbon. Shedding light onto the statistics and biophysics of various microswimmers' 3D motion, these results provide an important example of how biomedical imaging significantly benefits from emerging computational algorithms/theories, revolutionizing existing tools for observing various microand nano-scale phenomena in innovative, high-throughput, and yet cost-effective ways.

Biography: Dr. Aydogan Ozcan received his Ph.D. degree at Stanford University Electrical Engineering Department. After a short postdoctoral fellowship at Stanford University, he was appointed as a research faculty at Harvard Medical School, Wellman Center for Photomedicine in 2006. Dr. Ozcan joined UCLA in 2007 and he is currently the Chancellor's Professor at UCLA and an HHMI Professor with the Howard Hughes Medical Institute, leading the Bio- and Nano-Photonics Laboratory at UCLA Electrical Engineering and Bioengineering Departments, and is also the Associate Director of the California NanoSystems Institute at UCLA.

Dr. Ozcan holds 34 issued patents and 20+ pending patent applications for his inventions in telemedicine, mobile health, nanoscopy, wide-field imaging, lensless imaging, nonlinear optics, fiber optics, and optical coherence tomography. Dr. Ozcan gave more than 35 plenary/keynote talks and 300+ invited talks and is also the author of one book, the co-author of more than 450 peer reviewed publications in major scientific journals and conferences. In addition, Dr. Ozcan is the founder and a member of the Board of Directors of Holomic/Cellmic LLC, which was named a Technology Pioneer by The World Economic Forum in 2015.

Prof. Ozcan received several major awards including the 2011 Presidential Early Career Award for Scientists and Engineers (PECASE), which is the highest honor bestowed by the United States government on science and engineering professionals in the early stages of their independent research careers. Dr. Ozcan received this prestigious award for developing innovative optical technologies and signal processing approaches that have the potential to make a significant impact in biological science and medicine; addressing public health needs in less developed countries; and service to the optical science community including mentoring and support for underserved minority undergraduate and graduate students. Dr. Ozcan also received the 2015 UCLA Postdoctoral Scholars Mentoring Award for his commitment to training and mentoring of postdoctoral researchers. In addition, Dr. Ozcan received the inaugural Rahmi M. Koc Science Medal, the International Commission for Optics (ICO) Prize, the inaugural SPIE BioPhotonics Technology Innovator Award, the Army Research Office (ARO) Young Investigator Award, SPIE Early Career Achievement Award, NSF CAREER Award, NIH Director's New Innovator Award, the Office of Naval Research (ONR) Young Investigator Award, the IEEE Photonics Society Young Investigator Award and the MIT's Technology Review TR35 Award for his seminal contributions to near-field and on-chip imaging, and telemedicine based diagnostics.

Prof. Ozcan is also the recipient of the 2016 IEEE Photonics Society Distinguished Lecturer Award, 2013 and 2015 Microscopy Today Innovation Awards, 2012 Popular Science Brilliant 10 Award, 2012 National Academy of Engineering (NAE) The Grainger Foundation Frontiers of Engineering Award, 2011 Innovators Challenge Award presented by the Rockefeller Foundation and mHealth Alliance, the 2010 National Geographic Emerging Explorer Award, the 2010 Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation Grand Challenges Award, the 2010 Popular Mechanics Breakthrough Award, the 2010 Netexplorateur Award given by the Netexplorateur Observatory & Forum in France, the 2009 and 2016 Wireless Innovation Award organized by the Vodafone Americas Foundation as well as the 2008 Okawa Foundation Award, given by the Okawa Foundation in Japan.

Prof. Ozcan was selected as one of the top 10 innovators by the U.S. Department of State, USAID, NASA, and NIKE as part of the LAUNCH: Health Forum organized in 2010. He also received the 2012 World Technology Award on Health and Medicine, which is presented by the World Technology Network in association with TIME, CNN and AAAS.

Dr. Ozcan is a Fellow of SPIE, OSA, IEEE, AIMBE, RSC and the Guggenheim Foundation, and is a Lifetime Member of AAAS, SPIE and OSA.



Figure 1. Some Examples of Computational Micro-analysis, Sensing and Diagnostic Tools (a) A lensfree holographic microscope that weighs \sim 45 grams. (b) A cellphone that is modified based on the same lensless holographic microscopy technology. (c) A wide-field fluorescent microscope that is installed on a cellphone using a compact and cost-effective optical interface. (d) An imaging fluorescent *flow-cytometer* installed on a cellphone. (*e-f*) A cellphone attachment for automated reading and quantification of immunochromatographic rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs). (*g-h*) A compact and cost-effective blood analysis platform installed on a cellphone for the measurement of the density of red and white blood cells as well as hemoglobin concentration in blood samples. (*i*) An optical attachment for *E. coli* detection on a cellphone using quantum dot based sandwich assay in glass capillary tubes, with a detection sensitivity of ~5-10 CFU/mL. (*j*) A personalized allergen testing platform running on a cellphone based fluorescent microscope that is capable of imaging single nanoparticles. (*I*) Detection and spatial mapping of mercury contamination in water samples using a smart-phone (sensitivity: ~3-4 ppb). (*m*) Smartphone-based urinary albumin tester. (*n*) Immunochromatographic diagnostic test analysis using Google Glass.

Related References: <u>http://goo.gl/uYeiKn</u>















| | 235 | children (**) and labelled and sold as such (*) Baby foods other than processed cereal-based foods for | 10.0 |
|-------------|-----------|--|-------------------|
| | 2.2.0 | infants and young children (3) (4) | 10,0 |
| ▼ <u>M1</u> | 2.4 | Deoxynivalenol (¹⁷) | |
| | 2.4.1 | Unprocessed cereals (18) (19) other than durum wheat, oats and maize | 1 250 |
| | 2.4.2 | Unprocessed durum wheat and oats (18) (19) | 1 750 |
| | 2.4.3 | Unprocessed maize (¹⁸), with the exception of unprocessed maize intended to be processed by wet milling (³⁷) | 1 750 (20) |
| | <u>90</u> | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 2006R1881 | EN — 01.07.2010 - |
| ▼ <u>M1</u> | | | |
| 03 1 30 | <u>.</u> | | |

| | roodsturis () | wiaxinium revers (µg/k) |
|-------|--|-------------------------|
| 2.4.4 | Cereals intended for direct human consumption, cereal flour, bran and germ as end product marketed for direct human consumption, with the exception of foodstuffs listed in 2.4.7, 2.4.8 and 2.4.9 | 750 |
| 2.4.5 | Pasta (dry) (22) | 750 |
| 2.4.6 | Bread (including small bakery wares), pastries, biscuits, cereal snacks and breakfast cereals | 500 |
| 2.4.7 | Processed cereal-based foods and baby foods for infants and young children (3) (7) | 200 |
| 2.4.8 | Milling fractions of maize with particle size > 500 micron falling within CN code 1103 13 or 1103 20 40 and other maize milling products with particle size > 500 micron not used for direct human consumption falling within CN code 1904 10 10 | 750 (20) |
| 2.4.9 | Milling fractions of maize with particle size ≤ 500 micron falling within CN code 1102 20 and other maize milling products with particle size ≤ 500 micron not used for direct human consumption falling within CN code 1904 10 10 | 1 250 (20) |
| 2.5 | Zearalenone (¹⁷) | |
| 2.5.1 | Unprocessed cereals (18) (19) other than maize | 100 |
| 2.5.2 | Unprocessed maize (18) with the exception of unprocessed | 350 (²⁰) |









| Day 2: The real content? | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| sample | DON added (ppm) | DON measured (ppm) | | | | | |
| Barley 4, 5 | 3 | 4.8 | Blanks? | | | | |
| Barley 9, 10 | 20 | 21 | | | | | |
| Beer S3 | 1 | 1.3 | | | | | |
| Beer S6 | 1 | 1.7 | | | | | |
| Beer S8 | 1 | 1.8 | (1) | | | | |
| | | Ner: | | | | | |
| RIKILT | has been | determined | by Laura! | | | | |



2nd FoodSmartphone Summer School Food Applications, QA/QC and Validation

18 - 22 June 2018 Prague, Czech Republic



University of Chemistry and Technology Prague Technická 3, 166 28 Prague 6, Czech Republic



Certificate of Participation

Awarded to

for successfully completion of the

2nd FoodSmartphone Summer School Food Applications, QA/QC and Validation

held in Prague from 18 – 22 June 2018

and organised by the H2020 Marie-Curie project FoodSmartphone in cooperation with the Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition, University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague



Prof. Jana Hajslova, PhD. *Course Director* Prof. Jana Pulkrabova, PhD. Head of Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition





2nd FoodSmartphone Summer School: Food Applications, QA/QC and Validation

18 - 22 June 2018, Prague, Czech Republic

Organised by the H2020 Marie-Curie project FoodSmartphone in co-operation with the Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition, University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague.

Course Director: Prof. Jana Hajslova Course organizer: Martina Vlckova Co-organizer: Mr. Wim Beek (H2020 FoodSmartphone project)

Course venue: Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition, University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague, Technicka 3, Prague 6, Lecture room B32 (3. Floor, building B)

Monday, 18 June 2018

| 10:30 | Registration with coffee / tea | |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 11:15-11:30 | Welcome, short introduction to the programme | Prof. Jana Hajslova (UCT Prague) |
| 11:30-12:30 | Introduction to QA/QC and ISO 17025 accreditation in testing | Prof. Vladimír Kocourek (UCT Prague) |
| | laboratories | |
| 12:30-13:30 | Lunch (Carbon club) | |
| 13:30-13:45 | Group photo outside | |
| 13:45-15:00 | Analytical validation of MS based methods according to EU | Prof. Jana Hajslova (UCT Prague) |
| | requirements | |
| 15:00-15:30 | Coffee, tea & refreshments | |
| 15:30-17:00 | Specific food quality and safety application requirements | Petr Cuhra (CAFIA) |
| 17:00-18:00 | Questions, Discussion | Prof. Jana Hajslova, Prof. Vladimir |
| | | Kocourek (UCT Prague), Petr Cuhra |
| | | (CAFIA) |

19:00-21:00 Course Dinner (Kulatak restaurant)

Tuesday, 19 June 2018

| 08:30-09:00 | Coffee & tea | |
|-------------|--|--|
| 09:00-10:00 | Barilla Quality &Food Safety management system | Antonio Nespoli (Barilla) |
| 10:00-11:00 | Validation: how to make your data as informative as possible and how to Ensure that your predictions are real | Dr. Jeroen Jansen (Radboud University) |
| 11:00-11:30 | Coffee & tea | |
| 11:30-12:00 | Discussion | Antonio Nespoli (Barilla), Dr. Jeroen Jansen (Radboud University), Prof. Hajslova (UCT Prague) |
| 12:00-13:00 | Lunch (Carbon club) | |
| 13:00-14:30 | Hands-on labwork | 3 groups (Dr. Lucie Drabova, Dr. Vojtech Hrbek, Kamila Hurkova) |
| 14:30-15:00 | Coffee, tea & refreshments | |
| 15:00-17:00 | Concepts and Guidelines for Validation of Screening Methods for Residue Analysis: EU Requirements | Dr. Roger Galve, Dr. Pablo Salvador (CSIC) |
| 17:00-18:00 | Happy hour presentations | Prof. Jana Hajslova (UCT Prague) |

Wednesday, 20 June 2018

10:00-12:00Czech Agriculture and Food Inspection Authority (CAFIA)Dr. Martin Kubik, Dr. Radim Stepan
(CAFIA)

Thursday, 21 June 2018

| 08:30-09:00 | Coffee & tea | |
|---------------|--|--|
| 09:00-11:00 | Workshop: development of fit-for-purpose validation protocols for smartphone-based assays | Dr. Cuong Cao, Dr. Katrina Campbell (QUB) |
| 11:00-11:30 | Coffee & tea | |
| 11:30-13:00 | Hands-on labwork | 3 groups (Dr. Lucie Drabova, Dr. Vojtech Hrbek, Kamila Hurkova) |
| 13:00-14:00 | Lunch (Carbon club) | |
| 14:00-15:30 | Hands-on labwork (continued) | 3 groups (Dr. Lucie Drabova, Dr. Vojtech Hrbek, Kamila Hurkova) |
| 15:30-16:00 | Coffee, tea & refreshments | |
| 16:00-17:00 | Critical comparison and benchmarking of technologies | Prof. Jana Hajslova (UCT Prague) |
| 17:00-18:00 | Happy hour presentations | Prof. Jana Hajslova (UCT Prague) |
| Friday, 22 Ju | ne 2018 | |

08:30-09:00Coffee & tea09:00-10:30Collaborative validation studiesDr. Katerina Mastovska (Covance)10:30-11:00Coffee & tea, meet the expertTr. Katerina Mastovska (Covance)11.00-11.15Course certificatesProf. Jana Hajslova (UCT Prague)11:15-12:00Lunch (Carbon club)Frof. Jana Hajslova (UCT Prague)





Participants' Evaluation of FoodSmartphone Summer School

Term of FoodSmartphone Summer School: 18 – 22 June 2018

Name:

1. Summer School was organized in accordance with my expectations.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|--|--|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | | |
| | | | | | | |

Comment:

2. All topics of my interest were relevant to me.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |

Comment:

3. The content / programme was well organized and easy to follow.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|--|--|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree | | |
| | | | | | | |

Comment:

4. This training experience will be useful in my work.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Commont: | | | | |

Comment:

5. The documents distributed were helpful.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Comment. | | | | |

Comment:

6. The trainers were knowledgeable about the training topics.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Comment. | | | | |

Comment:

7. The time allocated for the training was sufficient.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Carrier | | | | |

Comment:

8. Can you suggest any changes / improvements / other topics for future FoodSmartphone Summer School?

9. What did you missed in programme?

Thank You for Your Feedback !



Introduction to QA/QC

and ISO 17025 accreditation in testing laboratories

Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition, UCT Prague

Vladimír Kocourek

Prague, June 2018

Accredited entity according to ČSN EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005: University of Chemistry and Technology Prague Metrological and Testing laboratory Technická 5, 166 28 Prague 6, Czech Republic

The Laboratory is qualified to update standards identifying the test procedures. The laboratory has a flexible scope of accreditation permitted as detailed in the Annex. Updated list of activities provided within the flexible scope of accreditation is available at the laboratory (from the Head of Laboratory).

The laboratory is qualified to provide expert opinions and to interpret test results.

| Tests: | | | |
|-------------------|--|---|---|
| Ordinal number | Test procedure/method name | Test procedure/method identification | Tested object |
| 1. | Determination of pesticide residues and their metabolites by GC-MS method and calculation of sums from the measured values (multi- residue method) ¹ | KM 01 | Food, beverages and water [*] , food raw materials, fats, oils, honey, food supplements, baby and infant food, novel food ^{***,} human and animal tissues and body fluids, plant materials, crops, feedstuffs and preparations |
| 2. | Determination of pesticide residues and their metabolites by LC-MS method and calculation of sums from the measured values (multi- residue method 2) ² | KM 02 (ČSN EN 15662) | Food, beverages and water ^{**} , food raw materials, plant materials, food supplements, baby and infant food, novel food ^{***} , crops, feedstuffs and preparations |
| 3. | Determination of dithiocarbamate fungicides by SPME/GC-MS method | KM03 | Food of plant origin, baby and infant food, crops, feedstuffs and preparations |
| 4. | Determination of growth regulators, desiccants and herbicides by LC-MS method ³ | KM 04 | Food, beverages and water [*] , food raw materials, human and animal tissues and body fluids, food supplements, baby and infant food, novel food ^{***} , crops, feedstuffs and |

"Accredo - delivering confidence"



The ILAC Mutual Recognition Arrangement (MRA) supports international trade by promoting international confidence and acceptance of accredited laboratory and inspection results.



Czech Accreditation Institute (CAI) is EC notified as a national accreditation body for accreditation of the Testing Laboratories according to EN ISO/IEC 17025:2005

European Co-operation for Accreditation (EA): organisation associating national accreditation bodies; EA MLA signatory



Flexible scope

Flexibility

Ordinal number of test methods

1-25

The Laboratory is allowed to modify the test methods listed in the Annex within the specified scope of accreditation provided the measuring principle is observed.

The flexible approach to the scope of accreditation cannot be applied to the tests not included in the Annex.

EA-2/15 M (2008) "Requirements for the Accreditation of Flexible Scopes"

ILAC-G18:04/2010 "Guideline for the Formulation of Scopes of Accreditation"

When a laboratory is granted a flexible scope, it is allowed to include additional activities in its scope of accreditation on the basis of its own validations without evaluation by the accreditation body prior to operation of the activity.

The possibility of introducing new, modified or developed methods under flexible scope does not include introduction of new measurement principles of testing.



Flexible scope of accreditation

✓ Flexibility concerning object/matrix/sample

changes with respect to various matrices within a product area (e.g. LC-MS method which is extended from determination of mycotoxins in cereals and bakery products for the determination of mycotoxins in herbal food supplements).

 Flexibility concerning parameters/components/analytes changes with respect to parameters (e.g. the extension of DON determination in cereals to other mycotoxins in cereals by LC-MS method).

 Flexibility concerning the performance of the method changes in the performance of the method for a given matrix type and a given analyte (e.g. the modification of measuring range and uncertainty).

Flexibility concerning the method

This means flexibility which allows adoption of methods that are equivalent to methods already covered by accreditation (e.g. new method based on the same measuring principle).

If a laboratory develops new testing methods or modifies them, it requires a sound technical understanding of the techniques used. This competence can be acquired, e.g. by participation in suitable research projects or developing projects, in projects for the development or standardisation of test method etc.



Choice of Method

It is important to appreciate the difference between an 'analytical method' (combination of steps illustrated by the 'analytical process') and an 'analytical technique' (chemical or instrumental procedure by which analytical data is eventually obtained). In selecting a method we shall consider the following parameters:

- sample type (matrix) and size (lot or a little);
- data required (qualitative/quantitative);
- expected level(s) of analyte(s);
- precision & accuracy expected;
- likely interferences;
- number & frequency of samples for analysis.



Consider a standard method if available - as this will save on development time. However the method must be checked to prove that it suitable for your laboratory/situation. Modification may well be required.



Analytical method for decision making







- QMS documented and reviewed
- laboratory environment and facilities are suitable
- educated and trained personnel
- training procedures and records
- specifications for reagents, and reference materials (RMs)
- equipment maintained and calibrated
- procedures for sample handling
- documented and validated methods
- metrological traceability of results
- evaluation of measurement uncertainty
- internal quality control procedures QC
- participation in proficiency testing (PT)
- procedures for checking and reporting results
- procedures for implementing preventive and corrective actions
- internal quality audit and review procedures



QUALITY CONTROL (QC)

QC procedures relate to ensuring the quality of results obtained for specific samples or sets of samples and include:

- analysis of QC samples
- analysis of measurement standards (including RMs)
- analysis of blind samples
- analysis of sample blanks and reagent blanks
- analysis of **spiked samples**
- analysis in duplicate / replicate
- use of QC charts to monitor trends
- participation in proficiency testing (PT) and EQC programes



- Validation is a process, within which the method is demonstrated to be suitable for its purpose. <u>It documents methods performance !</u>
- During validation process, methods <u>Performance characteristics</u> are estimated.
- Validation documents, that the methods performance characteristics are capable of producing <u>results</u> in line with the needs of the <u>analytical problem</u>.

Is it possible to detect pesticide residues at regulation levels using the method ? Is it possible to correctly quantify the amount of residues in apple/orange/... ?

Validation procedure (protocol) is related to a particular analyte and matrix

Applicability

. . .



There are six validation principles: Analytical measurements should be made to satisfy an agreed requirement Analytical measurements should be made using methods and equipment which have been tested to ensure they are fit for their purpose

Staff making analytical measurements should be both qualified and competent to undertake the task

Analytical measurements made in one location should be consistent with those elsewhere

There should be an independent assessment of the technical performance of the laboratory

Laboratories should have well defined quality control and quality assurance procedures



VALIDATION

VALIDATION PARAMETERS

PRECISION

- ACCURACY

► TRUENESS

Where possible, the validation of in-house validated methods shall include a certified reference material.

- RANGE & LINEARITY
- LIMIT OF DETECTION & LIMIT OF QUANTIFICATION
- SPECIFITY & SELECTIVITY
- RUGGEDNESS



TRUENESS AND PRECISION = ACCURACY

RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN TYPE OF ERROR, RELATED CHARACTERISTICS AND THEIR QUANTITATIVE EXPRESSION



TRUENESS AND PRECISION = ACCURACY

ERRORS OF MEASUREMENT

What is included in result value (X)?



True value is an idealized concept and "true value" cannot be known exactly!

Hence the <u>**REFERENCE VALUE**</u> represents a true value in routine practice

Reference value usually provided with reference to:

- Certified reference material
- Reference measurement procedure
- Known amount of analyte added into the sample (spike)





UCT PRAGUE

TRUENESS

TRUENESS is closeness of agreement between the mean of of replicates (measured values) and a **REFERENCE (TRUE)** value.

Trueness is inversely related to systematic error: The lower the systematic error, the higher the trueness...

Estimate of a systematic error: bias

In analytical chemistry: RECOVERY

Correction of the result can be carried out using the recovery => compensation for an estimated systematic effect



Certified Reference Material (CRM, SRM)

CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS



ERM[®]- BC717



MAIZE

| | Mass | fraction |
|-------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| Compound | Certified value ¹⁾ [µg/kg] | Uncertainty ²⁾ [µg/kg] |
| Zearalenone | 83 | 9 |

1) Unweighted mean of accepted mean values, independently obtained by 18 laboratories and traceable to the SI.

2) Estimated expanded uncertainty U with a coverage factor k = 2, corresponding to a level of confidence of about 95 %, as defined in the Guide to the Expression of Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM), ISO, 1995. Uncertainty contributions arising from characterisation as well as from homogeneity and stability assessment were taken into consideration.

This certificate is valid for one year after purchase.

http://www.erm-crm.org/html/homepage.htm



PRECISION

REPEATABILITY AND REPRODUCIBILITY

Repeatability: a set of conditions that includes

the same measurement, procedure, operators, same measuring system, operating conditions and location, and replicate measurements on the same or similar objects over a short period of time

Reproducibility: a set of conditions that includes

different locations, operators, measuring systems, or even methods on the same or similar objects.

Intermediate precision (intra-laboratory reproducibility):

the same laboratory, method, procedure but within an extended period of time - may include new calibrations, calibrants, operators, measuring systems, etc.



PRECISION

INCREASING NUMBER OF CONSIDERED RANDOM ERROR SOURCES

| REPEATAB | | INTRA-LAB REPEAT | ORATORY ABILITY | REPRODU | CIBILITY |
|--------------|-------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------|-----------|
| SAMPLE: | SAME | SAMPLE: | SAME | SAMPLE: | SAME |
| OPERATOR: | SAME | OPERATOR: | DIFFERENT | OPERATOR: | DIFFERENT |
| INSTRUMENT: | SAME | INSTRUMENT: | SAME / DIFF. | INSTRUMENT: | DIFFERENT |
| TIME PERIOD: | SHORT | TIME PERIOD: | LONG | TIME PERIOD: | LONG |
| CALIBRATION: | SAME | CALIBRATION: | DIFFERENT | CALIBRATION: | DIFFERENT |
| LAB: | SAME | LAB: | SAME | LAB: | DIFFERENT |
| | | | | | |

0

Precision value is related to a certain analyte and concentration level



QC in routine testing: repeability limit (r)

Calculated from standard deviation of results under repeatability conditions (replicate analyses):

$$r = f \cdot \sqrt{2} \cdot \sigma_r$$
$$r = 2,8 \cdot s_r$$

Practical use:

...value less than or equal to which the absolute difference between two test results obtained under repeatability conditions may be expected to be with a probability of 95 %.

 $|\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_2| \le \mathbf{r}$

Reproducibility limit (R)

Reproducibility - expected to give the largest variation in results - is a measure of the variability in results between laboratories¹⁻²⁾



Practical use:

 $|\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_2| \le \mathbf{R}$

¹⁾ Reproducibility may also refer to the variation observed between laboratories using different methods (intending to measure the same quantity).

²⁾ Intermediate precision is sometimes (improperly) referred to as 'within-laboratory reproducibility'



VŠCHT PRAH



UCT PRAGU

PRECISION

REPRODUCIBILITY - HORWITZ

Reproducibility can be alternatively estimated from an empirical model developed based on numerous interlaboratory studies...

Horwitz empirical model of precision:

...the RSD_R can be expressed as a function of the concentration ...

William Horwitz (1918-2006): Anal. Chem. 1982, 54, 67A

....,one of the most intriguing relationships in modern analytical chemistry"



PRECISION

REPRODUCIBILITY - HORWITZ

Relative standard deviation – variation coefficient:

- \blacktriangleright lower concentration of analyte \rightarrow increasing RSD
- nature of analyte, matrix, analytical method etc.: less important – even can be ignored !

 $RSD = 2^{(1 - 0.5 \times \log X)}$

X is an analyte concentration expressed as a mass ratio



Method performance criteria: Reg. 401/2006/EC

(a) Performance criteria for aflatoxins

| Criterion | Concentration Range | Recommended Value | Maximum permitted Value | |
|---|------------------------|--|--|--|
| Blanks | All | Negligible | | |
| | | | | |
| Recovery — Aflatoxin M1 | 0,01-0,05 mg/kg | 60 to 120 % | | |
| | > 0,05 mg/kg | 70 to 110 % | | |
| | | | | |
| Recovery-Aflatoxins B_1 , B_2 , G_1 , G_2 | < 1,0 mg/kg | 50 to 120 % | | |
| | 1-10 mg/kg | 70 to 110 % | | |
| | > 10 mg/kg | 80 to 110 % | | |
| | | | | |
| Reproducibility RSD _R | All | As derived from Horwitz Equation (*) (**) | 2 × value derived from Horwitz Equation (*) (**) | |





Repeatability RSD_r may be calculated as 0,66 times Reproducibility RSD_R at the concentration of interest.

Method performance criteria: Reg. 401/2006/EC

Performance criteria for deoxynivalenol

| Level | Deoxynivalenol | | | |
|-------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| μg/kg | RSD _r % | RSD _R % | Recovery % | |
| > 100-≤ 500 | ≤ 20 | ≤ 4 0 | 60 to 110 | |
| > 500 | ≤ 20 | ≤ 4 0 | 70 to 120 | |

(b) Performance criteria for ochratoxin A

| Level | Ochratoxin A | | | |
|-------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| µg/kg | RSD _r % | RSD _R % | Recovery % | |
| < 1 | ≤ 4 0 | ≤ 60 | 50 to 120 | |
| ≥ 1 | ≤ 20 | ≤ 30 | 70 to 110 | |

Method performance criteria: Reg. 401/2006/EC

Performance criteria for zearalenone

| Level | Zearalenone | | | |
|-------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| µg/kg | RSD _r % | RSD _R % | Recovery % | |
| ≤ 50 | ≤ 4 0 | ≤ 50 | 60 to 120 | |
| > 50 | ≤ 25 | ≤ 4 0 | 70 to 120 | |

Performance criteria for Fumonisin ${\rm B}_1$ and ${\rm B}_2$ individually

| Level | Fumonisin B_1 and B_2 individually | | | |
|-------|--|--------------------|------------|--|
| μg/kg | RSD _r % | RSD _R % | Recovery % | |
| ≤ 500 | ≤ 30 | ≤ 60 | 60 to 120 | |
| > 500 | ≤ 20 | ≤ 30 | 70 to 110 | |



Performance criteria for T-2 and HT-2 toxin individually

| Level | T-2 and HT-2 toxin individually | | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--|
| µg/kg | RSD _r % | RSD _R % | Recovery % | |
| 15-250 | ≤ 30 | ≤ 5 0 | 60 to 130 | |
| > 250 | ≤ 25 | ≤ 40 | 60 to 130 | |

Proficiency testing (PT)

Regular participation in proficiency testing (also known as external quality assessment, EQA) is a recognised way for a laboratory to monitor its performance against both its own requirements and the norm of peer laboratories.

PT helps to highlight variation between laboratories (reproducibility), and systematic errors (bias).

Accreditation bodies strongly encourage laboratories to participate in PT as an integral part of their quality management.

In certain instances, accreditation bodies may specify participation in a particular PT scheme as a requirement for accreditation.

It is important to monitor PT results as part of the QC procedures and take action as necessary.

- ✓ Requirements for the competence of PT providers are described in ISO/IEC 17043,
- ✓ Selection, use and interpretation of PT schemes: see Eurachem Guide on <u>www.eurachem.org</u>

UCT PRAGUE

Example of EU PT results 149 EU and EFTA laboratories, from 30 different countries 82 labs classified as "GOOD" (53 in category "A") DTU UCT: 18 pesticides quantified, weighted z scores = 0.1 = Carbendazim EU and EFTA Laboratories 5.0 nable 89 (81%) nable 11 (10%) ptable 10 (9%) Assigned value: 0.274 mg/kg Alg A STD: 29% Proficiency Test on pesticide residues in oat flour of labs 110 3.0 -----2.0 1.0 Z-SCORES -21 -4.0 -5.0 Flusilazole EU and EFTA Labora Water added No water added Not specified LPE 5.0 Acceptable 112 (87%) Questionable 4 (3%) Unacceptable 12 (10%) Assigned value: 0.405 mg/kg 4.0 Alg A STD: 16% er of labs 128 3.0 EU Reference Laboratory on Cereals & Feeding stuff 21 EUPT-CF11 2017 1.5 0.0 DTU Food 9 FALSE No National Food Institute -5.0



LINEARITY, CALIBRATION AND RANGE

GENERAL RECOMENDATIONS FOR LINEAR CALIBRATION

- There should be five or more calibration points (standards)
- Even spacing over the concentration range of interest
- The calibration range should encompass 0–150% or 50–150% of the concentration likely to be encountered in samples
- Calibration standards should be run at least in duplicate in random order
- VALIDATION RANGE is the interval of analyte concentration within which the method can be regarded as validated
- Typically narrower than linear range
- In practice, most methods will be validated at only one or two levels of concentration. The validated range may be taken as a reasonable extrapolation from these points at concentration scale



LIMIT OF DETECTION / QUANTIFICATION

Limit of Detection (LOD): the smallest concentration of analyte in the test sample which can be reliably distinguished from zero.
► LOD is concentration of analyte which induce signal (S) that is 3 times higher than the background noise level (N). S/N=3

Limit of Quantification (LOQ): the smallest concentration of analyte in the test sample which can be reliably quantified.
►LOQ is concentration of analyte which induce signal (S) that is 10 times higher than the background noise level (N).
S/N=10, LOQ usually corresponds to lowest calibration point.

S/N can be usually calculated in processing software


Method validation detection & quantitation limits



A method is not acceptable for reliable detection or quantitation if the analyte level is likely be fall beneath the limit(s) calculated based upon the blank signal and its standard deviation. Analyte pre-concentration then becomes necessary.



Signal at LOD vs. background noise



<section-header><text><text><text><text><text><text><text>

Codex Alimentarius: numerical values for the criteria

Minimum Applicability:

The method has to be applicable for the specified provision, specified commodity and the maximum level (ML).

The minimum applicability (ma) of the method depends on the maximum limit (ML) to be assessed, and can either be expressed in terms of the reproducibility standard deviation (s_R) or in terms of LOD and LOQ.

CL 2008/7-MAS, March 2008

Based on the reproducibility:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} ML \geq 0.1 \mbox{ mg/kg} & \rightarrow \mbox{ ma} = ML - 3 \mbox{ s}_{R} \\ ML < 0.1 \mbox{ mg/kg} & \rightarrow \mbox{ ma} = ML - 2 \mbox{ s}_{R} \end{array}$

Based on the Limit of Detection (LOD):

 $\begin{array}{ll} ML \geq 0.1 \mbox{ mg/kg} & \rightarrow \mbox{ ma} = LOD \leq 0.1 \mbox{ ML} \\ ML < 0.1 \mbox{ mg/kg} & \rightarrow \mbox{ ma} = LOD \leq 0.2 \mbox{ ML} \end{array}$

Based on the Limit of Quantitation (LOQ): ML \ge 0.1 mg/kg \rightarrow ma = LOQ \le 0.2 ML

 $ML < 0.1 \text{ mg/kg} \rightarrow ma = LOQ \le 0.4 \text{ ML}$

UCT PRAGUE

Sensitivity and selectivity

Sensitivity is the change in measured signal for unit change in concentration and can be obtained from the calibration graph

Sensitivity = dy/dx

Selectivity is the ability of a method to discriminate between the target analyte and other constituents of the sample. In many instances selectivity is achieved by high performance separation using chromatographic or electrophoretic techniques.



SELECTIVITY AND SPECIFITY

Selectivity: the degree to which a method can quantify the analyte accurately in the presence of interferents.

- Selective method the results are influenced by the sample matrix (interferents, cross-reactivity, matrix effects,...)
- Specific methods the results are not influenced by the presence of sample matrix

The presence and influence of any sample matrix interference on method results should be tested and described





RUGGEDNESS

Ruggedness of an analytical method is the resistance to change in results when minor deviations are made from the experimental conditions described in the SOP.

The aspects of the method that are likely to affect results should be identified and described in SOP

Examples of factors relevant to ruggedness:

- pH of a solution
- stability of the instrumental system
- extraction time
- concentration of (derivatization) reagents
- temperature/time of (derivatization) reaction
- time allowed for completation of whole analytical process

WHAT IS UNCERTAINTY ?

Theory:

"Uncertainty is a parameter associated with the result of a measurement, that characterises the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand"

(International Vocabulary of Basic and General Terms in Metrology, ISO, Geneva, Switzerland, 1993, ISBN 92-67-10175-1)

Practice:

The uncertainty on the result may arise from many sources, such as sampling, incomplete extraction of the measurand, matrix effects, purity of chemicals, instruments and operator bias, random effects etc.



ANALYTICAL RESULT CANNOT BE VIEWED ONLY AS A SEPARATE VALUE!

COMBINED UNCERTAINTY -TWO APPROACHES FOR QUANTIFICATION

"BOTTOM-UP" /"ERROR BUDGET"/

Estimation of individual contribution of each step of the analytical process to the final result (combination of all individual components)

(Guide to the expression of uncertainty in measurement (GUM). ISO, Geneva 1995) (Quantifying Uncertainty in Analytical Measurement. Ellison S.L.R., Rösslein M., Williams A. (Eds.), EURACHEM/CITAC Guide 4, 2000)

"TOP-DOWN"

Repeatibility of determination (expressed as standard deviation) and uncertainty of recovery (expressed as standard deviation obtained from rectangular distribution) are used.

Proficiency testing, CRM, recovery tests

(ISO/TS 21748:2004 Guidance for the use of repeatability, reproducibility and trueness estimates in measurement uncertainty estimation)

WHAT IS EFFECTIVE SOLUTION IN "REAL-LIFE" SITUATIONS?

USEFUL DOCUMENTS

Pure Appl. Chem., Vol. 74, No. 5, pp. 835–855, 2002. © 2002 IUPAC

INTERNATIONAL UNION OF PURE AND APPLIED CHEMISTRY

ANALYTICAL, APPLIED, CLINICAL, INORGANIC, AND PHYSICAL CHEMISTRY DIVISIONS INTERDIVISIONAL WORKING PARTY FOR HARMONIZATION OF QUALITY ASSURANCE SCHEMES FOR ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES*

HARMONIZED GUIDELINES FOR SINGLE-LABORATORY VALIDATION OF METHODS OF ANALYSIS

(IUPAC Technical Report)

Prepared for publication by MICHAEL THOMPSON¹, STEPHEN L. R. ELLISON², AND ROGER WOOD^{3,‡}

USEFUL DOCUMENTS

Criteria for validation of methods used in official control of contaminants and residues in food and feed:

COMMISSION DECISION

of 14 August 2002

implementing Council Directive 96/23/EC concerning the performance of analytical methods and the interpretation of results

> (notified under document number C(2002) 3044) (Text with EEA relevance)

> > 2002/657/EC

Conformity assessment – General reguirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories (ISO/IEC 17025:2005)

The laboratory shall use test methods, including methods for sampling, which meet the needs of the customer and which are appropriate for the tests it undertakes.

Methods published in international, regional or national standards shall preferably be used.

Appropriate methods have been also published by reputable technical organizations, or in relevant scientific texts or journals, or specified by the manufacturer of the equipment.

Laboratory-developed methods or methods adopted by the laboratory may also be used if they are appropriate for the intended use and <u>if they are validated</u>.

The laboratory shall validate nonstandard methods, laboratory developed methods, standard methods used outside their intended scope, and modifications of standard methods to confirm that the methods are fit for the intended use.

Testing laboratories shall apply procedures for estimating uncertainty of measurement based on the method validation data.

Conformity assessment – General reguirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories (ISO/IEC 17025:2005)

All documents and methods issued to personnel in the laboratory shall be reviewed and <u>approved for use by authorized personnel prior to issue</u>.

Documents are periodically reviewed and, where necessary, revised to ensure continuing suitability and compliance with applicable requirements;

Invalid or obsolete documents are promptly removed from all points of issue or use, or otherwise assured against unintended use;

Procedures shall be established to describe how changes in documents maintained in computerized systems are made and controlled.

All records and data shall be stored and retained in such a way that they are readily retrievable in facilities that provide a suitable environment to prevent damage or deterioration and to prevent loss.

The laboratory shall have procedures to protect and back-up records stored electronically and to prevent unauthorized access to or amendment of these records.





ISO IEC FDIS 17025:2017



Figure B.1 — Possible schematic representation of the operational processes of a laboratory



Decision rules: conforming / not conforming

- Laboratories often make statements on conformity of the results with certain specifications (compliance with limits, test passed (yes/no), etc.)
- Clear decision rules are to be communicated, documented and to be applied
- Decision rules have to relate to associated risks





Duck or rabbit?





Your SWOT

| Kusness Plan Marvis | Strengths What do you do better than others? What's your talent? What competences do you have that are relevant and valuable for the job? Which specific and transferable skills do you have? | u do better than others? talent?Weaknesses• Which tasks and responsibilities your don't like?• What are the development opportunities your manager and your peers flagged?fic and transferable have? |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| Business | Opportunities In which industry/field/position could you easily move? What development / training would be value adding for you? Which industry/company is in particular in need of your type of profile? | Threats What do other candidates have more/better than you? What are the obstacles in your way to the job? |

| Chromatographic- based methods | | Immunochemical- based methods |
|---|---------------|--|
| Validation (in compliance to regulations) Allows compound identification and structural elucidation of unknown Multi-target | Strength | Limited sample treatment Simple, cheap, portable Managing of large number of samples |
| Expensive Sophisticated (skilled personnel is required for operating and interpreting results) Operated in laboratory | Weakness | Excessively selective Long time needed for the development (to obtain bioreagents, mainly antibodies) |
| Simplified (QuEChERS) sample preparation for high-throughput and multiresidue analysis Biomarkers in biological fluids | Opportunities | Provide up-to date information on occurrence Provide epidemiologic data |
| Emerging mycotoxinsMasked mycotoxins | Threats | New matricesMultiplex analysis |



ANALYTICAL QUALITY CONTROL AND METHOD VALIDATION PROCEDURES FOR PESTICIDE RESIDUES ANALYSIS IN FOOD AND FEED

Document No. DG SANTE/11813/2017, implemented by 01/01/18

Document is intended for laboratories involved in official control of pesticide residues in food and feed in the European Union. The document supports the validity of data reported within official controls on pesticide residues and used for checking compliance with maximum residue levels (MRLs) or assessment of consumer exposure to pesticides.

The key objectives are:

- ✓ to provide a harmonized, cost-effective quality assurance and quality control system in the EU
- ✓ to ensure the quality and comparability of analytical results
- ✓ to ensure that acceptable accuracy is achieved
- ✓ to ensure that false positives or false negatives are avoided
- ✓ to support compliance with, and specific implementation of ISO/IEC 17025 (accreditation standard)

This document is complementary and integral to the requirements in ISO/IEC 17025.



Pesticides standards

"Pure" standards should be of known purity and each must be uniquely identified and the date of receipt recorded. They should be stored at low temperature, preferably in a freezer, with light and moisture excluded, i.e. under conditions that minimise degradation. The identity of freshly acquired "pure" standards should be checked if the analytes are new to the laboratory.

When preparing stock standards of "pure" standards of analytes and internal standards, the identity and mass of the "pure" standard and the identity and amount of the solvent must be recorded. The solvent(s) must be appropriate to the analyte (solubility, no reaction) and method of analysis. Moisture must be excluded during equilibration of the "pure" standard to room temperature before use and concentrations must be corrected for the purity of the "pure" standard.

Not less than 10 mg of the "pure" standard should be weighed using a 5 decimal place balance. The ambient temperature should be that at which the glassware is calibrated, otherwise preparation of the standard should be based on solvent-mass measurement.

Existing stock and working solutions may be tested against newly prepared solutions by comparing the detector responses obtained from appropriate dilutions of individual standards or mixtures of standards.

The means from at least <u>5 replicate measurements for each of two solutions (old and</u> new) should not normally differ by more than ± 10 %. The mean from the new solution is taken to be 100%. Differences in apparent concentration between old and new standards must be investigated.





UNIVERSITY OF CHEMISTRY AND TECHNOLOGY, PRAGUE Faculty of Food and Biochemical Technology Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition

Analytical validation of MS based methods according to EU requirements

Jana Hajslova, Jana Pulkrabova, Josep Rubert



Prague, June 18 – 22, 2018



The performance of mass analyzers

Identification of Unknowns

Identification points

Thomson's Apparatus for Research on Cathode Rays



Mass Spectrometry

Nobel prize pioneers



Joseph John Thomson 1906 Nobel Prize for Physics (theoretical and experimental investigations on the conduction of electricity by gases)



Francis William Aston 1922 Nobel Prize for

Chemistry (mass spectrograph, of isotopes, in a large number of nonradioactive elements)



Wolfgang Paul 1989 Nobel Prize for Physics (for the development of the ion trap technique)



John Bennet Fenn 2002 Nobel Prize for Chemistry (for the development of Soft Desorption ionization Method)



Koichi Tanaka 2002 Nobel Prize for Chemistry (mass spectrometric analyses of biological macromolecules) The principle of MS *is measurement of the mass of a molecule*, more precisely, the mass-to-charge ratio (m/z) of the ion, being created by losing or gaining a charge from a neutral species in the ion source.

Once formed, ions are electrostatically directed into the mass analyser where they are separated according to their m/z, and finally detected (Gross 2011).



🖌 UCT PRAGUE





Mass spectrometry is multifaceded rather than to be viewed from a single perspective.







Application potential of MS techniques







The performance of mass analyzers is typically quantified in terms of:

- Mass resolving power and mass resolution
- Mass accuracy
- Acquisition speed
- Dynamic range
- Tandem analysis capabilities





The separation observed in a mass spectrum is termed mass resolution, R, or simply resolution. Mass resolution is given as the smallest difference in m/z (Δm/z) that can be separated for a given signal.

Mass resolving power

According to Commission Decision 2002/657/EC, HRMS is defined as the resolving power of 10.000 for the entire mass range at 10% valley. Moreover, Commission Decision 2002/657/EC does not specify a criterion for mass accuracy.

Nowadays, this value is roughly expressed as 20.000 FWHM (full width at half maximum).

Mass resolving power

- The ability to distinguish between ions differing in the quotient mass/charge by a small increment.
- 2 definitions:
 - 10% Valley
 - The full width at half maximum (FWHM)



10% valley

Two neighboring peaks are assumed to be sufficiently separated when the valley separating their maxima has decreased to 10% of their intensity.

Two peaks of equal height, masses m1 and m2, when there is overlap between the two peaks to a stated percentage of either peak height (10% is recommended), then the resolving power is defined as m1/(m1 – m2). The percentage overlap (or 'valley') concerned must always be stated.



UCT PRAGUE





How can resolving power help us?

A mixture of xylene (*m/z* 92.0581) and toluene (*m/z* 92.0626) at different settings of resolution





The performance of mass analysers is typically quantified in terms of:

- Mass resolving power and mass resolution
- Mass accuracy
- Acquisition speed
- Dynamic range
- Tandem analysis capabilities

What is mass accuracy?

The absolute mass accuracy, $\Delta m/z$, is defined as the difference between measured accurate mass and calculated exact mass:

$$\Delta m/z = m/z_{experimental} - m/z_{calculated}$$

```
Mass error = (exact mass) – (accurate mass)
```

Mass error in parts per million (ppm) =

(mass error) X 10⁶ (exact mass)

UCT PRAGUE

Why do we need accuracy and precisions. The true The concepts of accuracy and precision can best be illustrated using the analogy to a target **P P**<p



The performance of mass analysers is typically quantified in terms of:

- Mass resolving power and mass resolution
- Mass accuracy
- Acquisition speed
- Dynamic range
- Tandem analysis capabilities

Scan speed

- Scan Speed refers to the scans per second which can be performed per second. For LC-MS and GC-MS a high number of scans per peak is required to perform correct peak picking and mass spectral deconvolution of full scan mass spectra.
- The time taken to complete this series of events (MS experiments) is referred to as the analytical cycle time.



Have you calculated The Scan speed ©?







🖉 UCT PRAGUE





The performance of mass analysers is typically quantified in terms of:

- Mass resolving power and mass resolution
- Mass accuracy
- Acquisition speed
- Dynamic range
- Tandem analysis capabilities

Dynamic range

The dynamic range is the ratio obtained by dividing the intensity of the most intense signal by that of the weakest while both are correctly detected in the same spectrum.





- Mass accuracy
- Acquisition speed
- Dynamic range
- Tandem analysis capabilities

Tandem analysis capabilities

- Tandem mass spectrometry comprises the acquisition and study of the spectra of ionic products or precursors of m/z-selected ions, or of precursor ions of a selected neutral mass loss.
- Tandem MS is also denoted as mass spectrometry/mass spectrometry from which the common acronym MS/MS is derived.

LR vs HR

- Mass resolving power and mass resolution
- Mass accuracy
- Acquisition speed
- Dynamic range
- Tandem analysis capabilities

Focusing on mass resolving power and mass accuracy, low- (LRMS) and high-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) can be differentiated

LR vs HR

The attributive low resolution (LR) is generally used to describe spectra obtained at R = 500-2000. High resolution (HR) is appropriate for R > 5000. However, there is no exact definition of these terms.

Identification of compounds: Workflow

PeakView[®] (Qualitative softwares):

 Its mass (m/z), isotopic pattern, retention time (RT) and MS/MS

- Molecular formula
- MS/MS Pathway



Libraries: MassBank, METLIN, MMCD, CSHMetabolome, DrugBank, LMSD, PubChem, KEGG,

Scripps Center For Metabolomics

BioCyc, MetaCyc, HumanCyc, Reactome

MassBank










Marker identification: Saffron (PDO)







Quality assurance in MS



Requirements related to separation

- The minimum acceptable retention time (RT) at least twice the retention time corresponding to the void volume of the column.
- RT of the analyte in the extract should correspond to that of the calibration standard with a tolerance of ±0.1min, for both GC and LC.
- Larger retention time deviations are acceptable where both retention time and peak shape of the analyte match with those of a suitable IL-IS, or evidence from validation studies is available.
- Isotopically –labelled internal standrds (IL-IS) can be particularly useful where the chromatographic procedure exhibits matrix induced retention time shifts or peak shape distortions.
- Overspiking with the analyte suspected to be present in the sample will also help to increase confidence in the identification.

The use of isotopically labelled std.

IL-ISs can be used to:

- accurately compensate for both analyte losses and volumetric variations during the procedure
- To compensate for matrix effects and response drift in the chromatography-detection system.
- Losses during extract storage (e.g. due to degradation) will also be corrected for by the IL-IS.
- Use of IL-ISs will not compensate for incomplete extraction of incurred residues



Recommendations regarding identification using MS spectra

- For reference spectra, the same instruments and conditions used for analysis of the samples shoul be used
- The reference spectrum in the instrument software can originate from a previous injection (without matrix present), but is preferably obtained from the same analytical batch
- To avoid distortion of ion ratios the concentration of the analyte ions must not overload the detector.

In case of full scan measurement, careful **subtraction of background** spectra, either manual or automatic, by deconvolution or other algorithms, may be required to ensure that the resultant spectrum from the chromatographic peak is representative.

Requirements for identification using selected ions

- Selected ions must be sufficiently selective for the analyte in the matrix being analysed and in the relevant concentration range.
- Molecular ions, (de)protonated molecules or adduct ions are highly characteristic for the analyte and should be included in the measurement and identification procedure whenever possible

Requirements for identification using selected ions, *cont*.

- In general, and especially in single-stage MS, high m/z ions are more selective than low m/z ions (e.g. m/z < 100). However, high mass m/z ions arising from loss of water or loss of common moieties may be of little use.
- Although characteristic isotopic ions, especially Cl or Br clusters, may be particularly useful, the selected ions should not exclusively originate from the same part of the analyte molecule. The choice of ions for identification may change depending on background interferences.
- In high resolution MS, the selectivity of an ion of the analyte is determined by the narrowness of the mass extraction window (MEW) that is used to obtain the extracted ion chromatogram. The narrower the MEW, the higher the selectivity.

63

Requirements for identification using selected ions, cont. Extracted ion chromatograms of sample extracts Peaks should have similar retention time Peak shape and response ratio to those obtained from calibration standards analysed at comparable concentrations in the same batch.

- Chromatographic peaks from different selective ions for the analyte must fully overlap.
- Where an ion chromatogram shows evidence of significant chromatographic interference, it must not be relied upon for identification

65

Identification requirements for different MS techniques

Unit resolution MS

| MS detector/Characteristics | | | Requirement | Requirements for identification | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| Resolution | Typical systems (examples) | Acquisition | minimum number of ions | other | | |
| | Single MS quadrupole, ion trap, TOF | full scan, limited m/z range, SIM | 3 ions | S/N ≥ 3 ^{d)} Analyte peaks from both product ions in the extracted ion chromatograms must | | |
| Unit mass resolution | MS/MS triple quadrupole, ion trap, Q-trap, Q-TOF, Q-Orbitrap | selected or multiple reaction monitoring (SRM, MRM), mass resolution for precursor-ion isolation equal to or better than unit mass resolution | 2 product ions | fully overlap. Ion ratio from sample extracts should be within ±30% (relative) of average of calibration standards from same sequence | | |
| UCT PRAGUE | a) preferably including b) including at least on c) < 1 mDa for m/z < 20 d) in case noise is abse | the molecular ion, (de)protonated e fragment ion 20 nt, a signal should be present in at | d molecule or adduct t least 5 subsequent sc | ion cans 66 | | |

Identification requirements for different MS techniques

High resolution MS

| Accurate mass measurement | High resolution MS: (Q-)TOF (Q-)Orbitrap FT-ICR-MS sector MS | full scan, limited m/z range, SIM, fragmentation with or without precursor-ion selection, or combinations thereof | 2 ions with mass accuracy ≤ 5 ppm ^{a, b, c)} | S/N ≥ 3 ^{d)} Analyte peaks from precursor and/or product ion(s) in the extracted ion chromatograms must fully overlap. Ion ratio: see D12 |
|----------------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| a) pr b) ind c) < d) in | eferably including the cluding at least one fi 1 mDa for m/z < 200 case noise is absent, | e molecular ion, (de)protonated r ragment ion a signal should be present in at le | molecule or adduct ic east 5 subsequent sca | ns |

Confirmation

- For a higher degree of confidence in identification, further evidence may be gained from additional mass spectrometric information. For example, evaluation of full scan spectra, isotope pattern, adduct ions, additional accurate mass fragment ions, additional product ions (in MS/MS), or accurate mass product ions.
- The chromatographic profile of the isomers of an analyte may also provide evidence. Additional evidence may be sought using a different chromatographic separation system and/or a different MS-ionisation technique.

UCT PRAGUE

67

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

Specific Food Quality and Safety Application Requirements

Petr Cuhra

Czech Agriculture and Food Inspection Authority (CAFIA)





CAFIA Competencies

Official control of

- production, import, distribution, storage and retail of foods od non-animal origin
- retail of foods of animal origin
- tobacco products

Requirements on Food

Based on Legislation....

Ratio of non-compliance samples in 2017 / 2018 (totally 12329 samples analysed)

Brno

Food Safety – related to health (microbiology, pesticides, contaminants, food additives, allergens, toxins etc.)

Food Quality– related to composition (nutritional composition, adulteration, labelling, GMO, irradiation etc.)



1,5%



Food Safety Examples

results from official control period 01/2017 – 06/2018

Food Safety

© CAFIA

Contaminants

- COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1881/2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs
 - 1. Nitrates
 - 2. Mycotoxins
 - 3. Metals
 - 4. 3-monochloropropanediol (3-MCPD) and glycidyl fatty acid esters
 - 5. Dioxins and PCBs
 - 6. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons
 - 7. Melamine and its structural analogues
 - 8. Inherent plant toxins



1. Nitrates

Maximum limits (ML) for

- spinach, lettuce, rucola, processed cereal-based foods and baby foods - contaminant
- however NaNO₃ and KNO₃ are also food additves (cheese, meat and fish products)

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 135 samples analysed, 2 samples exceeded ML (1,48%)
- Rucola (conatminant), Bacon (food additive)

2. Mycotoxins

© CAFIA

Maximum limits (ML) for

- Aflatoxins peanuts, nuts, dried fruits, cereals, milk, spices, baby foods
- Ochratoxin A cereals and cereal products, raisins, coffee, wine, grape juice, spices, liquorice, baby foods
- Patulin fruit drinks and baby foods
- Deoxynivalenol, Zearalenole cereals and cereal products
- Fumonisin maize and maize products,
- T-2 and HT-2 toxin cereals (not yet adopted),
- Citrinin food supplements based on rice fermented with red yeast *Monascus purpureus*
- Ergot sclerotia and ergot alkaloids cereals and cereal products



2. Mycotoxins

Aflatoxins

Specifics requirments for official control (sampling, import control)

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 262 samples analysed
- 5 samples exceeded ML (1,91 %)
- 2x Hazelnuts (Azerbaijan), 3x Figs (Turkey, Greece)

2. Mycotoxins

© CAFIA

Ochratoxin A

Specifics requirments for official control (sampling)

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 196 samples analysed
- 4 samples exceeded ML (2,04 %)
- 3x Raisins (Iran), 1x Herbal tea (Slovakia)



2. Mycotoxins

Deoxynivalenol

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 99 samples analysed
- 0 samples exceeded ML

Zearalenone

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 106 samples analysed
- 0 samples exeeded ML

Fumonisins

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 106 samples analysed
- ♦ 0 samples exceeded ML



© CAFIA

Patulin

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 50 samples analysed
- ♦ 0 samples exceeded ML

T-2 and HT-2 toxins

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- ♦ 58 samples analysed
- only 1 sample pozitive finding, no ML adopted yet



3. Metals

Maximum limits (ML) for

- Lead milk, baby foods, meat, offal, fish, cereals, pulses, sea foods, vegetables, fruits, fats, wine, food supplements, honey
- Cadmium cereals, vegetables, fruits, funghi, cocoa, , sea foods fats, baby foods, meat, offal, fish, food supplements,
- Mercury fish and fishery products, food supplements
- Tin (inorganic) canned food and beverages,
- Arsenic (inorganic) rice



Lead

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 221 samples analysed
- 1 samples exceeded ML (0,45 %)
- Food Supplement

Cadmium

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 213 samples analysed
- ♦ 1 samples exceeded ML (0,47 %)
- Parsnip





- 7 samples analysed
- ♦ 0 samples exceeded ML, 3 positive samples (43%)

Arsenic

Findings (01/2017 - 06/2018)

- 43 samples analysed
- ♦ 0 samples exceeded ML, 23 positive samples (53%)

4. MCPD and glycidol

© CAFIA

Maximum limits (ML) for

- 3-monochloropropanediol (3-MCPD) Hydrolysed vegetable protein, soy sauce
- Glycidyl fatty acid esters expressed as glycidol vegetable oils and fats, baby foods



4. MCPD and glycidol

3-MCPD

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- ♦ 24 samples analysed
- 0 samples exceeded ML, 1 positive sample (4%) techlology for production of HVP and soy sauce was changed / improved

Glycidyl fatty acid esters expressed as glycidol Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- ♦ 28 samples analysed (just monitoring ML since February 2018)
- ♦ 0 samples exceeded ML, 15 positive samples (54%)

5. Dioxins and PCBs

© CAFIA

Maximum limits (ML) for

- Sum of dioxins (WHO-PCDD/ F-TEQ)
- Sum of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBS (WHO- PCDD/F-PCB-TEQ)
- Sum of PCB28, PCB52, PCB101, PCB138, PCB153 and PCB180 (ICES – 6)
 - Meat and meat products, liver, fish and fishery products, marine oil, milk and diary products, eggs, animal fat, vegetable oils and fats, baby foods





5. Dioxins and PCBs

Sum of dioxins (WHO-PCDD/ F-TEQ)

Sum of dioxins and dioxin-like PCBS (WHO- PCDD/F-PCB-TEQ)

Sum of PCB28, PCB52, PCB101, PCB138, PCB153 and PCB180 (ICES – 6)

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 13 samples analysed
- 0 samples exceeded ML, 9 positive samples (69%)
- exceedances in case of accident and fatal fails....



6. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

Maximum limits (ML) for

- Benzo(a)pyrene
- Sum of benzo(a)- pyrene, benz(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene and chrysene
 - Oils and fats, Cocoa beans and derived products, Cocoa fibre, Coconut oil, Smoked meat and smoked meat products, smoked fish and smoked fishery products, Smoked sprats and canned smoked sprats, baby foods, banana chips, food supplements, dried herbs and spices





6. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

Benzo(a)pyrene Sum of benzo(a)- pyrene, benz(a)anthracene, benzo(b)fluoranthene and chrysene

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- ♦ 82 samples analysed
- 1 samples exceeded ML, 77 positive samples (97%)
- Food Supplement (herbal tea)

Contaminants - summary

| Analyte | Number of | Positive | % Positive | Non- | % Non- |
|---------------|-----------|----------|------------|------------|------------|
| | samples | | | compliance | compliance |
| Ochratoxin A | 196 | 63 | 32 | 4 | 2,04 |
| Aflatoxins | 262 | 21 | 7 | 5 | 1,91 |
| Nitrates | 135 | 127 | 94 | 4 | 1,48 |
| Sum of PAH | 79 | 77 | 97 | 1 | 1,27 |
| Benzo[a]pyren | 82 | 65 | 79 | 1 | 1,22 |
| Cadmium | 213 | 104 | 49 | 1 | 0,47 |
| Lead | 221 | 27 | 12 | 1 | 0,45 |



Food Safety

Pesticides

 REGULATION (EC) No 396/2005 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on maximum residue levels of pesticides in or on food and feed of plant and animal origin

- 1375 active substances covered by pesticide legislation
 - 478 of them approved as PPP (MRLs set)
 - 788 of them not approved as PPP (MLR = 0,01 mg/kg)
- big challenge from point of analytical methods
- use of multiresidual methods (MRM) and "single" methods – based on mass spectrometry (LC and GC/MS)

Samples for pesticide residues

| Year | 2010 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|-------------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Total No. of Samples | 1148 | 1251 | 1038 | 1567 | 1262 |
| - positive samples | 336 | 758 | 603 | 1048 | 836 |
| - non - compliance | 29 | 27 | 34 | 43 | 22 |
| Number of analysed pesticides | 355 | 427 | 427 | 440 | 453 |

Ratio of positive and noncompliance samples

| Year | 2010 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Total No. of Samples | 1148 | 1251 | 1038 | 1567 | 1262 |
| - positive (%) | 29 | 61 | 58 | 67 | 66 |
| - non- compliant (%) | 2,5 | 2,2 | 3,3 | 2,7 | 1,7 |
| Number of analysed pesticides | 355 | 427 | 427 | 440 | 453 |

26



Most frequently exceeded pesticides

9x chlorpyrifos

6x carbofuran, tolfenpyrad

5x dinotefuran

3x propargite, folpet

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- ♦ 1690 samples analysed
- ♦ 41 samples exceeded MRL (2,4%),
 - 11x Tea (China, Japan),
 - 7x Apples (Poland, Czech Republic),
 - 5x Goji (China),
 - 1x Apricots, Grapes, Strawberries, Spinach, Cauliflower, Cabbage, Carrot, Mango...



Contaminants and Pesticides - summary

| Analyte | Number of samples | Positive | % Positive | Non- compliance | % Non- compliance |
|-----------------------|-------------------|----------|------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| Pesticide residues | 1690 | 1115 | 66 | 41 | 2,43 |
| Ochratoxin A | 196 | 63 | 32 | 4 | 2,04 |
| Aflatoxins | 262 | 21 | 7 | 5 | 1,91 |
| Nitrates | 135 | 127 | 94 | 4 | 1,48 |
| Sum of PAH | 79 | 77 | 97 | 1 | 1,27 |
| Benzo[a]pyren | 82 | 65 | 79 | 1 | 1,22 |
| Cadmium | 213 | 104 | 49 | 1 | 0,47 |
| Lead | 221 | 27 | 12 | 1 | 0,45 |
| | | | | | |



© CAFIA

Food Additives

REGULATION (EC) No 1333/2008 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on food additives

- food additives
 - intentionally added to the foods for a technological purpose in the manufacture, processing, preparation, treatment, packaging, transport or storage,
 - are not normally consumed as a food,
- approx. 390 food additives covered by legislation
 - only part of them with maximum limits priority for official control
 - combinations commodity x additive positive list
 - aversion against food additives



| | Hazard Fo | Hazard Food? | | | | |
|------|-----------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| E101 | E160a | E160d | | | | |
| E161 | E251 | E262 | | | | |
| E300 | E307 | E308 | | | | |
| E309 | E325 | E330 | | | | |
| E375 | E440 | E 554 | | | | |
| E621 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Contains 16 food additives - is it too much?



Hazard Food?

- E101 vit. B₂ E161 lutein E300 vit. C E309 δ-tocopherol E375 niacin E621 glutamate Na
- E160a carotenes E251 nitrates E325 lactate Na E440 pectins
- E160d lycopen E262 acetate Na E307 α-tocopherol E308 γ-tocopherol E330 citric acid E 554 phosphates

They seem to be natural compounds - not so bad as it looked...



It is just Tomato.....

To consider food with "E" automaticaly as hazard food is not correct

- number of food additives is natural part of food
- use of food additives is exactly specified and restricted
- most of food additives are considered as not toxic







Food Additives

Most frequent non-compliances (N/C)

- colours (E110, E120, E129, E131, E133...) 32 out of 710 samples
- sweeteners (sucralose, acesulfam K) 7 out of 201 samples
- preservatives (SO2, sorbic acid, benzoic acid) 52 out of 1178 samp.
- other polyphosphates etc.
- Findings (01/2017 06/2018)
- 2760 samples analysed
- ◆ 81 samples non-compliance (2,93%)
 - wine (SO2, colours)
 - honey (caramel)
 - soft drinks (sucralose)
 - confectionery (colours)
- often "only" missing labelling of FA not related to the food safety

Reasons for N/C

- 1. approved FA for commodity x ML exceeded
- 2. approved FA for commodity x not in labelling
- not approved FA for particular commodity



Contaminants, Pesticides and Food Additives- summary

| Analyte | Number of | Positive | % | Non- | % Non- |
|--------------------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| | samples | | Positive | compliance | compliance |
| Food additives | 2760 | | | 81 | 2,93 |
| Pesticide residues | 1690 | 1115 | 66 | 41 | 2,43 |
| Ochratoxin A | 196 | 63 | 32 | 4 | 2,04 |
| Aflatoxins | 262 | 21 | 7 | 5 | 1,91 |
| Nitrates | 135 | 127 | 94 | 4 | 1,48 |
| Sum of PAH | 79 | 77 | 97 | 1 | 1,27 |
| Benzo[a]pyren | 82 | 65 | 79 | 1 | 1,22 |
| Cadmium | 213 | 104 | 49 | 1 | 0,47 |
| Lead | 221 | 27 | 12 | 1 | 0,45 |
| | | | | | |

Food Safety

Microbiology

COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 2073/2005 on microbiological criteria for foodstuffs

- microorganisms and related products (toxins -Staphylococcal enterotoxins, metabolites – Histamine)
- Limits for selected microorganisms
 - Listeria monocytogenes
 - Salmonella spp.
 - Escherichia coli
 - Campylobacter spp.
 - Enterobacteriaceae
 - Cronobacter spp.



Microbiology

Most frequent non-compliances (N/C)

Salmonella spp. – 8 out of 2338 samples (0,34%) L. monocytogenes – 11 out of 3579 samples (0,31%) Enterobacteriaceae – 34 out of 102 samples (33%) E. coli – 7 out of 305 samples (2,3%)

Findings (01/2017 – 06/2018)

- 3579 samples analysed
- 103 samples non-compliance (2,88%),
 - L. monocytogenes salads, humus spread, delicatessen
 - Salmonella meat product (raw), salads, spinach, confectionery
 - Enterobacteriaceae ice creams, ice (for soft drinks)



Contaminants, Pesticides, Food Additives, Microbiology - summary

| Analyte | Number of | Positive | % | Non- | % Non- |
|--------------------|-----------|----------|----------|------------|------------|
| | samples | | Positive | compliance | compliance |
| Food additives | 2760 | - | - | 81 | 2,93 |
| Microbiology | 3579 | - | - | 103 | 2,88 |
| Pesticide residues | 1690 | 1115 | 66 | 41 | 2,43 |
| Ochratoxin A | 196 | 63 | 32 | 4 | 2,04 |
| Aflatoxins | 262 | 21 | 7 | 5 | 1,91 |
| Nitrates | 135 | 127 | 94 | 4 | 1,48 |
| Sum of PAH | 79 | 77 | 97 | 1 | 1,27 |
| Benzo[a]pyren | 82 | 65 | 79 | 1 | 1,22 |
| Cadmium | 213 | 104 | 49 | 1 | 0,47 |
| Lead | 221 | 27 | 12 | 1 | 0,45 |

Requirements on Food

Based on Legislation....

Ratio of non-compliance samples in 2017 / 2018 (totally 12329 samples analysed)

© CAFIA

© CAFIA

1,5%

4,8%

Food Safety – related to health (microbiology, pesticides, contaminants, food additives, allergens, toxins etc.)

Food Quality– related to composition (nutritional composition, adulteration, labelling, GMO, irradiation etc.)



results from official control period 2002 - 2018



Food Quality

Quality requirements

EU REGULATIONs and DIRECTIVES

- 1. Milk and milk products
- 2. Olive oils
- 3. Chocolate
- 4. Spirit drinks
- 5. Fruit and vegetables
- 6. Juices and nectars
- 7. Wine
- 8. Tobacco
- 9.

Food Quality

© CAFIA

Nutritional labelling

- REGULATION (EU) No 1169/2011 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the provision of food information to consumers
 - 1. Proteins 21 out of 833 samples (2,52%)
 - 2. Fat 15 out of 937 samples (2,04%)
 - 3. Carbohydrates/sugars 14 out of 880 samples (1,59%)
 - 4. Vitamins 20 out of 420 samples (4,76%)
 - vitamin C 12 out of 143 samples (8,39%)
 - 5. Energy 1 out of 23 samples (4,35%)
 - 6. Fiber



Food Quality

Adulteration

- REGULATION (EU) No 1169/2011 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on the provision of food information to consumers
- Food information shall not be misleading
 - as to the characteristics of the food and, in particular, as to its nature, identity, properties, composition, quantity, durability, country of origin or place of provenance, method of manufacture or production;
 - by attributing to the food effects or properties which it does not possess;
 - by suggesting that the food possesses special characteristics when in fact all similar foods possess...
- Food information shall be accurate, clear and easy to understand for the consumers;



Adulteration

Analytical challenge

- analytical strategy depends on food commodity a type of adulteration
- adulteration could be very "simple" (addition of water into meat), but also very sophisticated (addition of enzymaticaly hydrolysed sugars)
- to reveal adulteration needs skilled staff and different techniques and methods including isotopic method (NMR, IRMS), chromatography, mass spektrometry, PCR, sensory analysis etc.

Some examples....





Wine – data from 2015

- more than 450 non-compliance samples
 - 64 water addition
 - 53 addition of synthetic glycerol
 - 44 ethanol from other origin than grapes
 - 29 addition of sugars (maltose and saccharose)
 - 24 addition of synthetic colours (tartrazine, ponceau, azorubine)
 - 14 geographical origin
 - 12 addition of sweeteners (saccharin, aspartam...)
 - 4 addition of citric acid



46

Honey

- 106 non-compliance samples
 - 63 activity of diastase
 - 21 addition of caramel (E 150a)
 - 21 sugar addition (delta d¹³C
 - 2 β-fructofuranosidase
 - geographical and botanical origin





Determination of fruit content – calculation from characteristic markers (organic acids, sugars, flavoniods, mineraks, aminoacids atc...)

Since 2003 approx. 120 samples, out of them 44 noncompliance:

- lower fruit content
- presence of undeclared fruit (apples, pumpkin...)

Extrem finding: declaration: 40% blueberries reality <15% blueberries, 30% apples + aroma





Coffee

Coffee authentification – based on sugar determination of possible adulterants

- other plants (chicory, cereals, figs, malt....)
- carbohydrates (starch, maltodextrin, sugars)
- coffee husks

Analysis of sugars (mono- a disacharides)

- glucose after hydrolysis starch, maltodextrins, cereals
- xylose and manitol coffee husks,
- fruktose chicory





Coffee

Since 2000 have bee analysed approx. 100 coffee samples, (mostly soluble), out of them 21 noncompliance - amounts of replacements – between 5% and 82% (!!!)

Coffee content: approx. 20% (rest ~ 80% starch)



Cocoa

S BUDGET LOW-FAT COCOA POWDER

- declaration: 100 % cocoa content
- ♦ findings:
 - 80 % cocoa content (theobromine and caffein content)
 - 16 % of carbohydrates (starch, maltodextrine)
 - 4 % cocoa husks (LAT lignoceric acid triptamid)





Cocoa and cocoa products

- Baron Chocolate milk chocolate requirements:
 - cocoa matter content: min 25%
 - other fat than cocoa butter (CBE): max. 5%
- ♦ Findings
 - cocoa matter content: 20 %
 - other fat than cocoa butter (CBE): 14 %





CAFIA corrective tools

Measures

- ban of the production or placing on the market
- ban of the use of packaging, instruments and equipment
- ban of the use of manufacturing premises
- destruction of unsafe foodstuffs
- imposition of corrective measures
- Fines in law
 - more than 100 mil CZK (approx. 4 mil EUR) per year
- Publication
 - media, internet, CAFIA web





"Foods On Pillory"

Since 2012

- transparent publication of all non-compliant samples
- three categories of infringement
 - food safety
 - food quality
 - food adulteration
- all details regarding producer, retail, lot number, findings etc.
- popular by consumers....


Since 2012....

"Foods On Pillory" www.potravinynapranyri.cz



Summary

- Requirements on Food Safety and Quality
 - have to reflect food law and legislation
 - have to cover wide range of methods
 - should be based on risk analysis
 - should be flexible and should be changed according to the actual information and alerts (RASFF, AACS)
- To set Food Quality and Safety Application Requirements is not easy job...





Thank you for your attention





Give People food you would give to your own children



Q&FS&TR Presentation Barilla

Q&FS: The way we are seenand why we are here!

















QUALITY AT BARILLA

How do we Measure Quality?



MEASURABLE CHARACTERISTICS

-Color, Dimensions, Moisture, Instrumental Texture -Attributes have clear target and range -Found in PRODUCT SPECIFICATION



-Flavor, Texture (<u>MOST IMPORTANT TO CONSUMERS</u>) -Attributes do not have clear target- need reference -NOT EASILY MEASURED

FOOD SAFETY: What we need to defend from







HACCP: the cornerstone of Food Safety



HAZARD ANALYSIS

CRITICAL CONTROL POINT

- The HACCP system was developed by NASA to ensure that astronauts would not be plagued by diarrhoea, vomiting, food poisoning or other food-borne hazards during their stay in outer space.
- It has been adopted by the Codex Alimentarius Commission as a system suitable to ensure food safety worldwide.
- Regulation 852/2004 defined the key points of Hygiene including HACCP

Barilla

• Starting from 1 January 2006, the entire system applies to all food businesses in the EU

Hazard Analysis: identify and rank hazards

A: IDENTIFY relevant Hazards for a given product/process: chemical, phyisical, biological, allergens B: RANK according to Severity vs. Probability C: CONTROL the hazard



Pre-requisite programs and CCPs: examples

Famous PRPs:

- 1. GMPs
- 2. IPM (Integrated pest management)
- 3. Cleaning and Sanitization
- 4. Supplier program (selection, validation, surveillance)

Famous CCPs:

- Metal Detector / X-RAY (all)
- Alcohol addition in shelf stable bread / minicakes
- Time/temperature treatment (sauces, filled pasta)



PRPs: GMPs AND IPM



PRP: Vendor assurance, in 5 points

Ensure compliance and performance via:

- 1. Approval: all suppliers before starting supply barilla are audited and approved by barilla representative or a delegate from accredited auditors
- 2. Setting up specification and quality requirements (SQR) attached to contracts
- 3. Conformity of each delivery (certified by supplier)
- 4. Surveillance (base on risk assessment)
 - Analytical surveillance plan
 - Audit (even unannounced)
- 5. Non conformities management
 - Fixing issues and supporting continous improvement
 - In case of Major or recurring Non Conformities Suppliers can be audited



Pre-requisite programs and CCPs: examples

Famous PRPs:

- 1. GMPs
- 2. IPM (Integrated pest management)
- 3. Cleaning and Sanitization
- 4. Supplier program (selection, validation, surveillance)

Famous CCPs:

- Metal Detector / X-RAY (all)
- Alcohol addition in shelf stable bread / minicakes
- Time/temperature treatment (sauces, filled pasta)



Barilla



CCP: Thermal treatment and TPA

Barilla **TPA** is **Accountable** to certify **new** and **existing thermal processes**, every time that it **represents a Critical Control Point (CCP)**. In all other cases, TPA might be consulted or simply informed regarding thermal process applied.







When crisis arise..

ESCALATION OF AN INCIDENT

Event Incident Crisis

Main causes

- Harmful raw material
- Product non conformities (failure of CCP, ineffective quarantine, etc.)
- Irrational consumer (and authority...)

Detected

- By ourselves (self check)
- Notified by suppliers
- Reported by authorities (RASSF)
- Consumer/customer complaints



Barilla

<section-header><section-header><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><complex-block><image><image><image>

Example of crisis management









DOES A STANDARD RISK ASSESSMENT MODEL WORKS FOR FOOD FRAUDS?



WHY DOESN'T IT WORK?







BARILLA RMs CATEGORIES VULNERABILITY RANKING

| RAW MATERIAL CATEGORY | STRATEGICITY | | | PRICE | | EXTERNAL | | |
|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------|------------|-------|----------|----------|--------|
| | Purchasing budget | Communication | score | VOLATILITY | PRICE | RISK | GUT feel | scores |
| OLIVE OIL | | | | | | | | |
| EGG AND PRODUCTS THEREOF | | | | | | | | |
| ORGANIC (BIO) RMs | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | * F000 |







Farmer LOG IN



Select a treatment



Lot definition



Basil Cut



Lot Shipment



ANOTHER POSSIBLE APPLICATION





Untargeted techniques

NGS (New Generation Sequencing)





ANOTHER POSSIBLE APPLICATION



Validation of your sensor results

Jeroen Jansen Assistant Professor / acting department head

Analytical Chemistry and Chemometrics

Radboud University



Radboud University









Data, Information and Knowledge

Institute for Molecules and Materials

Radboud University Nijmegen

Data Data 1: factual information (as measurements or statistics) used as a basis for reasoning, discussion, or calculation 2 : information output by a sensing device or organ that includes both useful and irrelevant or redundant information and must be processed to be meaningful 3 : information in numerical form that can be digitally Information transmitted or processed Source: http://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/data 'givens' is a synonym Knowledge 'gegevens', 'donnees' are translations This means you can only obtain information, by keeping the data intact Radboud University Nijmegen









Radboud University Nijmegen

Data tables in analytical chemistry

WHAT IS INSIDE THE MATRIX?

Institute for Molecules and Materials

Radboud University Nijmegen



Radboud University Nijmegen














Measurement Quality is determined by all steps in the analysis

· Influences need to be identified to improve the measurement quality







Geometric interpretation of analytical chemistry data tables

WHAT IS INSIDE THE MATRIX? (PART 2)

Institute for Molecules and Materials

Radboud University Nijmegen

















Turning multivariate data into probabilities

VALIDATION OF MULTIVARIATE CLASSIFIERS AND REGRESSION







Principle of data-driven validation

- 1. By applying a chemometric method you obtain <u>one</u> result from all available data.
- 2. This is a scaled-down version of a <u>population</u> result, with associated uncertainty. ← "classical" statistics
- 3. We try to simulate this uncertainty by scaling down the result of point 1.
- \rightarrow <u>Resampling</u> on your data.

Radboud University Nijmegen

Validation

=Estimating prediction error.

Basic Principle: test how well your model works with <u>new</u> data, it has not seen yet!

Split data in **training** and **test** set.

Several ways:

- One large test set
- Leave one out and repeat: LOO
- Leave *n* objects out and repeat: LNO
- . . .

Apply entire modeling procedure on the test set









| Cross-validation, resampling for designed/grouped data | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | Segment 1 | Segment 1 | Segment 1 | Segment 1 | | | | | |
| | Segment 2 | Segment 2 | Segment 2 | Segment 2 | Class Red | | | | |
| | Segment 3 | Segment 3 | Segment 3 | Segment 3 | | | | | |
| | Segment 4 | Segment 4 | Segment 4 | Segment 4 | | | | | |
| | Segment 5 | Segment 5 | Segment 5 | Segment 5 | Class Blue | | | | |
| | Segment 6 | Segment 6 | Segment 6 | Segment 6 | | | | | |
| Red and Blue group Representative test set (gray sections of both classes) <u>Stratified</u> resampling | | | | | | | | | |
| Institute for Molecules and | Institute for Molecules and Materials | | 43 | Ra | Radboud University | | | | |

| Percentage of misclassifications: | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Full set (177) | | Test set (50) | | | | | | |
| number of variables | 2 | 13 | 2 | 13 | | | | | |
| LDA | 11 | 0 | 16 | 2 | | | | | |
| LDA (Bayes) | 10 | 0 | 14 🗹 | 2 | | | | | |
| Fisher LDA | 15 | 6 | 20 | 10 | | | | | |
| QDA | 8 | - | 16 | 1 - 1 | | | | | |
| QDA (Bayes) | 8 | - | 12 | - 1 | | | | | |
| | | 0 - 0 0 - 14 - | ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο ο | + + <u>*</u> ++ + <u>*</u> +++ + <u>*</u> +++++ + <u>*</u> +++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ | | | | | |

Optimizing & reporting

VALIDATION OF MULTIVARIATE **CLASSIFIERS AND REGRESSION**



















Institute for Molecules and Materials

Radboud University



Remarks

Bootstrap

- More computer-intensive
- More widely-used → 'real statistics'

Jackknife

- · Cross validation: in general fewer calculations than using bootstrap
- What value of probability is a 'good' one?
 - P<0.05 is a 'choice'
 - What is a realistic (data-driven) value?









Misclassification

Wine data

Percentage of misclassifications:

| | 2 var | 13 var |
|-------------|-------|--------|
| LDA | 16 | 2 |
| LDA (Bayes) | 14 | 2 |
| Fisher LDA | 20 | 10 |
| QDA | 16 | - |
| QDA (Bayes) | 12 | - |









Some other measure of accuracy

Regression (e.g. PLS, OPLS):

RMSEP Root Mean Square Error of Prediction → absolute value!

$$RMSEP = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m} \sum_{i} (y_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}$$
$$Q^{2} = 1 - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_{i} - y_{i})^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} (y_{i} - \overline{y})^{2}}$$

63

 y_i is predicted y, y_i is true y of data object i, \overline{y} is mean y

Radboud University

```
Institute for Molecules and Materials
```

Q²: (normalized

'version' of RMSEP) Also 1- Q² version exists (i.e. perfect prediction -> Q²=0)

•

Conclusions

- · Every step of analytical development should be evidence-based
- · 'classical' statistics do not work for multivariate data
- Computer power allows 'resampling'
- · Structured way of downsampling and testing with existing data
- Cross-validation, Jacknife/bootstrap, permutations
- <u>Double Cross-validation is essential!</u>
- Reporting the results is an important aspect !



Literature

See also (and more discussion on the associated statistics):

- R. Wehrens, H. Putter, and L.M.C Buydens; The bootstrap: a tutorial; *Chemom. Intell. Lab. Syst.*, 54(1), (2000) 35-52
- F. Westad, F. Marini, Validation of chemometric models A tutorial, *Analytica Chimica Acta* 893 (2015) 14-24

Institute for Molecules and Materials

65

Radboud University



Concepts and guidelines for validation of screening methods for residues analysis: EU requirements

> Roger Galve 19 June 2018 Prague





Regulations EC

Commission Decision 2002/657/EC

Guideline document regarding validation of screening methods

- Regulation (EC) No 1107/2009
- Council Directive 91/414/EEC
- Regulation (EC) N0 396/2005

Initial validation of screening methods in the originating laboratory

Validation of screening methods in the receiving laboratory following their transfer to the lab







The process of **method validation** is intended to demonstrate that a method is **fit-for-purpose**. This means that when a test is performed by a properly trained analyst using the specified equipment and materials and exactly following the method protocol, accurate, reliable and consistent results can be obtained within specified statistical limits for sample analysis.



Method validation

CSIC ciber-bbn

CSIC cüber-bbn

> The validation should demonstrate,

- The identity and concentration of the **analyte**.
- Taking into account for matrix effects,
- Provide a statistical characterization of recovery results,
- Indicate if the frequency of **false positives and negatives** are acceptable
- When the method is followed using suitable analytical standards, results within the established performance criteria should be obtained on the same or equivalent sample material by a trained analyst in any experienced residue testing laboratory.





• Selectivity

h4D

- Calibration
- Linearity
- Matrix Effects
- Trueness and Recovery
- Precision
- Limit of Quantification (LOQ)
- Analytical Range
- Ruggedness
- Method Documentation



Selectivity

CSIC ciber-bbn

CSIC ciber-bbn

Selectivity should be evaluated to demonstrate that no interferences occur which significantly affect the analysis.

MINISTERIO DE ECONOMÍA Y COMPETITIVIDAD

- It is required that common interferences are checked by **analysing a blank** for every batch of reagents.
- When **reagents and/or solvents are changed** between batches of samples, **additional reagent blank evaluations** should be performed.
- **Background levels** of plasticizers, septa bleed, cleaning agents, reagent impurities, laboratory contamination, carry-over, etc. tend to show up in reagent blanks and **must be recognized** by the analyst when they occur.
- Also, analyte-to-analyte **interferences must be known** by checking individual analytes in mixed standard solutions.
- The ultimate test of selectivity involves the rates of false positives and negatives in the analyses. To estimate rates of false positives and negatives during method validation, an adequate number of blanks per matrix should be analysed along with spiked matrices at the analyte reporting level.

Linearity



- Calibration errors are usually a minor component of the total uncertainty, but they affect optimization of the final protocol.
 - It must be known in advance whether the **calibration curve is linear or quadratic**, passes through the **origin**, and is affected by the **sample matrix** or not.
- The following calibration procedures are recommended for the initial method validation:
 - Determinations at five or more concentrations.
 - The reference standards should be spaced over the concentration range.
 - The fit of the calibration function must be plotted and inspected by calculation of the residuals (differences between the actual and calculated concentrations of the standards). Residuals of the calibration curve should not deviate by more than ± 20 30 % (30% for calibration concentrations near the instrument LOQ).

CSIC cüber-bbn

CSIC cüber-bbn



- Linearity can be tested by examination of a plot of residuals produced by linear or nonlinear (quadratic) calibration function on the concentrations, using at least five concentration levels.
- Quality of fit usually is calculated by the coefficient of determination (R²), but may be misleading because it places greater significance on standards with higher concentrations.
- Ideally, the value of the intercept should be close to zero to reduce errors in calculating residue concentrations at low levels, although the calibration curve should not be forced through the origin without justification





Extracts of blank matrix, preferably of the same or similar type as the sample, should be used for calibration.

To compensate for matrix effects,

- Standard addition
- Isotopically labeled internal standards (IS)
- Chemical analogues.
- However, these approaches are often difficult in MRMs because there are too many residues in different matrices at different levels to devise routine procedures.
- If solvent-only calibration is used, a measurement of matrix effects must be made to demonstrate equivalence of results by comparing responses of matrix-matched with solvent-only standards.





Trueness and Recovery

CSIC ciber-bbn

- Trueness is the closeness of agreement between a test result and the accepted reference value.
 - Trueness is stated quantitatively in terms of "**bias**," with smaller bias indicating greater trueness. Bias is determined by comparing the response of the method to a certified reference material.
- Recovery refers to the proportion of analyte determined in the final result compared with the amount added, generally expressed as a percentage.
 - Routine recovery refers to the determination(s) performed in quality control spikes in the analysis.





Precision is the closeness of agreement between independent replicates obtained under stipulated conditions. It is usually specified in terms of standard deviation (SD) or coefficient of variation (CV).

Two types of precision sets of conditions are relevant:

- **Repeatability**, the variability of measurements within the same analytical sequence
- **Reproducibility**, the variability of results among multiple sets of the same sample
- In single-laboratory validations, precision often varies with analyte concentration (when analyte level approaches LOQ).
- There is no change in precision with analyte level
- The standard deviation is proportional to, or linearly dependent on, analyte level.





Limit of Quantification (LOQ)

CSIC cüber-bbn

- The LOQ is the concentration at which the average signal/noise ratio (S/N) equals 10 in the analysis.
- The LOQ in practice can only be estimated because can change day-to-day due to the performance state of the instrument, among many other factors.
- The LOQ should be verified via spiking experiments at the Lowest Validated Level (LVL). Quantification of analytes should not be made below LVL in the same analytical sequence.
- > Detection capability ($cc\beta$)



- > $cc\beta$ is the **smallest content of the analyte** that may be detected, identified and/or quantified in a sample with an error probability of β . The β error is the probability that the tested sample is **truly noncompliant** even though a compliant measurement has been obtained.
- > $cc\beta$ is the concentration at which only ≤ 5 % false compliant results remain
- > In the case of analytes for which no Regulatory Limit has been established, $cc\beta$ is the lowest concentration at which a method is able to detect truly contaminated samples
- > In the case of analytes with an established Regulatory Limit, $cc\beta$ is the concentration at which the **method is able to detect** permitted limit concentrations.
 - CCα = MRL+1.64*SD of 20 Fortified blanks at MRL
 - $CC\beta = CC\alpha + 1.64*SD$ of 20 Fortified blanks at $CC\alpha$



CSIC ciber-bbn



Analytical Range

- The validated range is the interval of analyte concentration within which the method can be regarded as validated.
- Most methods will be validated for at least two levels of concentration, but many laboratories choose to validate at a third level to demonstrate linearity.
- For monitoring residue concentrations, the Lowest Validated Level (LVL) for each analyte is at or below the Maximum Residue Levels (MRLs).
 - For **authorised analytes**, the Screening Target Concentration is at or below the MRL.
 - For **prohibited & unauthorised analytes**, the Screening Target Concentration must be at or less than the Minimum Required Performance Limits (MRPL).
- For analytes for which Maximum Residue Levels (MRLs) have not been established according to Council Regulation (EC) No 470/2009, the lower the probability of obtaining false-negative results (ccβ).



- The ruggedness (often synonymous with robustness) of an analytical method is the resistance to change in the results produced by the analytical method when deviations are made from the experimental conditions described in the procedure.
- Such permissible deviations should produce no meaningful change in the results produced.
 - Small changes in the instrument.
 - Brand/lot of reagent or changes in operator.
 - Concentration of a reagent.
 - pH of a solution.
 - Temperature of a reaction.
 - Time allowed for completion of a process.



Method Documentation

CSIC ciber-bbn

CSIC ciber-bbn

- After validation, the **method documentation** should provide, in addition to performance criteria (data quality objectives), the following information:
 - Identity of the analytes included.
 - Concentration range covered by the validation.
 - Matrices used in the validation.
 - Protocol describing the equipment, reagents, detailed step-by-step procedure including permissible variations (e.g. "heat at 100 ± 5 °C for 30 ± 5 min"), calibration and quality procedures, special safety precautions required, and intended application and critical uncertainty requirements.
 - Quantitative result of the measurement uncertainty (MU) for the method should be calculated in the validation procedure and reported.



CSIC ciber-bbn

CSIC ciber-bbn



- Screening methods are usually either qualitative or semi-quantitative in nature, with the objective being to discriminate samples which contain no residues above a threshold value ("negatives") from those which may contain residues above that value ("indicated positives").
- Screening methods should also be checked in terms of selectivity and sensitivity.
 - The **selectivity of screening methods** must be able to distinguish the presence of the target compound, or group of compounds, from other substances that may be present in the sample material.
 - The validation of a screening method based on a screening detection limit (SDL) can be focused on **detectability**. a minimal validation should involve analysis of at least 5 samples spiked at the estimated SDL.



- Selectivity. The method needs to provide a signal response that is free from interferences.
 - Range of different pesticide residues in one extraction increases the potential for compromised selectivity compared to single residue methods.
- Validation. Recommended that a minimum of 5 replicates be analysed (to check the recovery and precision) at LOQ
 - When the residue definition includes two or more analytes, the method should be validated for all analytes.
- > Trueness. Analysis of a certified reference material.
- Recoveries. it is necessary to recognize that analyte spiked into a test sample may not behave in the same manner as the biological.
 - The amount of an extracted residue is less than the total





- Applicability: The analytes, matrixes, and concentrations for which an analytical method can be used satisfactorily.
- Coefficient of Variation (CV): A measure of precision in quantitative studies comparing the variability of sets with different means.
- Confirmation: The combination of two or more analyses that are in agreement with each other, at least one of which meets identification criteria.
- Confirmatory method: A method that is capable of providing complementary information in agreement with a previous result.
- False positive: A result wrongly indicating that the analyte is present or exceeds a specified concentration.
- False negative: A result wrongly indicating that the analyte is not present or does not exceed a specified concentration.



Definitions

cïber-bbn

CSIC ciber-bbn

- Fortification: Addition of analytes for the purposes of determining the recovery (also known as spiking).
- Interference: Intrinsic or extrinsic response unrelated to an analyte (e.g. noise) due to electronic, chemical, or other factors related to the instrumentation, environment, method, or sample.
- > Interferent: A chemical or other factor causing an interference
- Internal standard (IS): A chemical added at a known amount to samples and/or standards in a chemical analysis, including the blank and calibration standards. This substance can then be used for calibration by plotting the ratio of the analyte signal to the internal standard signal as a function of the concentrations.
- Limit of Detection (LOD): The lowest concentration or mass of the analyte that can be detected (but not quantified) in a sample. In practice, this is typically the analyte concentration at which the average signal/noise is 10.



- Limit of quantification (LOQ): The smallest concentration of the analyte that can be quantified. It is commonly defined as the minimum concentration of the analyte in the test sample that can be determined with repeatability and accuracy under the stated conditions of the test.
- Linearity: The ability of a method of analysis, within a certain range, to provide an instrumental response or results, proportional to the quantity of analyte to be determined
- Lowest Calibrated Level (LCL): The lowest concentration which the determination system is successfully calibrated.
- Lowest Validated Level (LVL): The lowest validated spiking level meeting the method performance criteria.
- Matrix effect: An influence of the one or more undetected components from the sample on the measurement of the analyte concentration or mass.



CSIC ciber-bbn



Definitions

- Matrix-matched standards: Standard solutions prepared in final extracts of matrix blanks similar to that of the sample.
- Multiresidue method (MRM): A method which can determine a large number of compounds typically from different chemical classes.
- > **Precision:** Degree of variability of a measurement around a mean.
- Quantitative method: A method capable of producing analyte concentration (determinative) results with trueness and precision that comply with established criteria.
- Recovery: Amount measured as a percentage of the amount of analyte(s) originally added to a sample of the appropriate matrix, which contains either no detectable level of the analyte or a known detectable level. Recovery experiments provide information on both precision and trueness and thereby the accuracy of the method.



- Relative Standard Deviation (RSD): The standard deviation, divided by the absolute value of the arithmetic mean, expressed in percentage. It refers to the precision of the method (also known as coefficient of variation-CV).
- Repeatability: Precision usually expressed as RSD, obtained from the same measurement procedure or test procedure; the same operator; the same measuring or test equipment used under the same conditions; the same location and repetition over a short period of time.
- Reproducibility: Precision (typically expressed as RSD) where independent test/measurements results are obtained with the same method on identical test/measurement items in different test or measurement facilities with different operators using different equipment.
- Ruggedness: A measure of the capacity of an analytical procedure to remain unaffected in method parameters and provides an indication of its reliability during normal usage.

MINISTERIO DE ECONOMIA Y COMPETITIVIDAD



Definitions

CSIC ciber-bbn

CSIC ciber-bbn

- Screening Detection Limit (SDL): Lowest level of fortification that has been shown to have certainty at a 95% confidence level.
- Selectivity: The extent to which a method can determine particular analyte(s) in a mixture(s) or matrices(s) without interferences from other components of similar behaviour.
- Sensitivity: Quotient of the change in the indication of a measuring system and the corresponding change in the value of the quantity being measured.
- > **Trueness:** The closeness of agreement between the average of an infinite number of replicate measured quantity value and a reference quantity value.
- Uncertainty: A parameter associated with the result of a measurement that characterizes the dispersion of values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurement "the true value can be expected to lie"



Concepts and Guidelines for Validation of Screening Methods for Residue Analysis: EU Requirements (Examples)

J.-Pablo Salvador

FoodSmartphone Summer School









OUTLINE

- **KEY CONCEPTS** •
- **MICROBIOLOGICAL METHODS**
- **ELISA** •
- LFIA
- **BIOSENSORS**







According to 2002/657/EC

Classification by detection principle

- Biological methods (Cellular response)
- Biochemical methods (molecular interactions)
- Physicochemical methods (separation techniques)

Classification by degree of quantification

- Qualitative
- Semiquantitative
- Quantitative

As a general principle, there has to be a sufficient margin of difference between the Screening Target Concentration and the Regulatory Limit. <u>Therefore CCB (detection capability) must be less than or equal to the</u> <u>Regulatory Limit</u>.






Screening Test for Antibiotic Residues (STAR)

Five plate test

Ten different groups of antibiotics were studied: macrolides, aminoglycosides, cephalosporins, penicillins, quinolones, tetracyclines, sulphonamides, lincosamides, phenicolated and miscellaneous drugs

Bacillus subtilis at pH 7.2 (**Bs7.2**). Kocuria varians at pH 8 (**Kv8**). Bacillus cereus at pH 6 (**Bc6**). Escherichia coli at pH 8 (**Ec8**). Bacillus stearothermophilus at pH 7.4—DST (**Bst**).



Samples Ø 9mm Bs7.2 and Bc6 at 30°C (18 h) Kv8 and Ec8 at 37°C (at 24 and 18 h, respectively). Bst at 55°C (12–15 h)

Measurement: anular zone

CSIC *cüber-bbn*

CSIC ciber-bbn

Gaudin et al. Food Additives and Contaminants, Vol. 21, No. 5 (2004), pp. 422–433



VALIDATION OF MICROCROBIOLOGICAL METHODS

STAR protocol

Validation in milk Three interlaboratory profiency test

All antibiotics tested were spiked at 0.5x, 1x, 2x MRL

Table 2. Mean inhibition zones (mm), standard deviation and coefficient of variation (%) for each control disc. Data are shown for control discs compiled during the 7 months of the study: antibiotic disc concentration ($\mu g l^{-1}$), plates, mean inhibition zones (mm), standard deviations (mm), coefficients of variation (CV%) and the number of replicates (n).

| | Chlortetracycline 200 µg 1 ⁻¹ | Streptomycin 2000 µg 1 ⁻¹ | Tylosin 1000 µg1 ⁻¹ | Ciprofloxacin 100 µg 1 ⁻¹ | Sulphamethazine 1000 µg l ⁻¹ |
|-----------|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| Plate | Bc6 | Bs7.2 | Kv8 | Ec8 | Bst |
| Mean (mm) | 5.47 | 4.67 | 5.13 | 4.83 | 5.33 |
| SD (mm) | 1.07 | 1.20 | 0.85 | 1.36 | 1.68 |
| CV (%) | 19.7 | 25.8 | 16.6 | 28.3 | 31.5 |
| n | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 |

21 antibiotics ≤ MRL 27 antibiotics ≤ 4*MRL



STAR protocol

Sensitivity was defined as the lowest concentration for which positive results (inhibition zones more than 2 mm) were obtained for the four discs.

| Br7 2 Er8 Br6 and | Ret platos - 0 mm | Antibiotic | ≤ MRL | $MRL \!\ll\! 4^*MRL$ | >4*MRL |
|---|--------------------------|---|--|---|---|
| Kv8 = 0 | 83% | Macrolides | Erythromycin Neospiramycin Tilmicosin | Spiramycin Tylosin | |
| 0<Ø<1 mm 1< Ø<2 mm | 7% 10% | Penicillins | Penethamate Oxacillin Nafcillin | Ampicillin Amoxycillin Penicillin G Cloxacillin Dicloxacillin | |
| =2 mm N=30 | 0% | Aminoglycosides | Neomycin Framycetin | Gentamicin | Spectinomycin Streptomycin Dihydrostreptomycin Kanamycin |
| | | Cephalosporins | Ceftiofur Cephalexine | Cephapirine Cephalonium Cefquinome Cefoperazone | Cephazoline Cephacetril |
| | | Tetracyclines | Chlortetracycline | Tetracycline Oxytetracycline | |
| | | Quinolones | Danofloxacin Enrofloxacin Ciprofloxacin Marbofloxacin | | Flumequine |
| | | Sulphonamides | Smethoxazole Sdiazine Schloropyridazine | Squinoxaline Sdimethoxine Smonomethoxine Snilarnide Spyridine Sphena.zole Smethizole Sdoxine Smera.zine Sguanidine Sceta.mide | Smethazine Sthiazole Smethoxypyridazine |
| Gaudin et al. Food Additives and No. 5 (2004), pp. 422–433 | d Contaminants, Vol. 21, | Lincosamides Phenicolated Miscellaneous | Pirlimycin Trimethoprim Baquiloprim | Lincomycin | Thiamphenicol Colistin Bacitracin Novobiocin |



OUTLINE

- KEY CONCEPTS
- MICROBIOLOGICAL METHODS
- ELISA
- LFIA
- BIOSENSORS





IMMUNOCHEMICAL TECHNIQUES



Small Molecules are not immunogenic by itself. Therefore, to produce antibodies against **SM** a derivative has to be synthesized to be able coupling to a carrier protein to inoculate into host animal.

An immunizing hapten is a mimic of target analyte that has a similar physico-chemical properties with a additional functionality to couple to carrier protein.

Spacer arr





Analyte

Hapten



ELISA: COMPETITIVE FORMAT





DEVELOPMENT OF AN ELISA



| ELISA directo | 1.25 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.50 Heterologo 0.00 10 ^{-2.5} | • Indirect v Direct E • Dire | ELISA Homologous 10 ^{5.0} |
|--|--|--|--|
| ELISA indirecto | | HETEROLOGOUS | HOMOLOGOUS |
| | | Direct ELISA As155/SA1 | Indirect ELISA As155/SA2 |
| | Amax | 0.047 | 0.836 |
| | Amin | 0.917 | 0.054 |
| | Slope | 0.78 | 0.77 |
| | IC50, μg L ⁻¹ | 11.1 ± 1.3 | 1.69 ± 0.23 |
| | LOD, µg L ⁻¹ | 0.49 ± 0.15 | 0.10 ± 0.06 |
| | N | 11 | 11 |
| ian et al. J. Agric. Food Chem., 2009, 57 (2), pp 5–394 | MINISTERIO DE ECONOMA Y CONPETITIVIDAD | | ciber-bbn |



HAPTEN DESIGN: SELECTIVITY PROFILE





Regulación 2377/90

Sulfonamides: 100 µg L-1

HAPTEN DESIGN: DETECTABILITY PROFILE

Immunochemical Analysis of Milk

CSIC ciber-bbn

CSIC ciber-bbn

| Detectability | achieved |
|---------------|------------|
| Heterologous | Competitor |

MRL, maximum residue levels

| | Indirect | ELISA |
|------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Compound | IC50(µg/L) | LOD(µg/L) |
| Sulfapyridine | 2.25 | 0.15 |
| Sulfaquinoxaline | 79.15 | 1.17 |
| Sulfachloropyridazine | 61.81 | 1.34 |
| Sulfamethoxazole | >>> MRL | >>> MRL |
| Sulfisomidine | 2.10 | 0.23 |
| Sulfathiazole | 1.30 | 0.13 |
| Sulfadiazin | 14.05 | 0.38 |
| Sulfadimethoxine | 41.22 | 0.99 |
| Sulfamerazine | 3.73 | 0.43 |
| Sulfadoxin | >>> MRL | >>> MRL |
| Sulfamethoxypyridazine | 4.95 | 0.33 |
| Sulfamethazine | 1.78 | 0.15 |
| N4-Acetyl-Sulfamethazine | >>> MRL | >>> MRL |
| Sulfamethazine (Sodium Salt) | 1.49 | 0.09 |
| Sulfanilamida | >>> MRL | >>> MRL |

Adrian et al. J. Agric. Food Chem., 2009, 57 (2), pp 385–394



HPLC

ELISA









| | LC | | ELISA | | |
|--------|---------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|-------|--|
| sample | μg of sulfadiazine/g of feed | % RSD | μg of sulfadiazine equiv/g of feed | % RSD | |
| S1 | 3.3 | 4 | 4.2 | 25 | |
| S2 | 0.82 | 8 | 0.84 | 22 | |
| S3 | 8.7 | 5 | 7.7 | 12 | |
| S4 | 0.85 | 8 | 0.77 | 14 | |
| S5 | 53 | 9 | 45 | 7 | |
| S6 | 415 | 5 | 498 | 19 | |
| S7 | 5559 | 5 | 5177 | 24 | |

MINISTERIO DE ECONOMIA Y COMPETITIVIDAD

| Table 2. Results Obtained by LC and ELISA for Feed Samp |
|---|
|---|

Jiménez, V. et al. JAFC 2010, 58, 7526-7531



MULTIPLEXED ANTIBIOTIC IMMUNOASSAY

сперо виненол се интегла сторет-выли

MCR3 setup and chip



| | ľ | | • | | DNT | | l | - | F | l |
|---|---|----|---|---|----------------|----|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | ٠ | | ٠ | ٠ | SMA | | ٥ | | 6 | l |
| | | | | ٠ | SDA | | | | | 1 |
| • | • | • | • | ٠ | streptomycin | | | | • | |
| | | ٠ | ٠ | | cloxacillin | | | | + | 2 |
| | | | | | ampicillin | | | | | |
| | | | | • | penicillin G | | | | | |
| • | | | | | cephapirin | | | | | |
| | | | | | neomycin B | | | | | |
| | | | | | gentamicin | | | | | |
| ł | | ł. | | | erythromycin A | ٠ | | | 4 | |
| | | | | | tylosin | | | | | |
| ł | • | ٠ | | | enrofloxacin | ٠ | • | ٠ | ٠ | |
| | | | | | tetracycline | 12 | | | | |







Validation according to 2002/657/EC

Considering <u>precision</u>, <u>specificity</u>, <u>accuracy</u>, <u>ruggedness</u>, <u>repeatability</u>, <u>recovery</u>, decision limit (<u>CC α </u>) and detection capability (<u>CCB</u>)





VALIDATION OF MULTIPLEXED CLIA

CSIC ciber-bbn

Road map for validation:

- 1. Repeatability: Determine calibration curves at several days and on different chips. CV< 10%
- 2. Determination of LoD as: 20 blanks and calculate $\bar{x} \pm 3$ *SD. LOD < MRL
- 3. Specificity of signal: Comparison cocktail vs individual antibodies
- 4. Stability of milk: 4°C, -20°C (1d, 3d, 1w and 4w)
- 5. Determination of CC α , 20 blanks spiked at MRL value and calculate as $\bar{x} \pm 1.64$ *SD
- 6. Determination of CCB, 20 blanks spiked at MRL value and calculate as \bar{x} \pm 1.64*SD
- Confirm deternination of CCB by measuring 20 blanks samples spiked at CCB. Should be < 5%

- 8. Recovery at 0.5*MRL, 1*MRL and 1.5*MRL
- 9. Ruggedness, measuring MRL at different times, T, sources of milk and N=2 $\,$









Revbroeck et al. Food Additives & Contaminants: Part A, 2014, Vol. 31, No. 12, 2080-2089





VALIDATION SRP BIOSENSORS



Nb4D









THE INSTITUTE FOR GLOBAL FOOD SECURITY



Workshop: Development of Fit-for-Purpose Validation Protocols for Smartphone-based Assays

Dr. Cuong Cao Lecturer in Advanced Micro- and Nanodiagnostics Institute for Global Food Security School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University Belfast E-mail: c.cao@qub.ac.uk





Cuong











Brendan



lavier "Designing and realizing novel biosensing platforms exploiting micro- and nanotechnologies for diagnosis"









Smartphone-based Assays: State-of-the-Art



(Photo adapted from <u>http://www.emfnews.orq</u>)

Image adapted from <u>https://www.trendhunter.com</u>)

The world is home to 7.2 billion gadgets, and they're multiplying five times faster than we are!







Improvements in Smartphone Allowing It to Play as On-Site and On-Line Diagnostic Devices



Colorimetric Smartphone Based Platform



Typical optical detection for both light transmitted through liquid sample platforms (i.e. cuvette, well plate) and light reflected from solid sample platforms (i.e. test strip, cassette) using colorimetric assays *Anal. Methods, 2016, 8, 6591–6601*



Colorimetric smartphone based platform for allergens Lab Chip, 2013, 13, 636–640









Antibody-conjugated particles (Ab-particles) are loaded on the mPAD (a) in the loading site next to horizontal marker, located at the center of each channel. Sample with Tween80 is loaded onto the inlet that flows through each channel towards the loading site. Target antigens in the sample induce immunoagglutination of Ab-particles. This causes an increase in light scattering, which is captured by a smartphone (*Biosensors and Bioelectronics 74(2015), 601–611*)



But... there're inherent drawbacks and challenges ...





What is Validation?

"Validation is the confirmation by examination and the provision of **objective evidence** that the particular requirements for **a specific intended use** are fulfilled" (*ISO/IEC 17025:2005 cl. 5.4.5.1*)

Analytical System = Analytical procedure/ protocol + target analyte + sample matrix

- Specific intended use: The performance characteristics
- Objective evidence: experimental data that help judge the system suitability
- Closely tied to development stage

Validation Vs Verification

ISO 9000: "Verification is the confirmation, through provision of objective evidence, that specified requirements have been fulfilled"



Validation or Verification: When?

Validation

- Non-standard methods: new methods, laboratory designed/developed methods
- Standard methods used outside their intended scope (e.g. new targets, new problems)
- Amplifications and modifications of standard methods
- Demonstration of the equivalence between two methods, e.g. a new method vs. a standard method

Verification

- Standard(ised) methods (i.e. published by Iso, AOAC, etc.)
- There is an important change such as a new but similar instrument, an instrument is updated with new software, relocation of equipment etc.
- Quality control indicates that the performance of an established method is changing with time

| Importance of Va | alidation |
|------------------|--|
| Ethical | Establish fitness for purpose on customer's behalf Good science and integrity |
| Commercial | Product liabilityQuality standard |
| Regulatory | Legal requirements Consistent application of method Comparability between analysts / Laboratories/ Countries |
| | |

A new person is employed in your lab and she will replace a colleague in doing certain analyses. Does this require any changes concerning validation?

- A. Yes, new data for important validation parameters should be collected and compared/pooled with the existing data.
- B. Yes, the methods should be validated from scratch.
- C. No, method parameters do not need to be determined again after the validation has been completed once by the previous employee.

Exercise 1: 10 min

Work in pair. Tell your friend about:

- 1. What are the analytical system (i.e. analytical procedure/ protocol + target analyte + sample matrix) you working on in relation to validation?
- 2. What is to be accomplished by the study ?
- 3. Outline the purpose, e.g. full validation of a new method/device, verification of performance of a standardised method/device, extension to method scope, etc.?. The **ANTICIPATED** extent of the validation work, i.e. the performance characteristics which will be investigated and any associated requirements?

Vice versa, your friend will need to share with you the same







Selectivity

Selectivity: "the extent to which the method can be used to determine particular analytes in mixtures or matrices without interferences from other components of similar behaviour" (Selectivity in analytical chemistry (IUPAC recommendations 2001), Pure Appl. Chem., 2001, 73(8), 1381)

An analyte may exist in the sample in more than one form:

- ✓ Different analogues;
- ✓ Bound or unbound;
- ✓ Inorganic or organometallic;
- ✓ Or different oxidation states

Different matrices have different degrees of interference, and thus ...X..?



Selectivity

There are greater than 20 main analogues of saxitoxin, each with a different toxicity factor, responsible for Paralytic shellfish poisoning (PSP) toxins in shellfish



(Anal. Chem. 2010, 82, 2977–2988)

| | | | Carbamate Toxins | N-Sulfocarbamoyl toxins | Decarbamoyl toxins | Deoxydecarbamoyl toxins |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| R ₁ | R ₂ | R ₃ | $\mathbf{R}_{4^{*}}$ OCONH ₂ | R4- OCONHSO3 | R₄- OH | R ₄ - H |
| Н | Н | Н | STX | B1 (GTX 5) | dc-STX | do-STX |
| Н | Н | OSO3 ⁻ | GTX 2 | C1 | dc-GTX 2 | do-GTX 2 |
| Н | OSO3 ⁻ | Н | GTX 3 | C2 | dc-GTX 3 | do-GTX 3 |
| ОН | Н | Н | NEO | B2 (GTX 6) | dc-NEO | |
| OH | Н | OSO3 ⁻ | GTX 1 | C3 | dc-GTX 1 | 1 |
| OH | OSO3 ⁻ | Н | GTX 4 | C4 | dc-GTX 4 | 1 |

Examples of Food Commodities Containing Allergens

Table 1. Food commodities that should be included in cross-reactivity testing for ELISA methods targeting egg

| Adzuki beans | Almond | Barley | Beef | Brazil nut |
|--------------|----------------------|------------|-------------------------|---------------|
| Buckwheat | Cashew | Chestnut | Chick peas | Chicken |
| Сосоа | Coconut | Com | Crustacean/prawn/shrimp | Duck |
| Fish | Gelatin (bovine) | Hazelnut | Kidney beans | Kiwi |
| Lecithin | Lentils | Lima beans | Linseed | Macadamia nut |
| Milk | Oats | Octopus | Peanut | Peas |
| Pecans | Pine nut | Pistachio | Poppy seeds | Pork |
| Pumpkin seed | Rice-white and brown | Rye | Sesame | Soybean |
| Split peas | Sunflower seed | Turkey | Walnut | Wheat |

Table 2. Food commodities that should be included in cross-reactivity testing for ELISA methods targeting milk

| Almond | Barley | Brazil nut | Beef | Buckwheat |
|-------------|------------|--------------|----------------------|------------------|
| Cashew | Chick peas | Cocoa | Corn meal | Crustacean/prawn |
| Egg | Fish | Hazelnut | Lecithin | Lima bean |
| Oats | Peas | Peanut | Pecan | Pine nut |
| Pistachio | Poppy seed | Pumpkin seed | Rice-white and brown | Rye |
| Sesame seed | Soy bean | Split peas | Sunflower seed | Walnut |
| Wheat | | | | |

(ABBOTT ET AL.: JOURNAL OF AOAC INTERNATIONAL VOL. 93, NO. 2, 2010)

Selectivity

- ✓ Substances physiochemically similar to the analyte (those thought likely to present in samples) should be evaluated individually and in combination with the analyte of interest. This analysis can be performed in parallel with confirmatory techniques to assess the selectivity ability
- Matrix effects should be evaluated. The calibration curve in different matrices should be compared with calibrators in buffer to detect matrix effects
- ✓ Nonspecific binding should be determined



Antibody against A





Accuracy, Precision and Recovery

Accuracy = Trueness = how close are the results to the right answer

"Accuracy is the closeness of agreement between a test result and the accepted reference or true value of the property being measured"

Can be evaluated as 'Bias' by comparing the mean of the results from the candidate method with a suitable reference value. 3 General approaches:

1. Analysis of reference materials (RM): i.e. measure concentration of RM (10 times) using candidate method. Compare mean measured concentration ($C_{measured}$) with reference concentration of RM (($C_{ref.}$).

Bias $b = C_{measured} - C_{ref}$

Relative bias b (%) = $\frac{C_{measured} - C_{ref}}{C_{ref}} x \ 100$

Relative % recovery = $\frac{C_{measured}}{C_{ref}} x \ 100$

Accuracy, Precision and Recovery

2. Recovery experiments using spiked samples:

- 1. Measure the mean value of a blank, negative matrix: C₀
- Spike an analyte over a range of concentrations (C_{known}) into the matrix. Measure mean concentration of the spiked analyte: C_{spiked}
- 3. The relative spike recovery R(%) at various concentrations:

$$R(\%) = \frac{C_{spiked} - C_0}{C_{known}} x100$$

Example:

Spike 5 mg of analyte into a confirmed negative real matrix. So the final spiked sample should contain 5 mg of the analyte.

If not, then the value obtained from the measurement is not accurate

90-110% recovery generally considered OK

Accuracy, Precision and Recovery

3. Comparison with results obtained with another method: i.e. measure concentration of the target analyte (10 times) using candidate method ($C_{measured}$) and the alternative (standard) method ($C_{benchmarked}$). Compare mean measured concentration ($C_{measured}$) with the mean $C_{benchmarked}$:

Bias b = $C_{measured} - C_{benchmarked}$

Relative bias b (%) = $\frac{C_{measured} - C_{benchmarked}}{C_{benchmarked}} x \ 100$

Relative % recovery = $\frac{C_{measured}}{C_{benchmarked}} x \ 100$

Accuracy, Precision and Recovery

Precision = Repeatability: The closeness of agreement between independent test results obtained under stipulated conditions

Combination of **Within batch (Internal)** and **Between batches variability**

- ✓ Influenced by changes in analyst, instrument conditions, reagents etc.
- Can be assessed (in simplest way) by analysis at least 10 times of known material singly or in replicate.
 - The SD of single measurements gives an estimate of precision within batch
 - The SD of replicate measurements gives and indication of precision between batch

Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ)

The most controversial part of any method validation

Different definitions:

- The lowest concentration that can be measured with reasonable statistical certainty (AOAC)
- The lowest concentration of analyte in a sample that can be detected, but not necessarily quantified, under the stated conditions of the test (NATA Tech, Note #3)
- The smallest concentration that can be determined statistically different from a blank at a specified level of confidence (typically 95%). This corresponds to the critical level. (Currie, 1988 Am. Chem. Soc)
- The output signal or value above which it can be affirmed with a stated level of confidence, for example 95 %, that a sample is different from a blank sample containing no determinant of interest. (ISO 13530 :2009)

Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ)

The most controversial part of any method validation

Different definitions Different approaches for determining LOD/LOQ:

- Based on visual evaluation: The detection limit is determined by the analysis of samples with known concentrations of analyte and by establishing the minimum level at which the analyte can be reliably detected (LOD), or the analyte can be quantified with acceptable accuracy and precision (LOQ).
- Based on Signal-to-Noise Approach (generally accepted, next slide)
- Based on the Standard Deviation of the Response and the Slope: LOD = 3 x STDEV (zero or lowest conc.) / slope of the calibration line LOQ = 10 x STDEV (zero or lowest conc.) / slope of the calibration line



Capacity of Detection for Qualitative/ Semiquantitative Analysis

Qualitative analysis involves identification or classification of substances and is effectively a 'yes'/'no' answer at a given cut-off concentration of an analyte



Precision cannot be expressed as a standard deviation, but may be expressed as true and false positive and negative rates

The cut-off limit is where false negative rates for concentrations above the limit are low – with a stated probability, e.g. 5 %.



Range and Linearity

Working range: the interval over which the method provides results with an acceptable uncertainty. The lower end of the working range is bounded by the limit of quantification LOQ. The upper end of the working range is defined by concentrations at which significant anomalies in the analytical sensitivity are observed. An example of this is the plateauing effect at high absorbance values in UV/VIS spectroscopy.



Ruggedness- Robustness

The 'ruggedness' ('robustness'): "a measure of its capacity to remain unaffected by small, but deliberate variations in method parameters. Ruggedness provides an indication of the method's reliability during normal usage"

What to do:

- Identify variables which could have a significant effect on method performance: flowrate (i.e. in SPR), incubation temperature, incubation time, etc.
- Set up experiments (analysing RMs or test samples) to monitor the effect on measurement results of systematically changing the variables.



What is 'Fitness for Purpose'?

"The degree to which data produced by a measurement process enables the user to make **technically and administratively correct decisions** for a <u>stated purpose</u>" (M. Thompson and M. H. Ramsey, Analyst, 1995, 120, 261–270)

> Performance characteristics should be adequate to meet the needs of the user / Regulator as appropriate

Determined by evaluating actual performance and not just that stated by the supplier / manufacturer




Exercise 2: 15 min

You are grouped into 3 sub-groups. Discuss with your friends to select an example of an analytical system to be validated. Then write an outline for a validation protocol with categories as described in the table below. Then each group will report back to the whole participants for further discussion

| Tittle | identify an analytical system for validation; when and who is performing the work? |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Purpose | a short description of what is to be accomplished by the study |
| Overview | This section should outline the purpose, e.g. full validation of a new method/device, verification of performance of a standardised method/device, extension to method scope, etc. The extent of the validation work should be indicated, i.e. the performance characteristics which will be investigated and any associated requirements. |
| Performance characteristics | give a brief explanation of the performance characteristics (selectivity, linearity, LOD, LOQ, trueness, precision, recovery, robustness, etc.), repeat any specific requirements, outline the experiments which will be done and how the results are to be evaluated. |

References for Further Reading

- 1. Validation Procedures for Quantitative Food Allergen ELISA Methods: Community Guidance and Best Practices. JOURNAL OF AOAC INTERNATIONAL VOL. 93, NO. 2, 2010
- **2.** Harmonized guidelines for single-laboratory validation of methods of analysis. *Pure Appl. Chem.*, Vol. 74, No. 5, pp. 835–855, 2002
- 3. Eurachem Guide: The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics, (2nd ed. 2014). ISBN 978-91-87461-59-0. Available from <u>www.eurachem.org</u>
- 4. AOAC INTERNATIONAL Methods Committee Guidelines for Validation of Microbiological Methods for Food and Environmental Surfaces. 2002. Available at: http://www.aoac.org/aoac prod imis/AOAC Docs/StandardsDevelopment/AOAC Validat ion Guidelines for Food Microbiology-Prepub version.pdf
- 5. A practical guide to immunoassay method validation. Available at: https://doi.org/10.3389/fneur.2015.00179



INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY PRAGUE Faculty of Food and Biochemical Technology Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition

Cross-reactivity in immunochemistry-based methods applied in mycotoxins analysis

World

Journal

Mycotoxin

Milena Zachariasova, Jana Hajslova



Zachariasova et al., 2014. Cross reactivity of rapid immunochemical methods for mycotoxins detection towards metabolites and masked mycotoxins: the current state of knowledge. World Mycotoxin Journal 7: 449-464

METHODS FOR MYCOTOXINS ANALYIS



Hajslova J., Zachariasova M., Cajka T. Analysis of multiple mycotoxins in food. In: Mass Spectrometry in Food Safety, Methods and Protocols, Methods in Molecular Biology, Springer, 2011, 233–258.



ELISA (Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay)

Rapid screening of mycotoxins in various food / feed commodities Very easy to handle by following the manufacturers' instructions

(APPARENT) BENEFITS:

- No clean-up of the sample extract is required
- For results calculation pure solvent standards are always used
- Sample spiking for recoveries determination is usually not recommended

DRAWBACKS:

- Cross-reactivity of antibodies
- Biassed (overestimated) results can be obtained



ELISA FOR SCREENING OF REGULATED **MYCOTOXINS** 1881/2006 EC ammended by 1126/2007 EC



COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE DON-DEDICATED **ELISA FOR THE ANALYSIS OF REAL-LIFE** SAMPLES

ANALYTICA CHIMICA ACTA 635 (2008) 77-86 available at www.sciencedirect.com Taylor & Fra Food Additives and Contaminants, Vol. 21, No. 6 (June 2004), pp. 607-617 ScienceDirect journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/aca Screening survey of deoxynivalenol in beer from the Deoxynivalenol and its conjugates in beer: A critical European market by an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assessment of data obtained by enzyme-linked assay immunosorbent assay and liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry A. Papadopoulou-Bouraoui†, T. Vn S. Valzacchiš, J. Stroka† and E. † European Commission, DG Joint Research Reference Materials and Measurements, B † National Center of Hygiene, Medical Ee Sofia 1431, Balgaria; Skaropean Commission Centre, Institute for Health and Consumer lanska^a, Jan Poustka^a, e 5, Czech Republic Isma Italy Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in analysis (Received 5 September 2003; revised 8 Fe accepted 13 February 2004) of deoxynivalenol: investigation of the impact of sample matrix on results accuracy J. Agric: Food Chem. 2010, 58, 12625-12633 12625 AGRICULTURAL AND Zbynek Dzuman · Marta Vaclavikova · Ivana I FOOD CHEMISTRY Zdenka Veprikova · Marie Fenclova · Milena Zachariasova • Jana Hajslova **Cross-Reactivity of Antibodies in Some Commercial** Deoxynivalenol Test Kits against Some Fusariotoxins EMMANUEL K. TANGNI,* JEAN-CLAUDE MOTTE, ALFONS CALLEBAUT, AND LUC PUSSEMIER inary and Agrochemical Research Centre (CODA-CERVA), Operational Directorate of Chemica Safety of the Food Chain, Unit of Toxins and Natural Components, Leavensesteenweg 17, 3080 Tervuren, Belgium 6 INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY PRAGUE Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition

ΗÒ

ОH

OVERVIEW OF STUDIES PROVIDING THE DETAILED COMPARISON OF COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE DON-ELISAS of WITH THE REFERENCE METHODS

HO DON OH

| Mycotoxin (reference) | Mycotoxin (reference) Matrix measured Reference method | | Name of the ELISA kit | Over- estimation of results |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| DON | Beer (real samples) | GC-MS | Ridascreen DON (R-Biopharm) | Yes |
| (Papadopoulou-Bouraoui et al., 2004) | beer (real samples) | | EZ-Quant HS DON (Diagnostix) | |
| | De en las el se un el se | | Ridascreen DON (R-Biopharm) | Yes |
| DON | Beer (real samples, | | DON EIA (Euro-Diagnostica) | Yes |
| (Zachariasova et al., 2008) | reference material) | | Veratox DON 5/5 (Neogen Corporation) | Yes |
| | reference materialy | | AgraQuant DON 0.25/5.0 (Romer Labs) | Yes |
| | | | Ridascreen DON (R-Biopharm) | Yes |
| DON | Barley, mait (real samples, spikes, matrix matched standards) | LC–MS/MS | DON EIA (Euro-Diagnostica) | Yes |
| (Kostelanska et al., 2009) | | | Veratox DON 5/5 (Neogen Corporation) | Yes |
| | | | AgraQuant DON 0.25/5.0 (Romer Labs) | Yes |
| | | | Ridascreen DON (R-Biopharm) | Yes |
| | Wheat, barley, malt | | Ridascreen FAST DON (R-Biopharm) | Yes |
| DON | (real samples, spikes, | IC-MS/MS | DON EIA (Euro-Diagnostica) | Yes |
| (Dzuman et al., 2013) | matrix matched | | Veratox DON 5/5 (Neogen Corporation) | Yes |
| | standards) | | Veratox DON HS (Neogen Corporation) | Yes |
| | | | AgraQuant DON 0.25/5.0 (Romer Labs) | Yes |
| DON | Wheat, oat (real | | Ridascreen DON (R-Biopharm) | Yes |
| (Aamot et al., 2012) | reference material) | | Ridascreen FAST DON (R-Biopharm) | Yes |

OVERESTIMATION OF ELISA WHEN COMPARED TO REFERENCE GC-MS



OVERESTIMATION OF ELISA WHEN COMPARED TO REFERENCE LC-MS



Zachariasova, M. et al., 2008. Deoxynivalenol and its conjugates in beer: A critical assessment of data obtained by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. Analytica Chimica Acta 625: 77-86.

OVERESTIMATION OF ELISA WHEN COMPARED TO REFERENCE LC-MS



Dzuman, Z., et al., 2014. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in analysis of deoxynivalenol: investigation of impact of sample matrix on results accuracy. Analytical Bioanalytical Chemistry 406: 505-514.

CROSS-REACTIVITY TO DON METABOLITES DOCUMENTED IN THE ELISA KITS ... standards in neat solvent

| > | (| Cross-reactivity declared | Cross-reactivity measured |
|---|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | DON | 100% | 100% |
| | 15-ADON | <0.1 - 19% | 0 - 10% |
| | ^{CH} 3 3-ADON | >100% | 94 - 498% |
| | DON-3-0 | Gic Not declared | 32-157% |
| | | · · · | |

Differences in measured cross-reactivities in time

CERTIFICATE OF CONFORMITY

Dzuman, Z., et al., 2014. Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in analysis of deoxynivalenol: investigation of impact of sample matrix on results accuracy. Analytical Bioanalytical Chemistry 406: 505-514.

Tangni, E.K., et al., 2010. Cross-Reactivity of Antibodies in Some Commercial Deoxynivalenol Test Kits against Some Fusariotoxins. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 58: 12625-12633.

Zachariasova, M., et al., 2008. Deoxynivalenol and its conjugates in beer: A critical assessment of data obtained by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. Analytica Chimica Acta 625: 77-86. 11

CROSS-REACTIVITY TO MATRIX COMPONENTS

DON in certified reference material of wheat



Zachariasova, M. et al., 2008. Deoxynivalenol and its conjugates in beer: A critical assessment of data obtained by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry. Analytica Chimica Acta 625: 77-86.

CROSS-REACTIVITY OF MATRIX COMPONENTS



OVERESTIMATION OF RESULTS OF OTHER MYCOTOXINS CAUSED BY THE NON-SPECIFIC CROSS-REACTIVITY

... In several studies, the ELISA overestimation effects were also described for OTA (OTA) (*Koller et al., 2006, Afshar et al., 2013*), aflatoxins (*Rodríguez-Cervantes et al., 2013*), and fumonisins (*Rodríguez-Cervantes et al., 2013, Dall'Asta et al., 2008*)....

Zachariasova et al., 2014. Cross reactivity of rapid immunochemical methods for mycotoxins detection towards metabolites and masked mycotoxins: the current state of knowledge. World Mycotoxin Journal 7: 449-464

OVERVIEW OF STUDIES PROVIDING THE DETAILED COMPARISON OF COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE ELISAS WITH THE REFERENCE METHODS

Aflatoxins Ochratoxin A HT-2 / T-2 toxins

| Mycotoxin | Matrix measured | Reference method | Name of the ELISA kit | Over-estimation of results | Reference |
|---|--|---|---|---|------------------------|
| Aflatoxins Tilapia feed HPLC–FLD (IAC clean-up) | | Ridascreen Aflatoxin total (R- Biopharm) | Yes | Rodríguez- Cervantes et al., 2013 | |
| Aflatoxins | Grains and grain products | HPLC | AgraQuant Total Aflatoxin (4–40 ppb) ELISA (Romer Labs) | No | Zheng et al., 2005a |
| OTA, AFM1 | Human breast milk | HPLC-FLD | Veratox for Ochratoxin #8610 (Neogen) Aflatoxin M1 #5121 ELISA for AFM1 (EuroProxima) | Yes No | Afshar et al., 2013 |
| HT2, T2 | Oat (real samples, certified reference material) | LC–MS/MS | Ridascreen FAST T-2 Toxin (R- Biopharm) | No | Aamot et al., 2013 |

INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY PRAGUE

OVERVIEW OF STUDIES PROVIDING THE DETAILED COMPARISON OF COMMERCIALLY AVAILABLE ELISAS WITH THE REFERENCE METHODS

Ochratoxin A Zearalenone Fumonisins

| Mycotoxin | Matrix measured | Reference method | Name of the ELISA kit | Over-estimation of results | Reference |
|------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| ΟΤΑ | Human blood serum | HPLC–FLD (IAC clean-up) | Ridascreen OTA 30/15 (R-Biopharm) | No | Dohnal et al., 2013 |
| ΟΤΑ | Human blood serum | Capillary electrophoresi s with laser- induced FLD | Veratox® for ochratoxin (Neogen) | Yes | Koller et al., 2006 |
| ΟΤΑ | Corn, milo, barley, wheat, soybeans and green coffee | HPLC | AgraQuant ELISA OTA (Romer Labs) | No | Zheng et al., 2005b |
| ZEA | Maize-based food and feed | HPLC–FLD (IAC clean-up) | Ridascreen Zearalenone (R-Biopharm) | No | Nuryono et al., 2005 |
| Fumonisins | Tilapia feed | HPLC–FLD (IAC clean-up) | Ridascreen Fumonisin (R-Biopharm) | No | Rodríguez-Cervantes et al, 2013 |
| Fumonisins | Thermally treated and untreated maize-based foods | LC–MS/MS | Ridascreen Fummonisin (R-Biopharm) | Yes | Dall'Asta et al., 2008 |

INFLUENCE OF ANTIBODY PRODUCTION ON ITS SPECIFICITY / CROSS-REACTIVITY

..... Cross-reactivities of antibodies used in immunoassays may largely differ..... depending on the overall immunisation strategy which actually opens up the perspectives for assay specificity....

Zachariasova et al., 2014. Cross reactivity of rapid immunochemical methods for mycotoxins detection towards metabolites and masked mycotoxins: the current state of knowledge. World Mycotoxin Journal 7: 449-464

IMPORTANT FACTOR(S):

Binding location of mycotoxin within the mycotoxin-carrier protein complex

- Type of carrier protein
- Type of cross-linking activator

INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY PRAGUE



mycotoxin derivate could be expected.

EXPLOITING OF ANTIBODIES FOR PRE-CONCENTRATION OF GLYCOSILATED MYCOTOXINS



• Not for the purpose of utilizing in rapid immunochemical based test, but for the more sophisticated instrumental LC-MS analysis

EXPLOITING OF ANTIBODIES FOR PRE-CONCENTRATION OF GLYCOSILATED MYCOTOXINS



EXPLOITING THE IMMUNOAFFINITY COLUMNS FOR PRE-CONCENTRATION OF GLYCOSILATED DON





INSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY PRAGUE Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition

HT2, T2 – EasyExtract





World Mycotoxin Journal, August 2012; 5 (3): 231-240

Wageningen Academic

Occurrence of mono- and di-glycosylated conjugates of T-2 and HT-2 toxins in naturally contaminated cereals

Z. Veprikova, M. Vaclavikova, O. Lacina, Z. Dzuman, M. Zachariasova and J. Hajslova

Institute of Chemical Technology, Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition, Technicka 3, 166 28, Prague 6 – Dejvice, Czech Republic; jana.hajslova@vscht.cz

> Received: 13 May 2012 / Accepted: 16 July 2012 © 2012 Wageningen Academic Publishers

Abstract

An increasing incidence of T-2 and HT-2 toxins (T-2 and HT-2) representing group A trichothecenes has been observed in *Fusarium* infected small grain cereals in the last decade. Quite recently, the existence of glucosides of T-2 and HT-2 has also been proved next to the common parent forms. There is a strong desire to deepen the knowledge of the occurrence of these conjugates and the possibilities of their analytical determination. A new analytical procedure has been developed for monitoring T-2/HT-2 conjugates in cereal samples. Four different sample preparation methods based on crude acetonitrilewater extraction, QuEChEKS, solid phase extraction and immunoaffinity clean-up, were tested. The latter approach employing dedicated immunoaffinity cross-reactive cartridges was shown to be the best option for selective isolation and pre-concentration of the target conjugated analytes. The samples obtained in this way were examined by ultra-high performance liquid chromatography hyphenated to high resolution tandem mass spectrometry that enabled the confirmation of the presence of conjugated T-2 and HT-2. In addition to mono-glycoxylated forms of T-2 and HT-2 detected in naturally contaminated barley, wheat and oats, we have also documented for the first time the existence of diglucosides of HT-2 in barley.

Keywords: masked mycotoxins, HT-2 toxin, T-2 toxin, high resolution mass spectrometry, UPLC-QqTOF MS

RAPID IMMUNOCHEMICAL DETECTION OF MASKED MYCOTOXINS









Conclusions and remarks

Drawbacks and benefits related to the cross-reactivity issue...

 Cross-reactivities of antibodies may pose a risk of biased results – one should be aware when official control / proficiency testing are performed

More realistic toxicological profile of the investigated food/feed samples can be obtained



the systems approach

CABORATI PESEARCH COMMUNICATION RESEARCH COLLABORATION RESEARCH MUNICAT

As far as the laboratory operators keep in mind the purpose of analysis, and are familiar with the risk of potentially biased results, as well as with ways of their compensation when needed, then immunochemical methods pose an highly effective tool in food safety compliance control.

Open communication between users and producers on the troublesome issues and their solutions is an important assumption for growing use of these techniques.



Thank you for your attention...

milena.zachariasova@vscht.cz jana.hajslova@vscht.cz





Collaborative (Interlaboratory) Study

- Coordinated evaluation of an analytical method by multiple laboratories
- Test of the method not test of the labs!
- Collaborators should be representative end-users of the method and the method should be applied under conditions of the intended use (*e.g.* field vs. laboratory)



Purpose of Collaborative Studies Provide confidence in the method performance (especially important for official/standard methods) Demonstrate reproducibility Opportunity for method improvements (clarity, performance-based parameters, elimination of external factors etc.)

Standard Setting Organizations - Examples



• ISO (International Organization for Standardization)



 CEN (Comité Européen de Normalisation; European Committee for Standardization)



AOAC International



Type of Methods and Validation Requirements

| Method Classification | Quantitative | Qualitative | Identification |
|---------------------------------|--|---|---|
| Single-Laboratory Validation | Applicable range Limit of quantitation (LOQ) Bias Recovery Precision (RSD _r) | Probability of detection (POD) Inclusivity/selectivity Exclusivity/cross-reactivity Environmental interference Laboratory variance | Probability of identification (POI) Inclusivity/selectivity Exclusivity/cross-reactivity Environmental interference |
| Collaborative Study | Reproducibility (RSD _R) | POD (0) POD (c) Laboratory POD | POI (c) Laboratory POI |
| FoodSmart phone.eu | | | |

Collaborative Study Design

Minimum requirements:

Quantitative methods:

- Recruit 10–12 collaborators
- 8 valid data sets
- 2 blind duplicate replicates
- 5 materials = 5 analyte (test components)/matrix/concentration combinations

Quantitative methods:

 10 testing sites, each reporting at least 6 valid replicate analyses per concentration (at least 3 concentrations)



Collaborative Study Start – Must Have:

Well-optimized method

Clearly written method SOP

Single-laboratory validation (ideally multiple analysts/multiple days)

Collaborative study protocol (based on fit-for-purpose study design)

Suitable study materials (homogenous and stable)

Qualified (and committed) collaborators

Dedicated (and committed) study director (study direction team)



Collaborative Study Start – Good to Have:

- Limited interlaboratory study (3-4 labs)
 - To work out potential issues and make improvements before the actual collaborative study

Kick-off meeting with collaborators

 To answer any potential questions, explain any critical steps in the method or protocol etc.

Laboratory qualification test

 Analysis of qualification samples to make sure that the lab is able to follow the method



Method SOP

- Specification of reagents, chromatographic materials, enzymes, antibodies and other performance-critical materials
- Description and explanation of every step so as to discourage deviations (use imperative directions and avoid subjective and conditional expressions as options)
- · Safety precautions
- System suitability
- Performance-based parameters for instruments, columns etc.
- Critical steps
- Convenient stopping points



Collaborative Study Protocol

- Based on fit-for-purpose study design
- Clear instructions to collaborators
- Description of study phases and expectations
- Material receipt and storage conditions
- Documentation of potential deviations
- Timelines/deadlines/milestones
- Reporting format (report templates)
- Statistical data analysis description, treatment of outliers etc.



Collaborative Study Protocol

Recommended statement:

"THIS IS A STUDY OF THE METHOD, NOT OF THE LABORATORY. THE METHOD MUST BE FOL LOWED AS CLOSELY AS PRACTICABLE, AND ANY DEVIATIONS FROM THE METHOD AS DE SCRIBED, NO MATTER HOW TRIVIAL THEY MAY SEEM, MUST BE NOTED ON THE REPORT FORM."



Collaborative Study Test Samples

- Must be homogeneous
- Must be stable (freezing, dehydrating, antioxidants, preservatives etc.)
- Inert containers
- Randomly coded
- Appropriate analyte concentrations
- Representative matrices
- Sample size only needed for the study
- Free of contamination
- Typically blind duplicates



Suitable Test Samples

- Single batch, homogeneous, stable material
- Reference materials expensive but sometimes available through a collaboration with the certification organization (JRC, NIST etc.)
- Synthetic materials/special formulations
- Spiked materials (for residues: include at least some incurred samples)
- Unknown test solutions for direct addition by the collaborators to blank matrix samples (last resort - for unstable analytes)
- Blanks



Examples of Other Materials Provided to Collaborators

| Practice samples | Qualification samples | Quality control samples |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Reference standards | Test kits | Special reagents |
| FoodSmart phone.eu | | |

Sending Collaborative Study Materials

- Notify collaborators of shipping arrangements (tracking numbers, expected delivery time etc.)
- Label samples legibly
- Pack samples well and label properly to avoid damage and transportation delays
- Include all necessary certificates
- If necessary, use sufficient amount of dry ice (to last several days longer than anticipated)
- Provide clear storage instructions



Obligations of Collaborators

- Analyze samples according to the protocol
- Follow method exactly!!!
- Conduct exactly the number for required tests
- Report individual values (use reporting templates)
- Carefully review reported results and calculations (transcription errors, use of wrong units etc.)
- Supply raw data, graphs and other documentation



Statistical Analysis - Initial Review (Data Audit)

- Major discrepancies (decimal points, wrong units etc.) and trends (consistently low or high lab results – based on ranking)
- Only valid results included in statistical analysis
- Invalid results:
 - Method not followed
 - Unexpected calibration function (non-linear when linear expected)
 - System suitability specifications not met
 - · Resolution or other performance characteristics not adequate
 - Unexpected reactions occur
 - Other atypical phenomena



Statistical Analysis – Outliers

- · Some outliers expected in collaborative studies
- Rejection of more than 2/9 of the data for a given material is considered excessive
- Required number of valid data points has to be maintained (8 for quantitation methods)
- Determine the probability that the apparent aberrant value(s) is part of the main group of values considered as normal population:
 - Cochran test
 - Single Grubbs test
 - Double Grubbs test



Statistical Analysis – Outlier Tests

Cochran Test

- For removal of laboratories (or extreme individual values from a set of laboratory values) showing significantly greater variability among replicate (within-laboratory) analyses than other laboratories for a given material
- Calculates distribution of all the differences between the duplicates and then tests each individual difference to see if it fits in that distribution (homogeneity of variance)



Statistical Analysis – Outlier Tests

Grubbs Tests

- For removal of laboratories with extreme averages
- First apply single-value test, then if no outlier found, apply pair value test (2 values at the highest end, 2 values at the lowest end, and 2 values – one at each end)

Use statistical tools for outlier tests and other calculations, such as AOAC Int. statistical worksheet:

https://www.aoac.org/aoac_prod_imis/AOAC_Docs/NEWS/08trad03_AOAC_BlindDup_v2-1.xls



Statistical Analysis – Precision

Repeatability

- Within laboratory
- RSD_r

Reproducibility

- Among laboratories
- RSD_R



Statistical Analysis – HorRat

 $HorRat = \frac{RSD_R}{PRSD_R}$

PRSD_R – predicted reproducibility relative standard deviation

 $PRSD_{R} = 2C^{-0.1505}$

C – estimated mean concentration expressed as a decimal fraction – e.g. 100% = 1; 1% = 0.01; 1 ppm = 0.000001





Collaborative Study Example - Quant

MASTOVSKA ET AL.: JOURNAL OF AOAC INTERNATIONAL VOL. 98, NO. 2, 2015 477

RESIDUES AND TRACE ELEMENTS

Determination of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Seafood Using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry: Collaborative Study

KATERINA MASTOVSKA and WENDY R. SORENSON Covance Laboratories Inc., Nutritional Chemistry and Food Safety, 3301 Kinsman Blvd, Madison, WI 53704 JANA HAJSLOVA Institute of Chemical Technology, Faculty of Food and Biochemical Technology, Department of Food Chemistry and Analysis, Technická 3, 166 28 Prague 6, Czech Republic

Collaborators: J. Betzand; J. Binkley; K. Bousova; J.M. Cook; L. Drabova; W. Hammack; J. Jabusch; K. Keide; R. Lizak; P. Lopez-Sanchez; M. Misunis; K. Mittendorf; R. Perez; S. Perez; S. Pugh; J. Pulkrabova; J. Rosmus; J. Schmitz; D. Staples; J. Stepp; B. Taffe; J. Wang; T, Wenzl



AOAC Int. Official Final Action Method 2014.08

Validation of Qualitative Methods

- Probability of Detection (POD) as a statistical model for validation of qualitative methods
 - Proportion of positive analytical outcomes for a qualitative method for a given matrix at a given analyte level or concentration
 - Concentration dependent
 - Combines sensitivity, specificity, false-positive and false-negative parameters in one single parameter (POD)
 - AOAC Int. OMA, Appendix H (<u>http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_h.pdf</u>)
 - AOAC Int. OMA, Appendix N (<u>http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_n.pdf</u>)
 - AOAC Int. OMA, Appendix F (<u>http://www.eoma.aoac.org/app_f.pdf</u>)



POD Curves - 2 Types of Qual Methods



POD response curve of a microbiological method where Poisson sampling variation dominates





Theoretical POD response curve for a method that uses a threshold value



POD Model vs. Other Terminology

| Traditional terminology | Concept | POD equivalent | Comment |
|---|--|---------------------------|--|
| False positive | Probability of the method giving a (+) response when the sample is truly without analyte | POD(0) POD at conc = 0 | POD curve value at conc = 0; "Y-intercept" of the POD curve |
| Specificity | Probability of the method giving a (-) response when the sample is truly without analyte | 1-POD(0) | Distance along the POD axis from POD = 1 to the POD curve value |
| False negative (at a given concentration) | Probability of a (-) response at a given concentration | 1-POD(c) | Distance from the POD curve to the POD = 1 "top axis" in the vertical direction |
| Sensitivity (at a given concentration) | Probability of a (+) response at a given concentration | POD(c) | Value of the POD curve at any given concentration |
| True negative | A sample that contains no analyte | C = 0 | Point on concentration axis where c = 0 |
| True positive | A sample that contains analyte at some positive concentration | C > 0 | Range of concentration where c > 0 |



Collaborative Study Example - Qual

| | Table 3. Ex | cample of coll | aborati | ve data i | for detection | n of Salmonella | in gro | und beef | | | |
|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|---------|-----------|------------------|---------------------|--------|------------------|---------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| | | | | | Candidate m | ethod | | Reference | method | | |
| | Concn, MPN/25 g* | Laboratory | n | Хp | PODc or LPODc | 95% CI ^c | x | PODr or LPODr | 95% CI | dPODc or dLPODc | 95% CI |
| | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0 | | 0 | 0 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0 | All | 60 | 0 | 0 | (0.0, 0.060) | 0 | 0 | (0.0, 0.060) | 0.00 | (-0.060, 0.060) |
| | 0.75 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0.17 | | 2 | 0.33 | | -0.17 | |
| | 0.75 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0.17 | | 1 | 0.17 | | 0.00 | |
| | 0.75 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | | 3 | 0.50 | | -0.50 | |
| | 0.75 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0.17 | | 3 | 0.50 | | -0.33 | |
| | 0.75 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 0.50 | | 5 | 0.83 | | -0.33 | |
| | 0.75 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | | 1 | 0.17 | | -0.17 | |
| | 0.75 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0.17 | | 2 | 0.33 | | -0.17 | |
| | 0.75 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 0.83 | | 4 | 0.67 | | 0.17 | |
| | 0.75 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | | 4 | 0.67 | | -0.67 | |
| | 0.75 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0.33 | | 2 | 0.33 | | 0.00 | |
| odSmart | 0.75 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | | 2 | 0.33 | | -0.33 | |
| one. <mark>eu</mark> | 0.75 | All | 60 | 14 | 0.23 | (0.06, 0.41) | 28 | 0.47 | (0.33, -0.60) | -0.233 | (-0.45, -0.014) |

Collaborative Study Example - Qual

| 0.75 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0.17 | | 2 | 0.33 | | -0.17 | |
|-------|-----|----|----|------|--------------|----|------|---------------|--------|-----------------|
| 0.75 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 0.17 | | 1 | 0.17 | | 0.00 | |
| 0.75 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | | 3 | 0.50 | | -0.50 | |
| 0.75 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0.17 | | 3 | 0.50 | | -0.33 | |
| 0.75 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 0.50 | | 5 | 0.83 | | -0.33 | |
| 0.75 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | | 1 | 0.17 | | -0.17 | |
| 0.75 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 0.17 | | 2 | 0.33 | | -0.17 | |
| 0.75 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 0.83 | | 4 | 0.67 | | 0.17 | |
| 0.75 | 9 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | | 4 | 0.67 | | -0.67 | |
| 0.75 | 10 | 6 | 2 | 0.33 | | 2 | 0.33 | | 0.00 | |
| 0.75 | 11 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | | 2 | 0.33 | | -0.33 | |
| 0.75 | All | 60 | 14 | 0.23 | (0.06, 0.41) | 28 | 0.47 | (0.33, -0.60) | -0.233 | (-0.45, -0.014) |
| 10.75 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0.67 | | 6 | 1.00 | | -0.33 | |
| 10.75 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 0.83 | | 4 | 0.67 | | 0.17 | |
| 10.75 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 0.83 | | 5 | 0.83 | | 0.00 | |
| 10.75 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 0.83 | | 6 | 1.00 | | -0.17 | |
| 10.75 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 1.00 | | 6 | 1.00 | | 0.00 | |
| 10.75 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0.00 | | 2 | 0.33 | | -0.33 | |
| 10.75 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 1.00 | | 6 | 1.00 | | 0.00 | |
| 10.75 | 8 | 6 | 6 | 1.00 | | 6 | 1.00 | | 0.00 | |
| 10.75 | 9 | 6 | 6 | 1.00 | | 5 | 0.83 | | 0.17 | |
| 10.75 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 0.67 | | 6 | 1.00 | | -0.33 | |
| 10.75 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 0.67 | | 6 | 1.00 | | -0.33 | |
| 10.75 | All | 60 | 51 | 0.85 | (0.76, 0.94) | 56 | 0.93 | (0.84, 0.97) | -0.083 | (-0.18, 0.048) |



Collaborative Study Example - Qual

| Candidate method | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Low level Mid level High let | | | | | | | | |
| Concentration | 0.00 MPN/25 g | 0.75 MPN/25 g | 10.75 MPN/25 g | | | | | |
| No. laboratories (reported/used) | (11/10) | (11/10) | (11/10) | | | | | |
| N total replicates | 60 | 60 | 60 | | | | | |
| LPODc | 0.00 | 0.233 | 0.850 | | | | | |
| LPODc 95% CI | (0.00, 0.060) | (0.040, 0.384) | (0.757, 0.943) | | | | | |
| S,ª | 0.00 | 0.3568 | 0.3606 | | | | | |
| SL ^b | 0.00 | 0.2144 | 0.000 | | | | | |
| S _R ° | 0.00 | 0.4162 | 0.3606 | | | | | |

Table 4. Statistical summary for collaborative study for Salmonella in ground beef

AOAC Int. Statistical Worksheet for Binary (Qualitative) Methods:

https://www.aoac.org/aoac_prod_imis/AOAC_Docs/NEWS/09trad04_AOAC_binary-v2-3.xls



AOAC Int. Collaborative Study on PAHs in Seafood

Katerina Mastovska¹, Wendy Sorenson¹, and Jana Hajslova²

¹Covance Laboratories, NCFS, Madison, WI, USA ²Institute of Chemical Technology, Prague, Czech Republic



AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014

AOAC Int. Response to the Oil Spill

- Stakeholders Panel on Seafood Contaminants
- Fitness-for-purpose statement
- Call for methods for PAHs in seafood
- Selection of a candidate method
- Collaborative study







COVANCE

| Call for Methods | |
|---|---|
| Methods for Measurement of F Hydrocarbon (PAH) Compound | Polycyclic Aromatic ds in Gulf of Mexico Seafood |
| AOAC INTERNATIONAL is inv submit methods for considerat through the AOAC <i>Official Met</i> Prospective methods must be aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) | viting method developers to ion and possible evaluation <i>hodsSM</i> program. able to quantify polycyclic) in seafood. |
| Acceptable methods must be a of Quantification of 1 ppb (ne seafood. Currently accepted at to 120 hours to complete. Eval that significantly reduce the sample preparation and extract this call for methods. | able to demonstrate a Limit g/g) for benzo(a)pyrene in nalytical methods require 96 uation of analytical methods time-to-signal (including tion) is a primary goal of |
| | COVANCE |

AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014

Call for Methods: PAHs of Concern

| PAH | FDA/NOAA | US EPA | EFSA |
|---------------------------------|----------|--------|------|
| Anthracene | Х | х | |
| Benz[a]anthracene | X | х | X |
| Benzo[<i>a</i>]pyrene | X | Х | X |
| Benzo[<i>b</i>]fluoranthene | | х | X |
| Benzo[<i>k</i>]fluoranthene | | Х | Х |
| Benzo[<i>g,h,i</i>]perylene | | Х | Х |
| Chrysene | Х | Х | X |
| Dibenz[<i>a,h</i>]anthracene | | Х | Х |
| Fluoranthene | Х | Х | |
| Fluorene | Х | Х | |
| Indeno[1,2,3- <i>cd</i>]pyrene | | Х | Х |
| Naphthalene | Х | Х | |
| Phenanthrene | X | Х | |
| Pyrene | X | х | |



Method Selection Process

PAH Working Group on Quantitative Methods (chaired by Gina Ylitalo, NOAA)



- reviewed about 30 methods submitted as a response to the call for methods or found in literature

- selection criteria:
 - Fitness-for-purpose requirements (LOQ, speed, scope)
 - Identification and quantification (compatibility with MS)
 - Quality of data to meet the AOAC Int. Single Laboratory Validation (SLV) requirements (e.g. accuracy, precision, analysis of reference materials)
 - Practical considerations, e.g. availability of used equipment



Selected Method



NSTITUTE OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY PRAGUE

COVANCE

L. Drabova, K. Kalachova, J. Pulkrabova, T. Cajka, V. Kocourek and J. Hajslova: Rapid Method for Simultaneous Determination of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs) and Polybrominated Diphenyl Ethers (PBDEs) in Fish and Seafood Using GC-TOF MS, ICT document, Prague, Czech Republic, 2010.

- developed within a European integrated project **CONffIDENCE**

Contaminants in food and feed:

Conjugaree

Inexpensive detection for control of exposure

www.conffidence.eu



To develop a simplified sample preparation strategy for simultaneous determination of a wide range of contaminants in food and feed focused on fish and cereal based food.




Selected Method: Validation Results

Analytes:

32 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)

```
- including 16 US EPA and several of their methylated homologues
```

- 18 polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs)
- 7 polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs)

Spiking levels:

- 1 and 5 µg/kg for PAHs, PCBs and PBDEs
- 5 and 25 µg/kg for major PCB 138, 153, 180 and for PBDE 47

| PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS - TROUT | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Analytes | Recovery [%] | RSD [%] | LOQ [µg/kg] | | | | |
| PAHs | 73-97 | 2-13 | 0.05-0.25 | | | | |
| PCBs | 74-113 | 4-18 | 0.1-0.5 | | | | |
| PBDEs | 82-107 | 5-9 | 0.5 | | | | |

| PERFORMANCE CHARACTERISTICS - SHRIMP | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|---------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| Analytes | Recovery [%] | RSD [%] | LOQ [µg/kg] | | | | |
| PAHs | 73-109 | 2-15 | 0.05-0.25 | | | | |
| PCBs | 93-124 | 5-21 | 0.1-0.5 | | | | |
| PBDEs | 79-122 | 4-11 | 0.5 | | | | |



Selected Method: NIST SRM Analysis



National Institute of Standards & Technology

Certificate of Analysis

Standard Reference Material® 1947

Lake Michigan Fish Tissue

Standard Reference Material (SRM) 1947 is a frozen fish tissue homogenate, which was prepared from from Lake Michigan, and is intended primarily for use in evaluating analytical methods for the de selected trace elements, methylmercury, total mercury, polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congener National Institute of Standards & Technology

Certificate of Analysis

Standard Reference Material® 2977

Mussel Tissue (Organic Contaminants and Trace Elements)

This Standard Reference Material (SRM) 2977 is intended for use in evaluating analytical methods for the determination of selected polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) congeners, chlorinated pesticides, polybromoinated diphenyl ether (BDE) congeners, methylmercury, and inorganic constituents in marine bivalve mollusk

| An | alytes | Determined value [µg/kg] | Certified value [µg/kg] |
|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| 1 | PCB 105 | 53.2 ± 4.8 | 50.3 ± 3.7 |
| b no Bs | PCB 118 | 115.1 ± 10.4 | 112 ± 6 |
| PCTO | PCB 156 | 15.0 ± 0.5 | 13.3 ± 0.9 |
| | PCB 157 | 3.8 ± 0.3 | 4.08 ± 0.77 |
| or ss | PCB 138 | 167.0 ± 13.4 | 162.0 ± 6.9 |
| CEajo | PCB 153 | 204.6 ± 10.2 | 201 ± 3 |
| Σd | PCB 180 | 83.3 ± 9.2 | 80.8 ± 5.0 |
| | PBDE 47 | 70.7 ± 6.4 | 73.3 ± 2.9 |
| S | PBDE 99 | 18.4 ± 1.3 | 19.2 ± 0.8 |
| D | PBDE 100 | 17.7 ± 1.4 | 17.1 ± 0.6 |
| B | PBDE 153 | 3.8 ± 0.3 | 3.83 ± 0.04 |
| | PBDE 154 | 6.3 ± 0.5 | 6.88 ± 0.52 |
| | | | |

| SRM 2977 – Mussel Tissue | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Analytes | Determined value | Certified value | | | | |
| | [µg/kg] | [µg/kg] | | | | |
| B[a]A | 20.42 | 20.34 ± 0.78 | | | | |
| B[<i>b</i>]FIn | 10.86 | 11.01 ± 0.28 | | | | |
| B[a]P | 8.19 | 8.35 ± 0.72 | | | | |
| B[ghi]P | 9.22 | 9.53 ± 0.43 | | | | |
| I[1,2,3cd]P | 4.19 | 4.84 ± 0.81 | | | | |
| DB[ah]A | 1.29 | 1.41 ± 0.19 | | | | |
| Chr | 50.57 | 49 ± 2 | | | | |
| B[/]FIn | 4.48 | 4.6 ± 0.2 | | | | |
| B[f]FIn | 3.46 | 4 ± 1 | | | | |

COVANCE.

AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014

AOAC Int. Collaborative Study

Study participants that completed the study (10 out of 16 labs):

- Adpen Laboratories (FL, USA)
- Canadian Food Safety Inspection Agency (AB, Canada)
- Covance Laboratories (WI, USA)
- EU PAH Reference Laboratory (Belgium)
- FL Dept. of Agriculture and Consumer Services (FL, USA)
- Institute of Chemical Technology (Czech Republic)
- LECO Corporation (MI, USA)
- MI Dept. of Community Health (MI, USA)
- State Veterinary Institute (Czech Republic)
- Thermo Fisher Scientific FSRC (Germany)

Study direction team:

- Co-study directors: K. Mastovska, W. Sorenson, and J. Hajslova
- Technical advisors: J. Schmitz (Covance), J. Pulkrabova (ICT)



AOAC Int. Collaborative Study

| • 19 analytes included in the stud | ly: | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-----|
| Name | Abbreviation | |
| Anthracene | Ant | |
| Benz[a]anthracene | BaA | |
| Benzo[<i>a</i>] pyrene | BaP | |
| Benzo[b]fluoranthene | BbF | |
| Benzo[<i>k</i>]fluoranthene | BkF | |
| Benzo[<i>g,h,i</i>]perylene | BghiP | |
| Chrysene | Chr | |
| Dibenz[a,h]anthracene | DBahA | |
| Fluoranthene | Flt | |
| Fluorene | FIn | |
| Indeno[1,2,3- <i>cd</i>]pyrene | IcdP | |
| Naphthalene | Naph | |
| Phenanthrene | Phe | |
| Pyrene | Pyr | |
| 3-Methylchrysene | 3-MC | |
| 1-Methylnaphthalene | 1-MN | |
| 1-Methylphenanthrene | 1-MP | |
| 2,6-Dimethylnaphthalene | 2,6-DMN | |
| 1,7-Dimethylphenanthrene | 1,7-DMP | COV |

1

AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014

AOAC Int. Collaborative Study

Study design:

- 3 matrices: mussel, oyster, shrimp
- total of 5 different levels of BaP (2 50 μg/kg)
- other studied PAHs at varying levels from 2 to 250 µg/kg that mimic typical PAH patterns
- each matrix fortified at 3 different concentration levels in duplicate + one blank for each matrix
- total of 7 x 3 = 21 study samples

Study phases:

- (1) Laboratory qualification
- (2) Test sample analysis



Laboratory Qualification

Why?

- Performance-based criteria (GC-MS instrument, GC column and conditions, silica-SPE, evaporation technique and conditions)
- Optimization of GC-MS, silica-SPE clean-up and solvent evaporation conditions
- Check of potential reagent blank contamination
- Familiarization with the method

Qualification steps:

- (1) GC separation test
- (2) Calibration range test
- (3) Solvent evaporation test
- (4) PAH and fat elution profiles
- (5) Procedure blank test
- (6) Low-level spike test
- (7) Practice sample analysis





AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014

Step 1: GC Separation Test

<u>Criteria:</u>

- (1) baseline separation of benzo[*a*]pyrene and benzo[*e*]pyrene (concentration ratio of 1:5)
- (2) at least 50% valley separation of anthracene and phenanthrene (concentration ratio 1:2.5; % valley evaluated for the anthracene peak)
- (3) at least 50% valley separation for benzo[*b*]fluoranthene, benzo[*j*]fluoranthene, and benzo[*k*]fluoranthene (concentration ratio of 1:1:1)



Step 2: Calibration Range Test

- Determine linear range for analyte responses normalized to respective¹³C-PAHs
- Optimize injection conditions
- Test carry-over (response in the solvent blank < 0.5% of the highest standard)

| | Equivalent concentration in µg/kg | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Calibration Level | BaP and others ¹ | Chr and others ² | Naph ³ | ¹³ C- PAHs | BaP and others ¹ | Chr and others ² | Naph ³ | ¹³ C- PAHs |
| 1 | 5 | 12.5 | 25 | 50 | 0.5 | 1.25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 2 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 50 | 1 | 2.5 | 5 | 5 |
| 3 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 50 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 5 |
| 4 | 50 | 125 | 250 | 50 | 5 | 12.5 | 25 | 5 |
| 5 | 100 | 250 | 500 | 50 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 5 |
| 6 | 200 | 500 | 1000 | 50 | 20 | 50 | 100 | 5 |
| 7 | 500 | 1250 | 2500 | 50 | 50 | 125 | 250 | 5 |
| 8 | 1000 | 2500 | 5000 | 50 | 100 | 250 | 500 | 5 |

Analytes at (1) 10 µg/mL, (2) 25 µg/mL and (3) 50 µg/mL in the Mixed Stock Standard Solution.



Step 3: Solvent Evaporation Test

Determine absolute recoveries of PAHs and ¹³C-PAHs during two evaporation experiments simulating the two evaporation steps in the method:

(a) evaporation of 5 mL of an PAH/¹³C-PAH solution in EtOAc and reconstitution in isooctane

(b) evaporation of 10 mL of an PAH/ 13 C-PAH solution in hexane:DCM (3:1, v/v) and reconstitution in isooctane

Criteria:

Recovery of all PAHs and ¹³C-PAHs > 70%

Recommendations:

- use isooctane as a keeper in both evaporation steps
- add 1-2 mL of EtOAc prior to the second evaporation step to improve recoveries of volatile PAHs

Evaporation techniques employed in the study:

- nitrogen blown-down
- rotary vacuum evaporation



Step 4: PAH and Fat Elution Profiles

- The amount of water in silica gel (silica deactivation) can affect PAH retention
- Determine elution profile of target PAHs and optimum elution volume
- Check gravimetrically that fat (fish oil) is not eluting in the PAH fraction



AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014

Step 5: Procedure Blank Test

- Check concentration of target PAHs in procedure (reagent) blank
- Eliminate source of potential contamination

Criteria:

Concentration of PAHs in blank < lowest calibration level Concentration of Naph < 50 μg/mL (equivalent to 5 μg/g sample)

Potential contamination sources:

- Laboratory air
- Solvents
- Salts (have to be muffled)
- Glassware
- Extraction tubes (certain PAHs released from contaminated tubes when heated by the exothermic reaction caused by addition of anhydrous MgSO₄ to the aqueous extract)



COVANCE

Practice Sample Analysis

- 2 shrimp samples fortified at different concentrations with PAHs
- NIST SRM 1974b: mussel tissue recoveries vs. mean certified values:

| NIST SRM 1974b - Recoveries (%) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|---------|
| PAH | µg/kg | Lab 1 | Lab 2 | Lab 3 | Lab 4 | Lab 5 | Lab 6 | Lab 7 | Lab 8 | Mean | RSD (%) |
| BaA | 4.74 | 90 | 83 | 80 | 86 | 73 | 93 | 85 | 89 | 85 | 7.4 |
| BaP | 2.80 | 99 | 80 | 79 | 76 | 72 | 79 | 76 | 89 | 81 | 11 |
| BbF | 6.46 | 93 | 81 | 78 | 81 | 69 | 82 | 84 | 88 | 82 | 8.6 |
| BghiP | 3.12 | 103 | 99 | 93 | 96 | 84 | 100 | 101 | 109 | 98 | 7.7 |
| BkF | 3.16 | 97 | 71 | 78 | 74 | 63 | 74 | 86 | 88 | 79 | 13 |
| Flt | 17.1 | 104 | 102 | 93 | 102 | 85 | 100 | 103 | 103 | 99 | 6.5 |
| IcdP | 2.14 | 98 | 66 | 66 | 78 | 65 | 59 | 104 | 104 | 80 | 24 |
| Phe | 2.58 | 95 | 87 | 101 | 119 | 89 | 85 | 104 | 92 | 97 | 12 |
| Pyr | 18.04 | 100 | 99 | 90 | 96 | 85 | 103 | 93 | 92 | 94 | 6.2 |

COVANCE.

AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014

Collaborative Study Results

- 10 laboratories completed the collaborative study
- 8-10 valid results for the majority of determinations

Results:

- Mean recoveries for all analytes (5 levels) in the 70-120% range:
 - Shrimp: 83.8 115%
 - **Mussel**: 77.3 107%
 - **Oyster**: 71.7 94.6%, except:
 - BaA: 68.6% recovery at 25 μg/kg in oyster (RSD_r: 5.84%, RSD_R: 21.1%)
 - Ant: 50.3-56.5% recovery in oyster (RSDr: 8.78-9.96%; RSD_R: 44.5-64.7%; HORRAT: 1.56-1.94)
 - BaP: 48.2-49.7% recovery in oyster (RSD_r: 6.43-11.9%; RSD_R: 40.6-43.5%; HORRAT: 1.10-1.45)



Collaborative Study Results

- Repeatability, reproducibility and HORRAT value:
 - Shrimp:
 - RSD(r): 1.40 26.9%;
 - RSD (R): 5.41 29.4%;
 - HORRAT: 0.22 1.34
 - Mussel:
 - RSD(r): 2.52 17.1%;
 - RSD (R): 4.19 32.5%;
 - HORRAT: 0.17 1.13
 - Oyster (except Ant and BaP):
 - RSD(r): 3.12 22.7%;
 - RSD (R): 8.41 31.8%;
 - HORRAT: 0.34 1.39

COVANCE

AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014

Results: Oysters

- Lab A: samples stored at -70°C
- Recoveries (%) in oysters study samples SFC 01-07:

| PAH | SFC O1 | SFC O2 | SFC O3 | SFC O4 | SFC O5 | SFC O6 | SFC O7 | Mean | RSD (%) |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|---------|
| 1,7-DMP | 94 | 99 | 108 | 95 | 98 | 106 | 96 | 100 | 5.4 |
| 1-MN | 107 | 109 | - | 109 | 110 | 101 | 101 | 106 | 3.8 |
| 1-MP | 94 | 100 | - | 100 | 92 | 102 | 88 | 96 | 5.5 |
| 2,6-DMN | 85 | 90 | - | 89 | 80 | 77 | 78 | 83 | 6.8 |
| 3-MC | 99 | 101 | - | 102 | 96 | 100 | 93 | 99 | 3.5 |
| Ant | 92 | 91 | - | 89 | 87 | 91 | 84 | 89 | 3.3 |
| BaA | 88 | 90 | - | 90 | 87 | 91 | 81 | 88 | 4.0 |
| BaP | 90 | 87 | - | 86 | 85 | 88 | 82 | 86 | 3.0 |
| BbF | 90 | 93 | - | 93 | 87 | 92 | 83 | 90 | 4.2 |
| BghiP | 94 | 95 | - | 94 | 89 | 94 | 90 | 93 | 2.7 |
| BkF | 91 | 92 | - | 91 | 90 | 94 | 85 | 90 | 3.1 |
| Chr | 90 | 92 | - | 92 | 88 | 93 | 84 | 90 | 3.8 |
| DBahA | 95 | 96 | - | 95 | 92 | 96 | 89 | 94 | 3.1 |
| FIn | 93 | 94 | - | 93 | 88 | 92 | 87 | 91 | 3.2 |
| Flt | 95 | 92 | - | 94 | 88 | 92 | 86 | 91 | 4.1 |
| IcdP | 94 | 93 | - | 93 | 87 | 92 | 87 | 91 | 3.5 |
| Naph | 94 | 99 | - | 96 | 93 | 96 | 88 | 94 | 3.8 |
| Phe | 90 | 92 | - | 91 | 89 | 93 | 84 | 90 | 3.6 |
| Pyr | 92 | 94 | - | 93 | 90 | 94 | 86 | 91 | 3.3 |

Results: Oysters



Lab B: samples stored at -20°C

Recoveries (%) in oysters study samples SFC 01-07:

| PAH | SFC O1 | SFC O2 | SFC O3 | SFC O4 | SFC O5 | SFC O6 | SFC O7 | Mean | RSD (%) |
|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|------|---------|
| 1,7-DMP | 93 | 95 | 93 | 102 | 90 | 107 | 92 | 96 | 6.3 |
| 1-MN | 102 | 102 | - | 98 | 124 | 98 | 111 | 106 | 9.5 |
| 1-MP | 97 | 99 | - | 100 | 93 | 109 | 94 | 99 | 5.8 |
| 2,6-DMN | 85 | 77 | - | 91 | 65 | 71 | 73 | 77 | 12.6 |
| 3-MC | 92 | 94 | - | 98 | 96 | 97 | 96 | 95 | 2.1 |
| Ant | 41 | 47 | - | 51 | 50 | 53 | 44 | 48 | 9.6 |
| BaA | 74 | 75 | - | 80 | 78 | 81 | 76 | 77 | 3.5 |
| BaP | 47 | 51 | | 56 | 52 | 54 | 50 | 52 | 6.3 |
| BbF | 82 | 88 | - | 92 | 87 | 89 | 86 | 87 | 3.7 |
| BghiP | 87 | 83 | - | 87 | 82 | 84 | 90 | 86 | 3.4 |
| BkF | 86 | 85 | - | 92 | 89 | 89 | 88 | 88 | 2.9 |
| Chr | 86 | 85 | - | 91 | 87 | 88 | 87 | 87 | 2.5 |
| DBahA | 87 | 84 | - | 89 | 82 | 84 | 86 | 85 | 2.7 |
| Fin | 86 | 86 | - | 93 | 83 | 77 | 86 | 85 | 6.3 |
| Flt | 85 | 84 | - | 90 | 87 | 88 | 91 | 87 | 3.2 |
| IcdP | 88 | 85 | - | 88 | 86 | 86 | 89 | 87 | 1.6 |
| Naph | 93 | 93 | - | 101 | 95 | 92 | 94 | 95 | 3.4 |
| Phe | 89 | 87 | - | 93 | 90 | 89 | 88 | 89 | 2.2 |
| Pyr | 86 | 85 | - | 89 | 88 | 88 | 85 | 87 | 2.2 |

COVANCE

23

AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014

Results: Oysters



Lower recoveries of BaP and Ant (and BaA) in oyster samples stored at -20°C







- Confirmed by analysis of a second set of oyster test samples in Lab B and by results from a third lab.
- Extracts from the first set of oysters analyzed by Lab B were all dark green but the second set of extracts (prepared 1.5 months later) produced a dark green extract only for the blank sample and all the extracts of fortified samples were yellow-brown in color.

Long-term storage of oyster samples at -20°C:

Potential matrix changes caused by the presence of PAHs and accompanied by selective losses of BaP and Ant



Acknowledgments

- Study participants
- Method working group and method committee

 chairs: Gina Ylitalo, Tom Phillips
 and special thanks to Jack Cochran, Restek



COVANCE.

- Stakeholders and sponsors (Shrimp Alliance, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories)
- Scott Coates and other AOAC Int. staff
- Study team ICT: Jana Pulkrabova, Lucie Drabova Covance: John Schmitz, Jack Jabusch and numerous other people that helped preparing and shipping the samples
- Covance Nutritional Chemistry & Food Safety management

AOAC Int. Annual Meeting, PAH ERP, September 8, 2014





3rd Summer School on

Smartphone Analyzers for on-site testing of food quality and safety

10-14 June 2019

Queen's University, Belfast, Northern Ireland







Course: Smartphone analyzers for on-site testing of food quality and safety

10-14 June 2019

H2020 Marie-Curie project FoodSmartphone in co-operation with Queen's University, Belfast Course Director: Professor Chris Elliott

Course Organiser: Ms Ciara Sarsfield / Professor Karen Rafferty

Co-Organizer: Ms Ciara Sarsfield / Mrs Joanna Scott

Course Venue: Training Room 6, Graduate School, Queen's University, Belfast

| Monday 10 | Topics: (1) market needs and technology drivers, | QUB Chair: Chris |
|---------------|--|---|
| June 2019 | (2) user-interfaces, multimedia and the concept of | Elliott/Karen Rafferty |
| | Citizen Science | |
| | | |
| 09:00 | Registration with Tea/Coff | ee |
| 09:00 - 09:30 | Welcome to Queen's | Professor Chris Elliot (IGFS, |
| | Introduction to Concepts of Citizen Science | Professor Chris Elliot (IGFS, QUB) |
| 09:30 - 10:30 | Entrepreneurship – You need it all to win | Professor Alistair Fee (Management, QUB) |
| 10:30 - 11:00 | Break | |
| 11:00 - 12:00 | Mental Maze: - In and Out of the Box | Professor Alistair Fee (Management, QUB) |
| 12:00 - 13:00 | Lunch | |
| 13:00 - 15:00 | Innovation | Professor Alistair Fee (Management, QUB) |
| 15:30 - 16:00 | Break | |
| 16:00 - 18:00 | Workshop: Individual Solution sketching and Idea | Ms Helen Keys |
| | Generation | (Entrepreneur) |
| 18:00 - 18:30 | Reflection | QUB |





| Tuesday 11 June 2019 | Topics: (3) introduction to software engineering, (4) integration of data from different sources, (5) secure web interfaces | QUB Chair: Cuong Cao |
|-------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| 09:00 - 10:00 | Masterclass - Introduction to Software Engineering | Dr John Bustard (EEECS, QUB) |
| 10:00 - 10:30 | Masterclass - Integration of data and Analytics | Dr John Bustard (EEECS, QUB) |
| 10:30 - 11:00 | Break | |
| 11:00 - 12:00 | Masterclass - Web and cloud security | Prof Sakir Sezer (ECIT, QUB) |
| 12:00 - 13:00 | Lunch | |
| 13:00 - 14.00 | Bringing it all Together TEST2ALL | Evelyn Fussel (Zeulab) |
| 14:00 - 14:30 | Demo | Evelyn Fussel (Zeulab) |
| 14:30 - 15:30 | Business Planning | Prof Roger Woods (QUB) |
| 15:30 - 16:00 | Break | |
| 16:00 - 18:30 | Group forming | |

| Thursday 13 June 2019 | Topics: (7) workshop on App design, (8) introduction to- and exploitation of IPR, (9) entrepreneurship in an innovation or software SME | QUB Chair: Karen Rafferty |
|--------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 09:00 - 10:00 | Masterclass: An overview of App design | Dr John Busch (QUB) |
| 10.00 10.30 | Designing your App | Dr John Busch (QUB) |
| 10:30 - 11:00 | Break | |
| 11:00 - 12:00 | Workshop: Developing your App | Dr John Busch (QUB) |
| 12:00 - 13:00 | Lunch | |
| 13:00 - 14:00 | IPR – Protecting your idea | Dr Jacob Baggerman (Aquamarijn) |
| 14:00 - 15:00 | Innovation in a Microsieve SME | Dr Jacob Baggerman (Aquamarijn) |
| 15:00 - 15.30 | Break | |
| 15.00 -18.00 | Individual research & pitch development | QUB Facilitation (Karen Rafferty) |



Г



| Friday 14 June 2019 | Final FSP Pitches | | QUB Chair: All- panel |
|------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------|
| 09:00 - 10:30 | Group Work: Putting it all together | | QUB |
| 10:30 - 11:00 | | Break | |
| 11:00 - 12:00 | Final Pitches | | Students |
| 12:00 - 13:00 | | Lunch | |
| 13:00 - 15:00 | Final Pitches | | Students |
| 15:00 - 16:30 | | Break | |
| 16:00 - 16:30 | Future Outlook and Closing | | QUB |





FoodSmartphone Summer School 2019



Queen's University Belfast - Graduate School Week commencing 10th June 2019



AIM:

This advanced course aims to provide knowledge regarding software design and smartphone exploitation and their applicability to complex food systems.

PROGRAM:

The programme of the summer school is based on software design and smartphone exploitation for food analysis. There will be a focus on end-user acceptance and exploitation potential of smartphone-based pre-screening tools as well as user-friendly data handling and App software solutions and secure web-interfaces. Vehicles for commercial exploitation will be explored through new start-ups and existing innovation small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs).

TOPICS:

solutions.

- · Market needs and technology drivers,
- · Introduction to user-interfaces, multimedia and the concept of Citizen Science,
- · Introduction to chemometric data handling,
- Introduction to software engineering,
- · Integration of data from different sources,
- · Secure web interfaces,
- · Workshop on App design,
- Introduction to- and exploitation of IPR,
- Entrepreneurship in an innovation or software SME, Workshop: designing a fit-for-purpose business model for smartphone-based pre-screening

SPEAKERS:

Prof Christopher Elliott, IGFS, QUB Dr John Busch, EEECS, QUB Dr John Bustard, EEECS, QUB Prof Alister Fee, School of Management, QUB Ms Helen Keys, Entrepreneur in Residence, QUB Dr Karen Rafferty, EEECS, QUB Dr Huiyu Zhou, University of Leicester Mr Luis Mata, Zeulab, Spain Prof Maire O'Neill, ECIT, QUB Prof Roger Woods, Analytics Engines & QUB Dr Terry McGrath, IGFS, QUB Dr Cuong Cao, IGFS, QUB Dr Jacob Baggerman, Aquamarijn, The Netherlands

Institute for Global Food Security Queen's University Belfast School of Electronics, Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Institute of Electronics, Communications and Information Technology IGFS: QUB: EEECS: ECIT:

ORGANISERS:

This course will be organised by QUB in collaboration with partner organisation CSEM and successful entrepreneurs from Aquamarijn and ZEU.





FoodSmartphone is funded by the European Community's Horizon 2020 Framework Programme under Grant Agreement - 720325





Participants' Evaluation of FoodSmartphone Summer School

Term of FoodSmartphone Summer School: 10-14 June 2019

Name:

1. The Summer School was organized in accordance with my expectations.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Comment: | | | | |

2. All topics covered were of interest and relevant to me.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Commont: | | | | |

Comment:

3. The content / programme was well organized and easy to follow.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Comment: | | | | |

Comment:

4. This training experience will be useful in my work.

| 0 | 1 | | | |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Comment: | | | | |

Comment:

5. The documents distributed were helpful.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Comment. | | | | |

Comment:

6. The trainers were knowledgeable about the training topics.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Comment: | | | | |

Comment:





7. The time allocated for the training was sufficient.

| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
|----------------|-------|---------|----------|-------------------|
| Strongly agree | Agree | Neutral | Disagree | Strongly disagree |
| Comment: | | | | |

8. Can you suggest any changes / improvements / other topics for future FoodSmartphone Summer School?

9. Was there any content or topics missed in the programme?

Thank You for Your Feedback!





ESR N3 Summer School Feedback

Summary of Feedback

Overall the FSP summer school was deemed a successful and interactive week for the ESRs.

100% of the ESRs agreed that the content of the summer school was well organised and easy to follow

82% agreed that the summer school was organised in line with their expectations

All of the ESRs agreed that the trainers were knowledgeable on their training topic, with 55% strongly agreeing on this.

Improvements

More hands on experience, demos' workshops for topics such as app development, software development where ESR knowledge may not be as strong

More time for pitch idea development

Longer time for ESR Meeting, excursions

More information on Intellectual Property concept

Learning

36% were neutral about the relevancy of the topics – this relates to the cloud security, which they found intense, not relevant and hard to put into practice with little knowledge/experience.

Number of participants

12 Participants (Jordi feedback not included)





1. The Summer school was organized in accordance with my expectations

82% of participants felt their expectations had been met

1 strongly agreed, 9 participants agreed and 1 was neutral



2. All topics were of interest and relevant to me

64% agreed, however 36% were neutral or disagreed

7 Agreed, 3 were neutral and 1 disagreed

Feedback comments were as follows:

- Cloud security was a bit intense
- Software development wasn't relevant to me. A lot of information but no applicable examples
- Some topics were technical to understand







3. The content of the programme was well organized and easy to follow

All participants agreed that the content of the FSP programme was well organised and easy to follow, with 73% agreeing

3 Strongly Agreed, 8 Agreed



4. This training experience will be useful in my work

64% agreed this training will be useful in future work

2 Strongly agreed, 7 Agreed and 2 were neutral







5. The documents distributed were helpful

73% agreed that the documents were helpful

2 Strongly Agreed, 8 Agreed and 1 was neutral

Feedback comment:

• It would be helpful to have the presentations prior to each session



6. The trainers were knowledgeable about the training topics

55% strongly agreed that the trainers were knowledgeable on their training topics



6 Strongly agreed, 5 Agreed





7. The time allocated for the training was sufficient

82% agreed that the time for the training was sufficient

1 Strongly agreed, 9 Agreed and 1 was neutral



8. Can you suggest any changes/improvements /other topics for future FSP summer school?

- Local tours are great
- Longer ESR Meeting times excursions
- More sessions on App development and design
- More hands on experience demos, workshops
- It was interactive which is important keep this up
- More time to work on developing an idea
- More time for app development
- Longer ESR meeting time

9. Was there any content or topics missed in the programme?

• More information on Intellectual property concept





Pitch Competition Scoring Sheet

Judge: _____ Team: _____

The team's goal is to get you excited about the value of their business idea within 10 minutes using various content and how they deliver the content (e.g. clear, enthusiastic)

Pitch Content

If the information was provided or not, please enter a checkmark in the Yes/No as applicable. If yes, please also score using a checkmark using a scoring between 1 and 5.

| | | | | | | Score | | |
|----|---|-----|----------|---------------|-----|-------|---|----------|
| | Criteria | Yes | No | 1 (bad |) 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 (good) |
| 1. | Was there a 'hook' – compelling message at the start | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Need - information about the problem/opportunity | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Approach - product/service info, how it will solve the problem or take advantage of the opportunity | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Benefit – what are the benefits to the customer, investor and partner? What does it cost? | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Competition and competitive advantage | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Had a closing/ask for the audience | | | | | | | |
| | | | Tota | l: | | | | |
| | | (o | ut of 30 |)) | | | | |

Pitch Delivery

If the criteria for delivery was provided or not, please enter a checkmark in the Yes/No as applicable. If yes, please also score using a checkmark using a scoring between 1 and 5.

| | | | | | | Score | | |
|----|---|-----|-----------|----------------|---|----------|----------|----------|
| | Criteria | Yes | No | 1 (bad) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 (good) |
| 1. | Spoke clearly | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Told a story (not a list) | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Provided examples | | | | | | | |
| 4. | Used easily understood language | | | | | | | |
| 5. | Related to the audience | | | | | | | |
| 6. | Enthusiastic, passionate and full of energy | | | | | | | |
| | | | Total: | | | | | |
| | | (o | ut of 30) | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Please t | urn over | |





Positive Feedback

Please provide at least 1 **positive** piece of feedback.



Negative Feedback

Please provide at least 1 negative piece of feedback, i.e. things the team need to work on.



Overall Score

In the following boxes, please enter the overall score for this team (add content and delivery from the previous page) and enter a rank based on the total number of teams.

| | Score | | Rank |
|--|-------|----------------------------------|------|
| Overall Score for the Pitch (out of 60) | | Rank Order (1 is the highest) | |
| Notes: | | | |
| | | | |







FINAL PITCH TEMPLATE Thursday 11th June 2019







The Goal is...

OTo communicate the company's story as clearly as possible

OTo create excitement for the opportunity to attract further resources

> "Every presentation will be unique and have its own flow, but a template is a really good start."









Presentation Musts...

OLess Is More

- 13-15 Minutes only

- Graphics; Keep It Simple

O12-15 slides with key sound-bites (3-4 per slide...the rest is your story!)

OUtilize back-up slides to pull out during the Q&A session (anticipate questions and prepare)









Slide 1 – Introduction

Presenter and company introduction

- Who you are
- What market you address

30s Elevator Pitch

- What does your business do?
 Significant milestones to date
- OAnchor Points (2-3 questions to focus the panel)
 - o Business model?
 - o Go-to-Market strategy?
 - o Funding?









Slide 2 – Opportunity

Opefine the opportunity (The Pain)

- o Who is the customer?
- o What is the big problem?
- o How important is a resolution?
- o How do/will you turn "need" to "want"?









Slide 3 – Your Solution

Compelling description of your solution

- o Graphics, illustrations pictures, video
- o What is it, what does it do?
- o KISS (Keep It Simple Stupid)
- o Key Benefits v Features (don't go into technical detail)
- o USPs









Slide 4 – Market Frame

- Frame your market
 - Type (who are the customers)
 - o Size (Annual Available Market)
 - o Growth (AGR)
 - Maturity (Buying Cycle)
- O How will your solution be positioned?
- Demonstrate market fit
- Market penetration
 - o What is your unfair advantage?

INVENT







Slide 5 - Technology (only if appropriate)

○In layman's terms

Oraphs and pictures work

OAssume that the audience does not know the technological field you are in

 Give a compelling description without using abbreviations, acronyms or techy terms









Slide 6 – Competitive Market

OWhat does the competition landscape look like?

OAre there alternative solutions?

OBarriers to Entry

OWho are your target customers?

- o How do they buy?
- OWhat's holding you back?

INVENT







Slide 7 – Competitive Advantage

OWhat is your "unfair advantage"?

- OWhat are your differentiators that "hook" the decision makers?
- OWhat is your solutions life cycle?
- OWhy should the customer pay for it
- OWhat is your value proposition?
 - o Quantify the solution
 - o Why will the customer pay?









Slide 8 – Go to Market Strategy

o what is your Pricing model?

- OPlace (where and how will you make sales)
 - o Channel to market

Promotion (branding, lead generation)

o PR

- o SEO
- Advertising
- o Media

INVENT



Catalyst Inc





Slide 9 – Traction

○Your Team

- Corporate Governance
- Financial
- Marketing and sales
- o Technical
- ODemonstrate the team's ability to deliver
 - Build confidence in team
 - Track record
 - Traction to date

OMilestones achieved against objectives set







Slide 10 – Financials

OFinancial Plan (overview)

- P&L (in appendix)
- Cash Flow (in appendix)
- B/S (in appendix)

○5 Year forecast

OHighlight critical assumptions/milestones

- o Highlight risk mitigation
- ODon't provide details
 - But be prepared to discuss

INVENT







Slide 11 – Funding requirement

O How much money do you need?

OWhere will the money be put to use?

o R&D

o Marketing

Sales

Production

Administration

O How long will the money last?

OWhat is your exit plan and valuation?

INVENT







Slide 13 – Assumptions & Risks

| List of Critical Assumptions | What is the negative impact? | How you have mitigated? |
|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|
| In order, risk size / priority 2 | ••• | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| NVENT | | Catalyst Inc |







Agenda

- Introduction
- The Shared Responsibility Model of Cloud Security
 - Security of the cloud
 - Security in the cloud
 - AWS Security Services
 - APN Security Services
 - Next Generation Cloud Security Architecture (NexGenCSA)
 - Security from the cloud...
- Cloud-assisted Security







Agenda

- Introduction
- > The Shared Responsibility Model of Cloud Security
 - Security of the cloud
 - Security in the cloud
 - AWS Security Services
 - APN Security Services
 - Virtual Infrastructure Security Solutions
 - Visibility Solutions: Logging & Monitoring
 - Configuration & Vulnerability Analysis Solutions
 - Data Protection Solutions
 - Access & Control Solutions
 - Security Consultation & Penetration Testing Service
 - o Private Cloud Datacenters Security Solutions







Agenda (Cont.)

- o Next Generation Cloud Security Architecture (NexGenCSA)
- Security from the cloud
 - o APN Security Services
 - Cloud Access Security Broker (CASB)
- Cloud-assisted Security









Introduction

- Cloud computing has experienced such an extraordinary growth over the last years. Its global industry market is projected to increase from \$209.28 in 2016 to \$383.38 in 2020.
- Service cloudification (moving a service to the cloud) is a major rising IT trend. Cloudifiying FoodSmartphone can be one of the too many use cases.







Introduction (Cont.)

- Despite this great success, the potentials of cloud computing have not yet been fully unleashed mainly due to security concerns.
- According to the 2016 Cloud Security Spotlight Report, cloud security concerns not only top the list of perceived barriers to cloud adoption, they are further increasing with 53% up from 45% in previous year's survey.
- Many businesses are still reluctant to shift their valuable assets to the public clouds. This is one of the main reasons why, according to the RightScale 2017 State of the Cloud Report, only 22% of cloud adoption scenarios are on the public clouds while the hybrid adoption model covers 67%.






Introduction (Cont.)

The special security concerns of cloud systems are mainly due to the following three points:

- Cloud has a larger attack surface. It inherits the same set of vulnerabilities exist in conventional systems, further, its dynamicity, heterogeneity, elasticity, and multi-tenancy properties pose additional security threats. This makes business assets on the cloud more exposed to risk.
- Many critical businesses do not like to delegate the security of their assets to a cloud service provider who may not precisely fulfil all of the security needs.
- Protecting assets at the cloud against the curiosity of the cloud service provider itself is another important concern.







Introduction (Cont.)

Cloud security is enforced based on a shared responsibility model.

- Security of the cloud which refers to the safety of the cloud platform itself. It is usually the responsibility of the cloud service providers.
- Security in the cloud that deals with securing the business assets hosted on the cloud, e.g., VM instances, and it is usually the customer's responsibility who needs to adopt security solutions offered by 3rd party security providers in order to secure their assets on the cloud.
- Security from the cloud ...









Security of the cloud

| Attack Type | Target | Countermeasure | | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Distributed Denial of Service | Network Application | Use SYN cookies and emplace IDS/IPS systems to thwart DDoS. Use the Software Defined Perimeter (SDP) security architecture developed by CSA which ensures that an SDP client is authenticated and authorized before being able to access any resource. | | | |
| Buffer Overflow Attack | Application | Use advanced compiler options to emit extra code to check for buffer overflows. Use Address Space Layout Randomization (ASLR) to randomize where core kernel modules are loaded into memory. | | | |
| Code Injection Attack | Application | Web Application Firewall (WAF). Use CPU NX/XD technology to isolate areas of non-executable data in memory from areas of executable instructions. | | | |
| BootKit Attacks | Application | Use a Trusted Platform Module (TPM or vTPM) along with a secure boot protocol, e.g., a Unified Extensible Firmware Interface (UEFI). | | | |
| VM Migration Security Issues | Network Virtualization | - Use the Trusted Cloud Computing Platform (TCCP) that enables consumers to attest laaS providers and ensure service security before they launch/migrate their VMs. | | | |
| VM Image Sharing Security Issues | Virtualization | Use of VM Image Management System (IMS) that regulates the publishing and retrieval of VM images with a properly enforced access control. | | | |
| VM Rollback Security Issue | Virtualization | Secure logging of VM operations, e.g., disabling credentials, is needed in order to be applied after every rollback process to bring the VM back to a secure state as one of the functionalities of an IMS. | | | |
| CSI | FOR SECU INFORMA TECHNOL | RE TION OGIES | | | |





Security of the cloud (Cont.)

| Attack Type | Target | Countermeasure |
|---------------------------|--|---|
| VM Sprawl | Virtualization | - Monitored and enforced policies that strongly govern who can create a VM. |
| VM Escape | Virtualization | Applying software patches on regular basis. Use Para Virtualization (PV) and Hardware Assisted Virtualization (HAV) technologies which are less-vulnerable to this exploit than Full Virtualization (FV) as they are virtualization-aware. Enforce strong VM isolation policies by network-based solutions, e.g., Virtual Extensible LAN (VXLAN), software-based solutions, e.g., HyperSafe, or hardware-based solutions, e.g., HyperCheck, HyperGuard, HyperSentry, and Copilot. |
| In-Cloud Data Breaches | Hardware Network Virtualization Application | Use encrypted TLS channels to protect in-transit data Use a full disk encryption service, e.g., BitLocker, with the key sealed by an SE solution and a hardware implementation of the AES algorithm, e.g., Intel Advanced Encryption Standard New Instructions (AES-NI), for better performance. Regular patching to protect against flows such as Spectre and Meltdown chip flows. |
| DMA Attack | Hardware Application | Use of signed device drivers only with restricted access. Use IOMMU technology, e.g., Intel VT-d & AMD-Vi, to block a device from accessing I\O and memory regions that is not allowed to access acting as a hardware firewall. Store crypto keys in special devices, e.g., CloudHSM. |







Security of the cloud (Cont.)

| Attack Type | Target | Countermeasure |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| Cross-VM Side- Channel Attacks | Hardware Virtualization | Software tools based on Access Control (VM Secure Runtime Environment), Nested Virtualization (CloudVisor), or Secure Processor (HyperCoffer). Memory encryption techniques, e.g., AMD SEV, to provide page-granular memory encryption. Partitioned Cache where the cache is split into protected regions allocated exclusively to each VM. Use Intel SGX, a set of CPU instructions that allows a user-level code to allocate private regions of memory (enclaves) that is protected from processes running at a higher privilege level. |
| Post-VM Memory Scan | Virtualization | Keep data encrypted in memory Keep sensitive data always out of memory, e.g., use a CloudHSM solution. Emplace a mechanism by which a VM can register the memory locations holding confidential data so it can wiped out before releasing the VM memory. |
| Virtual Machine Introspection Attacks | Virtualization | A VMI attack trying to alter the VM kernel code can be detected by a vTPM-based solution Placing kernel structures in a read-only segment whenever possible. Kernel memory access monitoring. |
| AV Storm Security Issue | Virtualization | Use an agentless antivirus by grouping VMs behind a single antivirus gateway. Use the agentless VM Introspection model. Use lightweight AV agents installed on every VM and orchestrated by a master agent as proposed in NexGenCSA. |









AWS Security Services

Authentication & Authorization:

- Identity & Access Management (IAM), AWS Organizations, & AWS Multi-Factor Authentication (MFA).
- AWS Directory Service, Amazon Cloud Directory, & Amazon Cognito

Auditing:

AWS CloudTrail, CloudWatch, and AWS Config

AWS Artifact

Certificate & Key Management:

> AWS Certificate Manager (ACM), AWS CloudHSM and Key Management Service (KMS)

Security Assessment Services:

- Amazon Inspector
- Amazon Macie

Limited Proactive Protection Services:

- Amazon EC2 Security Groups, AWS Web Application Firewall (WAF), and AWS Shield
- ➢ Amazo GuardDuty







AWS Security Services (Cont.)

- AWS provides customers with a set of security services that is limited to logging, monitoring, and assessment sort of services beside protection against special kind of attacks, such as DDoS.
- Real-time protection against viruses, Trojans, worms, etc. requires a global and up-to-date threat intelligence network that is out of the cloud service provider business scope.
- For that, many cloud customers on AWS deploy solutions offered by AWS Security Partners.
- As of today, there are more than 45 security partners offering SECurity as a Service (SECaaS) on AWS, e.g., TrendMicro, Symantec, Sophos, Alert Logic, Armor, etc..









TrendMicro DSaaS (Cont.)

DSaaS absolutely represents an interesting case study of shifting a security service onto the cloud (cloud-migrated), however, the proposed model suffers from the following limitations:

It is a heavy-agent based model that may cause an AV storm (Table 1). Trend Micro has worked with VMware to prevent AV storms in private datacenters.

It looks like a security service elegantly moved onto the cloud rather than being specifically designed for the cloud.







Sophos UTM

Sophos offers its Unified Threat Management (UTM 9) on AWS. It encompasses multiple security tools, i.e., NGFW, WAF, IPS, and Advanced Threat Protection (ATP).

> It is a cloud-aware security service designed with AWS Best Practices in mind.









Sophos UTM (Cont.)

- Sophos has effectively migrated its security services to AWS leveraging its ready-made cloud services, i.e., CloudFormation, Auto Scaling, CloudWatch, S3, SNS, and ELB.
- Despite its efficient utilization of various cloud services, being a cloud-aware is different from being originally designed for the cloud. Though this model can scale efficiently based on business need, the core instance, the UTM node, is not designed for the cloud rather it is being wrapped within a cloud deployment model.
- ➢ It requires two more extra switches between the guest OS level and the virtualization platform level, vSwitch → UTM node and UTM node → vSwitch, before the packet can reach its target VM.
- Moreover, Sophos UTM 9 uses an agentless gateway model of security enforcement. Thus, there is no fear of an AV Storm, however, it lacks to a clear visibility on an end-to-end SSL traffic. Hence, it can be suitable to protect servers, e.g., web servers, while it can be inadequate to protect end-users' VM instances.







Alert Logic Cloud Defender

Alert Logic offers its Cloud Defender as a <u>cloud-native</u> suite of security solutions that provides active monitoring with threat detection, log management, and vulnerability assessment to cloud datacentres leveraging its Active Threat Analytics Platform where threat data is collected, aggregated and analysed.

- The Threat Manager (TM) is a virtual appliance working as a network IDS leveraging an agent methodology to get a replica of the protected resources' network traffic. TM inspects the replicated traffic, in case of any malicious payload is found, it is sent to the active analytics platform for a deeper and correlated review.
- The Log Manager (LM) is responsible for collecting system and applications log data, signing, compressing, encrypting and sending it to the active analytics platform to get investigated.







Alert Logic Cloud Defender (Cont.)

Despite its deep environmental visibility, and well-established active analytics platform, Cloud Defender has the following architectural issues:

- It is an overhead on the virtual network to copy network traffic to TM and log files to LM to be inspected. This also encompasses lots of switching between the guest OS level of context and the virtualization layer.
- TM represents a single point of failure and a proper fail-over policy has to be defined to ensure the availability.
- It is also an overhead on the active analytics platform to analyze all of the malicious traffic captured by every registered TM. Even if it is supported by an excellent resource capacity, it is still an expensive task when compared to a local decision making model.

From the mentioned limitations, it can be concluded that Cloud Defender is designed to provide security from the cloud (the active analytics platform) rather than being designed for the cloud.









Currently offered security services on AWS can be classified fundamentally into three categories:

- Cloud-migrated security services, e.g., TrendMicro DSaaS, which adopt a deployment model on the public clouds similar to that followed on their traditional system deployment.
- Cloud-aware security services, e.g., Sophos UTM, which know that they are running on the cloud and leverage various cloud services, i.e., ELB, auto scaling, etc., in their deployment model to provide elastic security based on business demand.
- Cloud-native security services, e.g., Alert Logic Cloud Defender, which are security services serving from the cloud to secure traditional or cloud systems.

Nevertheless, public cloud environments are in need for security services that are originally designed for the cloud rather than being migrated to it or even aware of it. This requires a new security architecture that pervades various layers down from the hardware level up to the guest software layer.









On traditional systems, we used to design a specific hardware to run a specific service with a better performance, e.g., F5 ADC is used to run on purpose-built hardware.

This is no longer valid for a cloud environment which virtualizes every purpose-built hardware turning it into a virtual software appliance to provide elasticity and business agility, however, it also scarifies the performance/cost.

We believe that a proper security architecture should hold the stick from the middle. In that, instead of having a special hardware for every security service, the cloud has to be supported by a suite of hardware and software security services specifically designed for its scalable computing model.

There are a few examples of a hardware level optimization that have been done so far to enhance cloud security. However, they have a limited application area, i.e., CloudHSM, which is a hardware module specifically designed for secure key management on the cloud, and Intel SGX, which is a new ISA designed to solve some cloud security issues.











Taking into consideration the analysis and concerns discussed, hereby, the major characteristics of an efficient security solution for public clouds is identified to be:

- It should not require a deep support from the virtualization layer. Service providers are usually not willing to integrate their virtualization platform with any 3rd party tool to reduce their attack surface and ultimately reducing the risk.
- It should implicates minimal number of context switchings between the guest and the virtualization layers to avoid unnecessary latency.
- >It should provide a deep visibility into the workload. Ultimately, this requires an endpoint security agent.
- >Endpoint security agents have to be well orchestrated in order to avoid AV Storms.

>It should be accelerated with proper hardware support.







NexGenCSA is offered in two deployment models, the agent-based and the gateway-based models. It satisfies the characteristics of an efficient security solution for public clouds through the followings:

- It encourages the adoption of a SmartNIC technology, which allows a virtual security gateway to offload a part of the security control overhead onto the NIC level. Simple security controls, e.g., blocking access to certain ports, can be enforced down from the SmartNIC level instead of pushing it up to the destined VM.
- A security gateway is used, in case of the gateway deployment, and a suit of VM-level orchestrated agents are used, in case of the agent-based deployment, to enforce the security controls which cannot be offloaded onto the SmartNIC, e.g., inspecting an end-to-end encrypted traffic. The orchestration is essential to avoid AV storms.







Next Generation Cloud Security Architecture (NexGenCSA) (Cont.)

To reduces the number of context-switching, it uses the IVCom techniques instead of the TCP/IP protocol for the communication between the security gateways and the monitored VMs, in case of the gateway deployment, or between the master and the orchestrated agents, in case of the agent-based deployment.

However, this requires the service providers to enable IVCom while they may not be willing to do so as it increases the attack surface. For that, NexGenCSA defines a new two-levels enclaving policy in order not to expose more attack surface by enabling IVCom than what is already exposed by TCP/IP.







NexGenCSA software suit is a cloud-agnostic, distributed, lightweight agent-based system that is composed of the following components:

A set of Elementary Agents (EAs) installed on the monitored VMs.

- >A set of vSwitch Master Agents (MAs) installed on an elected VM per every virtual switch broadcast domain.
- >The Master Service (MS) that manages and controls all of the MAs across different vSwitches.

A set of VMM Agents (VAs) installed on every hypervisor. They are controlled only by the service provider and can be monitored by the customers' MS services to provide transparency into the overall security posture.

The VAs Master Service (VMS) to control all of the VAs across the datacenter. It is managed by the service provider.











Cloud-assisted Security (Cont.)

SSIDaaS: Self-Sovereign Identity as a Service

It is a promising architecture that is going to revolutionize the way in which we present & prove our identities while maintaining usability and security at a higher level.

It offers a scalable, hands-free, and smart platform to implement self-sovereign identity. It further takes it to the next step by offering expert advices that we believe to be a crucial feature for a world-scale identity management system where most users are non-techies.

| | Contrast the | TEMETHING | use comes | (Yoti) | (Blockchein) | - Action and a |
|----------------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------|--------------|----------------|
| Usability | | 7 | 1 | + | + | -++ |
| Updatability (SPU) | | 7 | , | | , | 10 |
| Portability | | 7 | , | 7 | 1 | 14 |
| User-Controllability | | | , | 1 | | 44 |
| Smooth R2F | | | , | | 7 | 10 |
| Scalability | × | 14 | 1 | , | , | 1 |
| No SPD | | * | | 7 | 7 | . +- |
| Jser-Advisability | | | | | | 10 |
| Trustworthiness | 7 | | | | 7 | 1 |
| Collusion-Prodifiess | | | | 7 | 7 | 4 |
| CENTRE | | | | | | |



CS



Cloud-assisted Security (Cont.)

FOR SECURE

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES

C-Pay: A Cloud-assisted Payment System (CPS)

C-Pay is a new payment system, where C stands for being: Cloud-assisted, Contactless, Customizable, Controllable, Central Point for Update, and Cost effective.

C-Pay cloudifies the payment process through a novel architecture offering several advantages over existing systems, i.e., EMV Chip Cards, Contactless Cards, Secure Element & Host-based Card Emulation, and QR code payments, which have suffered from lots of frauds. These advantages can be summarized in offering Enhanced Security (MFIA), Improved Usability (Hands-free, Central Point for Update, & Virtual Loyalty Cards), Stronger Privacy (Isolation), Market-based profile (Customizable), and User-based profile (Controllable).







Thank you Q & A



t.



Intellectual Property Rights

Dr Rosi Armstrong

Armstrong IPR Ltd

Innovation Centre, Catalyst Inc, Queen's Road, Belfast BT3 9DT E: ra@armstrongipr.com T: 028 9078 5870 M: 07548 978888





Purpose of IP Rights

IP Rights are legal rights which give you ownership of your ideas.

Your IP Rights can:

- protect your work and reputation
- create barriers for your competitors
- generate income streams as your IP rights can be sold or licensed
- help raise finance by attracting investment / buy-out

Examples:

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCb_52rKv06VJ8Ehyhvyg dpw/featured

Armstrong IPR





Copyright



2

| Protects | literary works, drawings, video, music and software |
|----------|---|
| Provides | protection against copying of the work BUT independent creation of the same or a similar work will not infringe copyright |
| Criteria | work must be original i.e. not copied |
| Duration | approximately life of the creator of the work + 70 years |
| To get | copyright is granted automatically as soon as an original work is created, there is no registration scheme to protect your copyright works – mark with © and year and keep good records of the works and their dates of creation |

Armstrong IPR adar





Trade Marks



| Protects | signs which designate the origin of the goods/services for which they are used sign can be a word, logo, shape, colour, sound, smell |
|----------|--|
| Provides | protection for brand and reputation |
| Criteria | sign should be distinctive - when choosing a trade mark, avoid descriptive words, common surnames, place names |
| Duration | initially 10 years, renewable indefinitely |
| To get | a trade mark can be used without registration registration [®] provides better protection your chosen trade mark needs to be free for use |







Trade Mark Databases

European Union Trade Mark Database: https://euipo.europa.eu/eSearch/

UK Trade Mark Database: www.gov.uk/search-for-trademark

US Trade Mark Database (TESS):

www.uspto/trademark

Trade Mark goods and services classification system: www.wipo.int/classifications/nice/en/

Armstrong IPR

ĥ

5





Design Rights



| Protects | shape and/or surface decoration of aesthetic & industrial designs computer screenshots |
|----------|---|
| Provides | Unregistered Design Right - protection against copying only Registered Design Right - protection against copying of the design AND independent creation of the same or similar design |
| Criteria | shape/decoration of the design must not be commonplace |
| Duration | Unregistered Design Right - approximately 5-10 years Registered Design Right - up to 25 years |
| To get | Unregistered Design Right - granted automatically on creation of a design Registered Design Right - must be applied for, gives extra |





Patents



| Protects | inventions of a technical nature products such as hardware and software processes such as services BUT products and processes for business are difficult to patent |
|----------|---|
| Provides | the right to prevent others from using the invention (e.g. making or selling the invention) |
| Criteria | invention must be new and not obvious |
| Duration | 20 years from the date of patent application |
| To get | patent protection must be applied for in each country there must be no non-confidential disclosure of the invention before an application has been filed |



Ĥ.





Software

- Copyright protects only the code of the software (narrow protection)
- Patent protects the method of the software (broader protection)
- Open Source Software check the terms and conditions to see how this can be used; cannot be the subject of a patent application

5

Armstrong IPR













Technical Invention Protection

- Which types of IP Rights are relevant? product – patent, design right, (trade secret) process – patent, (trade secret) software – copyright, patent, (trade secret) service – patent, (trade secret)
- <u>Is the invention easy to copy?</u>
 YES patent, design right, NO trade secret
- Is the invention life cycle short e.g. <3 years?
 NO patent, design right
- <u>Is the invention new?</u> YES patent etc.

Is the invention obvious? NO – patent etc.

Armstrong IPR





Introduction to Software Engineering and Data Analysis

Dr John Bustard j.bustard@qub.ac.uk use searches to decide

15





Management





Project Management

How to make something awesome:

Knowing what to make (Focus)

Knowing how to make the product (Technical Skills)

Knowing what is needed to create a professional product (Standards)

How to ensure it actually gets made (Productivity)







Forming, Storming, Norming, Performing

Forming - Largely behaving independently

Storming - Start to judge one another and disagree

Norming - Common goal is the priority, tolerate each other

Performing - Team members support and understand one another, have got used to working together and so react quickly

You might not get past Storming

The more you work together in the same room the faster you will progress through the stages.







Being supportive

By far the **most important factor** in a well functioning team is that people **don't feel embarrassed or humiliated** by showing their work.

It must be safe to:

Take risks

Make mistakes

Ask for help







Google's Empirical Factors for Top Teams

Psychological safety: Can we take risks on this team without feeling insecure or embarrassed?

Dependability: Can we count on each other to do high quality work on time?

Structure & clarity: Are goals, roles, and execution plans on our team clear?

Meaning of work: Are we working on something that is personally important for each of us?

Impact of work: Do we fundamentally believe that the work we're doing matters?







Kanban (Trello)

To keep track of what you have to do and to manage allocating things to different people on the team a Kanban board is useful.

If you are aiming high enough you will have to drop some of your planned features, Kanban can help with this.

It's also a great format for dealing with bugs at the end of a project.

An example of a Kanban board you can use is <u>trello.com</u>







Source Control

Use Github for your project (check ip issues)

It has free private repositories where projects can be added

It can be integrated into all major tools and will ensure that your work is not lost and that no-one in the team can accidentally break the project in an unrecoverable way







Requirements





Focus

Prioritising your work to ensure it is valuable and to minimise how much has to be changed later:

Knowing your **end user** and **customer**: ensure there is someone who will want it

Learning from competitors

Clear selling points (Features)

Starting with a rough version of everything and progressing all of it together (Tracer bullet)

Learning from Users









Agile

The approach used by most software companies is called "Agile" it prioritises:

Individuals and interactions over processes and tools

Working software over comprehensive documentation

Customer collaboration over contract negotiation

Responding to change over following a plan







Iteration based on customer feedback!







Don't just do what your customers ask for

Professional product design requires working with customers who don't really know what they want until they are using it.

If your product has a detailed specification from a user, the product is either:

Unoriginal - they want a copy of something else, your success from this is very limited

Very simple - unlikely to change an organisation and so not create much value

Based on imagination - unlikely to fit well with a customer in reality

Iterating on an original design based on user feedback and with real market research is important to doing well on a commercial project.







Use Cases

Use Cases are complete stories about users desires and their complete interaction with a product to fulfil them.

They aren't single features

They typically contain many steps to complete

Good use cases demonstrate the key features of a product





Tracer Bullet

A tracer bullet is about breadth over depth

The absolute minimum of every important part of the project

It is to ensure that everything you do is in context of the whole project. To help prioritise your work.





Iterate fast with rough versions

Software quality is all about iteration. You can get faster iteration by making each iteration smaller and rougher.

For example, most professional firms iterate user interface design using paper mockups.

The more roughly you make something the more willing you are to change it.





Artists borrow, Geniuses steal

Don't reinvent the wheel. Unless you are trying to make something better (i.e. it is one of your 1/2 key features).

Your user testing will tell you if it is better.

Always replicate the best practice of the best products. Use their iteration, build on what is familiar.





User Wants and Needs vs Difficult Features

Creating a successful product is not about creating something that was technically difficult to make

It is about creating something that the user wants

Don't add features just to show how technically skilled you are







Development





Technical skills

Picking it up as you go along:

Not learning everything in advance

Using online courses, Google and Stack Overflow to help **solve your problems** as you face them

Not avoiding features because you don't know how to implement them (yet)

Asking for help and supporting one another

Experience is the hardest kind of teacher, it gives you the test first and the lesson afterward.

Oscar Wilde

-





Pair Programming

This is a very useful technique for learning new software technology

One person types the code, the other watches and discusses the code, then after a period of time they swap over.

I've spent a lot of time pair programming and I have always been glad I did:

- · With peers Built a real time physics engine (Mythos Games)
- · With mentors Learning to use complex build tools (Sony)
- With students Teaching students how to wrestle C++ libraries (Computer vision research with PostDoc)





Code and Design Review

Many companies use internal reviews for the work that they do.

This typically means a more experienced member of a team or possibly the whole team go through an individuals work on a product and discuss suggestions on how to improve it.

Some companies will not allow code to be added to the project until the review is complete.







Usability





Simplicity

Good usability takes lots of time and effort

It can be difficult because you are often trying to communicate something complex in a simple way. This is why products with less features are often more popular than products with loads of features.

The less features you have, the more you can polish them.



times the sus

Google index: 1.091.000.000 web capes. New Last Google III get state capes - yest where it totel in

Oux Jobs - Tax are That Disadou - Advertise will Lik. Not Bough in cost Film - Death Researe Adverse - Deathing Dee






Simplicity for the User not the Programmer

The user and their common use cases are what the program should reflect. Not your abstraction.

Simple code does not necessarily mean a simple user experience

Minimise the number of steps users need to take to complete common actions







This also applies to software design

Software can be thought of as a reflection of the real world.

Classes represent real objects (or at least how people think about real objects)

Great software is about elegantly capturing the real world in simple abstractions

The best programmers will tend to create relatively small and simple programs.

They learnt how to do this by examining lots of different ways of doing something (reading source code) and trying lots of different approaches to learn what is the cleanest, simplest representation





Quality







Treat the product as if it is real

User testing should **judge** products against other existing **commercial products**

Ultimately that is the real **standard** that your work will be judged against

An important part of becoming a **professional** is switching from the "I could do this" to the "**The user wants/expects this**" mentality







SMALL CHANGES

EVENTUALLY

ADD UP TO HUGE

RESULTS.

The final bits of polish are the hardest

You will often avoid creating a feature because it seems too technically difficult

Small improvements in user experience can require very technically challenging features

These features are the ones that make a product beat their competitors

This is where your expertise makes a difference





Quality is about consistency

The more detailed and controlled your style, the more work is needed to add each new element (the same goes for code)

Things look professional if all elements look like they have been deliberately chosen to go together:







Quality is about consistency

This applies to the look of something. It also applies to the functionality of something:

People will tend to judge the quality of a product based on the it's worst part







Polish: 80/20 rule

Your product will look 80% complete after you have put in 20% of the effort needed to finish it

Or in other words the last 20% of a product will take 80% of the total time to complete

This happens because you develop the easier bigger wins first

Professional quality products are about a large number of small changes driven by User feedback

These changes typically take 80% of the time needed to complete the product







80/20 Case Study: World of Goo

It is very rare to get a detailed honest case study of how long something took to make and what it was like at the beginning

This is partly because showing something after a long period of work without the bits in-between creates a more dramatic impression on people. When they see how it was created it often seems less impressive

Indie game development is a rare situation where some people have shared their real experiences

From 31% to 63% was mostly making changes based on user feedback not adding content

63% to 100% was the difference between polished product and actually for sale

https://2dboy.com/2009/03/06/the-world-of-goo-wasnt-built-in-a-day-part-1-of-7/







User Testing

- 1. Validating that the design is something the user wants
- Testers talk about their expectations and impressions as they use the site in a normal way
- 3. Testers are given high level objectives and asked their impressions of a product

Testing

- 1. Validating that the program is working without error
- 2. Testers try to break the website by using it in unexpected way
- 3. Testers are asked to examine detailed parts of a product and to try to find problems with them. When problems are found testers provide detailed set of steps to replicate the problem they find





Debugging is a core skill

Key to reading and understanding code

Key to testing

When programming gets really hard, you're debugging more than you're creating

At the end of the project, you'll be debugging all the time

If you get really good at it, your team mates will love you (you solve their biggest problem)







From "See spot run" to "Sherlock Holmes"

You will feel stupid, everyone does:

When you are first learning to debug

When you are learning some new technology

You're looking up every word

It's often confusing because every part is new and its hard to keep it all in your head

It's very tiring, pace yourself







Write comments as you go

Treat it like a foreign language. Write TTELK TANK TKNTKN your "translation" as comments, explain what is happening in a form that you can understand

It will help you feel like the code is "yours" - this is very important, you need to feel in control and to have a sense of pride and ownership of the work you are involved with

KKI前來面式面111/《III》面KKI前來1 百会不合于 主人学业的 医部分 家主之前会社/会《III目=1111×共会社家/会





Logging

Printing out text almost always works

If you don't have a debugger (or the bug relies on timing) then this is mostly what you will do

Useful for debugging problems due to parallel programs (things running at the same time that might create nasty bugs that are hard to replicate while in the debugger)



System.out.println("KEEP"); System.out.println("CALM");

System.out.println("AND");

System.out.println("CODE"); System.out.println("ON");





Step through code

Stepping through code with the debugger is the best possible way to learn about your code or someone else's.

Along with trying to write your own programs this is the fastest way to learn about programming.

This is particularly true of advanced programming skills where there are no courses/textbooks to teach you.









Validation checks

Narrow down where a bug could be by creating small checks in the code that ensure that certain things definitely aren't the cause of the problem.





Magical Thinking

You know when you have lost control of your code base when you start trying to fix/change your program by randomly trying things to see if they work. (GUIs and big libraries/frameworks like Apache are a big problem for this)

This is a sign that your program is too complicated for the task you are trying to perform and that you need to understand it in detail and (usually) rewrite it.





Resist the urge to rewrite everything

However, avoid the temptation to rewrite something rather than understanding it. When rewriting code you want to very carefully check that the new version produces the same correct results as the old version.





Unit Tests

- Used for testing components of a system
- Can turn each class into an application to test them (or place them in another class)
- Useful for dealing with complex isolated problems like evaluating a data structure like a list or tree
- Also useful for complex algorithms such as mathematical simulations
- Most bugs aren't detected by this process, they are due to parts of the program being used in unfamiliar ways







Record and Replay

- Being able to reproduce a bug is the first step in solving it
- Can artificially create a set of inputs as part of testing
- Use the log to record the inputs of a real user interaction
- You could automate this process by reading the log from a file and automatically constructing inputs to simulate the user actions









Refactoring: Split

As functions get bigger cut code into smaller parts

The main way to do this is to take chunks of code that do single meaningful steps and put them in functions

Then your program looks like a summary

String toBrowser = ""; toBrowser += printHeader(); toBrowser += printJavascript(); toBrowser += startBody(); toBrowser += printNavBar(); toBrowser += printMainContent(); toBrowser += printFooter(); toBrowser += stopBody();





Merge and generalise

Further simplify the codebase by finding functions that are repeated (or close enough that they can be merged with parameters) String toBrowser = ""; toBrowser += Index.printHeader(); toBrowser += printJavascript(); toBrowser += Index.startBody(); toBrowser += Index.printNavBar("This page title"); toBrowser += printMainContent(); toBrowser += Index.printFooter(); toBrowser += Index.stopBody();





Extract

As you complete more projects you will encounter certain problems/functions that you will **face over and over again** in different projects

Extracting this code into **reusable functions** that you can add to projects will help you increase the **speed** with which you can develop new projects

You will also be able to develop larger projects as these components will be so familiar to you







Dependencies

The ability to extract code and reuse it is limited by how linked together it is

Dependencies between pieces of code limit your ability to extract it

Functions that take **basic types as input** are often preferable to functions that take objects (as they are much easier to extract)







Complexity





Actual Use of Requested Features

Overgeneralisation

It is very easy to make code more complicated without increasing its **used functionality**

Overgeneralisation (A type of Software Bloat) will also make code that is very heavily linked together with a lot of extra classes

To avoid this only generalise to **simplify code** you are using







More programs

The ultimate form of extraction is to create **multiple separate programs** that you link together to create your project

Powerful small programs that do one thing well are a great way to increase the speed and complexity of the projects you can make

Linux is built this way and it is a very robust and powerful way to develop software which can be **maintained for decades**







Keep things as simple and open as possible

Use simple file formats that will continue to be supported. Avoid custom file formats (after a couple of years you will find it very hard to read them again)

Keep the structure of your data as simple as possible. Don't store code in databases.

Minimise the number of languages your program uses.

Use simple language features to ensure code can be ported to different languages e.g. from Java to Javascript

Avoid using any library you don't have the source code for. Use 'minimal' libraries when possible







Further Reading





Further Reading/Watching

The Pragmatic Programmer has lots of good material on how to develop and refine software.

Search for "minimal X library Y" where X is whatever you want to do and Y is the language you are using. See the difference between how it is programmed and how "heavy weight" code is written e.g. Apache or standard Java libraries.







Further Reading/Watching







Further Reading/Watching

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tuckman%27s stages of grou p_development

http://agilemanifesto.org/

https://rework.withgoogle.com/blog/five-keys-to-asuccessful-google-team

www.trello.com





Data Analysis





Software tools







Loads of great online teaching materials

Your next lab is continuing the learning python course at codecademy. This is a great introduction to python and is accessible to those of you who are uncomfortable with programming.

Data analytics is more about **manipulating** and **understanding data** than creating large software systems. However, it is also a relatively new and changing field that you will need to keep studying to stay on top of. Thankfully there are lots of great online materials.





Why Python?

3 main tools used by the majority of professional data analysts:

- Excel
- Python
- Ŕ

Excel is used in many organisations but usually in a relatively simple way to sort and display data and graphs. It can be useful to have some experience with it but you will learn a lot of what you need by watching this:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=0nbkaYsR04c&ab_channel=JoelSpolsky

R is mostly used by people with a mathematical background. It has powerful visualization tools but is a little hard to connect with or deploy as an application.

After Java and JavaScript, **Python** is the most popular language in the world. It has very powerful libraries that make it the tool of choice for software developers working in data analytics Python is the primary language for **deep learning**

For very large datasets and applications like processing images/video there are additional tools and technologies but these are beyond the scope of this class.







Data - 80%-90% of the job

- Finding data
- Cleaning data
- Structuring data

Is generally considered 80-90% of the time that professional data analysts spend their time on. Producing graphs, applying advanced statistics and machine learning are only a small fraction of the more core data acquisition and structuring work.

The number one factor that changes what analysts can do and what academics can produce in machine learning is access to high quality comprehensive data on something.





Systematic Taxonomy of Variation

Don't start by thinking about what you can easily gather as a dataset

First think about **all the factors** that could cause variation in your system's accuracy

Assume you don't know which of these factors are most important

In real deployments commercial organisations often use physical constraints, best practices, additional sensors etc. to **make variations controllable**. You don't need to make a perfect 'human-level' AI system

The key thing is to properly understand **how variations affect your problem**.Ensure you are **deliberately choosing** which variations you are addressing and the ones you aren't and that these reflect the priorities of your application. If possible include a systematic small scale evaluation of these factors.

All commercial deployments are immediately tested to see where they fail.

You may want to consider how you can **automatically detect** if the system is being used 'properly' so that accurate results are obtained.





Statistics





Statistical outliers - Central Limit Theorem

 Most numerical measurements of properties will form a "normal distribution" shape. This is because most measurements will be the result of a number of factors being added together.

For example a person's measured height might be the result of: the length of their feet, legs, torso, neck and head as well as any shoes they might be wearing and possibly their hair.

 If each of these factors vary amongst the population in a complex way their sum will tend to form a normal distribution.







Statistical outliers - Normal distribution

 If the data comes from a normal distribution you can estimate the probability that a certain value will be obtained







Probability Distribution

- A histogram is an approximation of a probability distribution
- If your samples are not in clear discrete bins then you need a way of creating a continuous probability distribution
- It doesn't take many dimensions before the number of samples needed to create a probability estimate is impossible - less than 100 binary choices
- Can't escape unjustified assumptions







How does one property change relative to another?

- Covariance
- A measure of how common it is for values to be above average or below average at the same time and to the same amount.
- E.g. Violent Crimes in a month, Number of Ice Cream Sales cov(X, Y) = E [(X – E[X])(Y – E[Y])]
- Positive covariance means that the expectation is that when one goes up so does the other
- Negative covariance means that the expectation is that when one goes up the other goes down (and vice versa)





Pearson Correlation Coefficient

 This takes into account the range of values the two properties can have. It produces a number that indicates how strongly two values change together.

 $ho_{X,Y} = \operatorname{corr}(X,Y) = rac{\operatorname{cov}(X,Y)}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y} = rac{E[(X-\mu_X)(Y-\mu_Y)]}{\sigma_X\sigma_Y},$

- This way you can have a number that says whether two different pairs are more linked than another e.g.
- Ice Creams & Violent Crime
- Poverty & Violent Crime





Correlation only works for simple relationships

 Measurements like correlation are a hint that a factor may be linked (or even cause) another one but it will miss any more complex relationship. That is why visualisation is so important.







Uses and abuses of statistics

 Unreproducible results in science are very common









Misleading statistics (Anscombe's quartet)

All example datasets have same simple statistics Highlights the need to analyse data visually







Numerical outliers

- For example the New York Public Library recently digitised and collated a collection of menus from the 1840's to the present day. An analysis of this data revealed price outliers.
- To validate the prices, the original digitised data was examined. This
 revealed that transcribers had been recording prices as dollars when they
 were in cents.



Values over time will reveal spikes

| | Brite | etennis | | |
|-----------------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| | 1.6.3 | wg:1913 | | |
| PRO. PTH | The state | | | |
| 1 | Character | desired at | Over Built pr | |
| Add and | HE : | | | |
| Didow g | Philip de Los ga | the Dat | to Dougla 15 | |
| ALAIN | | | | |
| Children by | Marchine as | Public | Laborer 11 | |
| 100.74 | | | | |
| Hallens | www. Ramilardia | al barrier | and Photometer | |
| WYNER | (m))- | | Charten ja | |
| HT DIRE | | | | |
| Name of | tale, Repitting a | - Chainer at | Ried in | |
| Status Tre | the Bigerian pi | Sunge or Nalat Class | nat Priorities at | |
| - Corner Par | Turbini pt. Namp | they at the | Califa Noridd yn 1991: Ffydrif an 1 | |
| | Contraction in | a crime 11 | | |
| Alter | t tides er | (Newsyndia) | Publica po | |
| -0 | and the state | (81-2mm) | a man - 12 | |
| | Ind dotter in | 1000 | | |
| | a la contra de la co | Valation In | theory and | |
| and the second second | ARE PROVE THROUGH | ARA | ***** | |





Machine Learning





Simplify the world to analyse it

- Statistics and probability are focused on provably correct inferences about data given simplifying assumptions.
- In other words, pretend that the situation is much simpler than it is and then use mathematics to prove properties about it.
- This is different from Machine Learning. Machine Learning is focused on developing computer algorithms that are shown to be **effective** through **experiments** that assess how well the algorithms predict results.
- Machine Learning techniques are used because they have been shown to be practically useful, they do not always have a mathematical justification for why they are effective.
- Statistics are typically mathematically justified but not necessarily effective. Because of the theoretical justification it can be easier to **understand** what an effective statistic means about the causes of the data you are analysing.





Machine Learning is like smoothing

- All machine learning is basically the same problem
- How to take a relatively small number of samples and blend between them to guess the values at points between the samples



 Different machine learning techniques use different assumptions about a 'good' way of blending the values. In practice many algorithms produce similar results.

 However, for some applications deep learning methods appear to be a lot more capable. Unfortunately they can require a lot of training data and so are more useful for problems like computer vision than general data analysis. Modern transfer learning techniques are starting to change this however.





A good baseline: AutoML and/or Fast.ai

- Regardless of your ML problem the first thing you want to do is create a baseline performance. The AutoML or Fast.ai techniques will tend to give you a reasonably good result with minimal effort.
- AutoML techniques automate the selection of a machine learning technique
- There are a number of systems, I recommend starting with something like AutoSKLearn for tabular data
- I would also highly recommend Fast.ai's deep learning course and to use their approach to tabular learning
- For image processing/classification I would also recommend using Fast.ai's systems their defaults are as good as if not better than any other's
- Both systems will do a great job of combining data from very different sources and weighting their influence appropriately





Analyse and iterate





Manually analyse your errors

- There are many ways to analyse your results, the most important is to manually examine your worst performing examples
- It is also very useful to experimentally examine how sensitive your predictions are to changes in certain values. Both for your samples that work well and the ones that are in error. This will highlight what your system is prioritising and what kinds of error are likely to cause problems
- This will also tend to reveal if you have any errors in your ground truth labelling, which is very common.
- Its then good practice to try to obtain more data in any error conditions and iterate until you aren't getting any improvements





Augment, Synthesise, and Constrain





Augment data

- Add synthetic alterations to real training samples to significantly increase your samples
- Try to use real measured variations to identify plausible augmentations. These can vary from simple reflections, rotations, to more complex image/signal processing and perspective distortions.





Synthetic data

- · Typically you are limited by how much data you have.
- One approach which works well is to gradually create more and more realistic synthetic datasets
- You can often solve an ML problem by learning to reverse a synthesising algorithm.
- For example, the parameters used to synthesise an image of a face can be used to identify a person, by separating out the parameters that are due to environment (lighting, pose, expression etc.) with those of identity (shape etc.).
- By learning from synthetic data and then refining your model with the relatively smaller amount of real data you can often get significant improvements in accuracy





Constrain data

- Recently we have had success in linking very different sensor sources by treating them as constraints on a generative solution.
- Start by estimating how a generative solution would produce different sensory data
- You can then randomly initialise the generative solution and optimise it to minimise the difference between the synthesised sensory output and the measured result
- Repeat this process for different random initialisations to get a distribution of estimates of what the true sensed data represents.



End







So you don't think it could be you...

Roger Woods Professor, Systems and Sensors Cluster, Queen's University Belfast Chief Scientist, Analytics Engines Ltd.









Roger Woods, 24, as a toddler learned to wills on the pavements of New York. Twenty-four-old Ming Yan studied in the basting etty of Nanjan in eastern Chan, population over two million, and Hussein Kaour gree up in strife-torn Labanon. Rajnoder its light spent his early life in Malayaia and Stephen Smyth lived by the sea in Bangor, Co Down. Yet their different paths led thm to join a Bally ______ nersmit of the work

tin Delinet. If McLenyr and Basel McCharyr of a new family of dwasoed digital sicral energy of the second digital sicral second to electrosic to the pair. Der charge deginal for energy of the second digital second to the pairs and the the commercial matter. Der McCanury addito the pair to the pairs Devices we our delay Devices comercial comercial to the pairs Devices come our delay Devices comercial comercial to the pairs Devices to our delay of the Devices come our delay Devices comercial comercial to the pairs Devices we our delay Devices comercial comercial to the pairs Devices we our delay Devices comercial comercial to the pairs Devices to our delay of the Devices to our delay of the Devices to our dev

the work of his test of his te

<section-header>

International Difference and Encoder to CUB research tea and the Cuber of the Cuber of the Cuber research tea and the Cuber of the Cuber of the Cuber research tea and the Cuber of the Cuber of the Cuber research tea and the Cuber of the Cuber of the Cuber research tea and the Cuber of the Cuber of the Cuber research tea and the Cuber of the Cuber of the Cuber of the Cuber of the Cuber tea the Cuber of the Cuber

N'S ERSITY ST









Simon's journey

Emergent Tech - Artificial Intelligence

Brit startup Graphcore tossed a £200m early Christmas pressie for machine learning CPU 18 December 2018

raphcore, a British chipmaker which this month achieved coveted 'unicorn' status of being valued in excess of \$1bn (£780m), is being circled by suitors looking to acquire the business, which claims it can make machine learning "faster, easier and more intelligent". 30 December 2018

Analytics Engines
























4th Summer School on

Smartphone Analysers for on-site testing of food quality and safety

23-27 November 2020

Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC)

The Spanish National Research Council



| | | CET | DAY 1 | |
|------------------------------------|--|-------------|-----------------|---|
| APPROACHES,) REGULATORY ISSUES | WELCOME AND PROJECT PRESENTATION | 9:00-9:15 | Opening session | Michel Nielen - Summary of the FoodSmartphone Project, objectives and achievements |
| | PLENARY | 9:15-10:00 | Plenary session | Christopher T. Elliott - Uncovering the cause of a major food safety incident by the application of analytical chemistry |
| | Optical sensors Chairman: Jens Eriksson | 10:00-10:30 | Speaker 1 | Laura Lechuga - Photonic nanobiosensors portable platforms for ultrasensitive and fast analysis at the Point-of-Need |
| | | 10:30-10:45 | Speaker 2 (ESR) | Chi Xiao - Lab-on-chip devices for smartphone imaging Surface Plasmon Resonance (iSPR) detection |
| | | 10:45-11:00 | Speaker 3 (ESR) | Yunfeng Zhao - Smartphone analysers for food safety and quality analysis from software perspective |
| | Round table | 11:00-11:15 | | Round table: speakers + chairman |
| | COFFEE BREAK | 11:15-11:30 | | COFFEE BREAK |
| | Electrochemical Sensors Chairman: Roger Galve | 11:30-12:00 | Speaker 1 | César Fernández - Analytical microsystems for monitoring food production processes and quality |
| | | 12:00-12:15 | Speaker 2 (ESR) | Klaudia Kopper - Electrochemical immunosensors for the detection of pesticides in different food matrices |
| | | 12:15-12:30 | Speaker 3 (ESR) | Safiye Jafari - Test your food for aflatoxin in your smartphone |
| AL. | Round table | 12:30-12:45 | | Round table: speakers + chairman |
| IOLOGIC. | LUNCH BREAK | 12:45-14:00 | | LUNCH BREAK |
| | Spectrometric Analysis Chairman: JPablo Salvador | 14:00-14:30 | Speaker 1 | Amadeo Rodríguez - Presence of Pesticide Residues in Fruits and Vegetables in EU. An Analytical Perspective |
| | | 14:30-15:00 | Speaker 2 | Damià Barceló - Pharmaceuticals and other emerging contaminants in European seafood samples |
| /EII | | 15:00-15:15 | Speaker 3 (ESR) | Ariadni Geballa - Analysis through Foodsmartphone - mass spectrometry detection |
| TE(JR/ | Round table | 15:15-15:30 | | Round table: speakers + chairman |
| SI | COFFEE BREAK | 15:30-15:45 | | COFFEE BREAK |
| Ö | Food surveillance & <u>Regulatory issues</u> Chairman: JPablo Salvador | 15:45-16:15 | Speaker 1 | Antoni Rubies - Laboratory of Health Public Agency of Barcelona |
| 5 S | | 16:15-16:45 | Speaker 2 | Frans Verstraete - Official control of contaminants in the food chain: challenges for innovative analytical techniques |
| | | 16:45-17:15 | Speaker 3 | Wim Reybroeck - European food safety legislation with respect to veterinary drugs and the role of rapid tests in its implementation |
| | | 17:15-17:45 | Speaker 4 | Miquel Paraira - Experiences and benefits of the implementation of the ISO22000 standard at a large Water Supply System |
| | Round table | 17:45-18:00 | | Round table: speakers + chairman |
| | SB MEETING | 18:00-19:00 | | SCIENTIFIC BOARD MEETING |

| | | | DAVA | | |
|--|--|-------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | CET | DAY 2 | | |
| | OPEN SESSION | 9:00-10:30 | VIRTUAL OPEN SESSION (ESR VIDEOS) | | |
| | PLENARY | 10:30-11:15 | Plenary session | Iñaki Eguileor - Contribution of science and technology to efficient food risk assessment in the EU | |
| | COFFEE BREAK | 11:15-11:30 | COFFEE BREAK | | |
| | | 11:30-12:00 | Speaker 1 | Anna Jofré - Predictive microbiology for the in silico simulation of the microbial behaviour. Applications in Food Quality and Safety | |
| | Natural toxins | 12:00-12:30 | Speaker 2 | Michele Suman - Industrial Food Safety Management of Mycotoxin Issues: the example of Deoxynivalenol | |
| | Chairman: Cuong Cao | 12:30-12:45 | Speaker 3 (ESR) | Katrina Campbell (on behalf of Jordi Nelis) - Development of smartphone hyphenated colorimetric, plasmonic and electrochemical biosensors for food contaminant detection | |
| | Round table | 12:45-13:00 | | Round table: speakers + chairman | |
| | LUNCH BREAK | 13:00-14:00 | LUNCH BREAK | | |
| | | 14:00-14:30 | Speaker 1 | Bert Pöpping - Portable food safety testing device. The future of food safety testing | |
| | Food allergens | 14:30-15:00 | Speaker 2 | Patricia Galán - Multiplex analysis of food allergens: the challenge of developing a test | |
| | Chairman: Michel Nielen | 15:00-15:30 | Speaker 3 | Ronald Niemeijer - Smart Allergen & Mycotoxin management – the use of smartphone technology in food contaminant management | |
| | | 15:30-15:45 | Speaker 4 (ESR) | Georgina Ross - From sample to smartphone: Consumer-Operable Multiplex Allergen Immunodetection | |
| | Round table | 15:45-16:00 | Round table: speakers + chairman | | |
| | COFFEE BREAK | 16:00-16:15 | COFFEE BREAK | | |
| | Antibiotics & Bacteria | 16:15-16:45 | Speaker 1 | Olga Matveeva - EXTENSO: a new multiplex and connected platform for antibiotics detection in food | |
| | | 16:45-17:15 | Speaker 2 | Maria Carmen Blanco - Break Biofilms | |
| | Chairman: Luis Mata | 17:15-17:30 | Speaker 3 (ESR) | Javier Lou - Rapid plasmonic detection of food spoilage organisms in finished dairy products | |
| | | 17:30-17:45 | Speaker 4 (ESR) | Julián Guercetti - Multiplex optical biosensor for antibiotic detection in milk | |
| | Round table | 17:45-18:00 | Round table: speakers + chairman | | |
| | Posticidas | 18:00-18:30 | Speaker 1 | Rudolf Schneider - Immunoanalytical platforms for on-site environmental health and food safety testing | |
| | <u>Pesticides</u> Chairman: Michele Suman | 18:30-19:00 | Speaker 2 | Esmeralda Payán - Quality System and Food Safety | |
| | | 19:00-19:15 | Speaker 3 (ESR) | Aristeidis S. Tsagkaris - Smartphone-based enzyme assays for cholinesterase inhibitors screening | |
| | Round table | 19:15-19:30 | | Round table: speakers + chairman | |
| | | 19:30-19:45 | Project presentation | Achim Kohler - PhotonFood Project | |
| | Closing Session | 19:45-20:00 | Closing Session | Maria Pilar Marco | |



The consortium of the "<u>FoodSmartphone</u>" project of the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Innovative Training Networks (H2020-MSCA-ITN-2016) and the <u>Nanobiotechnology for Diagnostics group (Nb4D)</u> of the Institute for Advanced Chemistry of Catalonia (IQAC-CSIC) are glad to announce the

Workshop SMART TECH for FOOD (ST4F) ON-LINE EVENT from 25th to 26th November 2020

- Scientific stakeholders (Research Institutes, Diagnostic & Food Companies)
- > Quality and Safety testing stakeholders. EU regulations
- > Analytical Device Demonstrations. Open Day

On-line registration in: http://smarttech4food.activacongresos.com/registration/



ORGANIZERS

This workshop is the 2nd European Workshop on Portable Food Analysis and Citizen Science and, as such, an official <u>RAFA</u> associated event.









Open Day



Smartphone analyzers for on-site testing of food quality and safety



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 720325.

Meet FoodSmartphone in the

Virtual Open Day Thursday, November 26, 2020

9:00-10:30 am, online,

part of the Workshop on Smart Tech for Food (ST4F)

- Everything you would like to know about the future of food testing and monitoring practices....
- Watch the videos by the Early Stage Researchers



*No registration required for the Virtual Open Day: simply follow the live broadcast at <u>https://youtu.be/HsApkr1MQBg</u>

*Register for the full two-day Workshop on Smart Tech for Food at <u>http://smarttech4food.activacongresos.com/registration/</u>

*Register as a FoodSmartphone stakeholder at <u>www.FoodSmartphone.eu</u> and keep updated on the latest developments!











Personal 'FoodSmartphone' in 2030 to detect 99% of all food safety issues?



5













Examples in Demonstration of Applicability Fill syringe with solid cookie to 1 mL mark Simplified protocol for allergen testing 1. operated by a teenager) 2. Insert plunger in syringe Draw up extraction buffer to 1 mL mark Soak the cookie with buffer for 1 minute (use timer on phone) 4. Connect syringe tube with first port on chip 3. Crush cookie with plunge HEI 2. Insert LFIAs Press the syringe plus down to load extract a the red line on the chi ct up to Replace with clean air syringe 11. Insert chip into the side ULOC & smartphone holder FoodSmart 10. Press on the plunger to trans extract to the detection well 13. Click record on smartphone phone.eu



































































Point-of-Care Biosensor



Overview of Biosensor devices for POC diagnostics Electrochemical Biosensors Glucose biosensor Silicon nanowires (FET) Carbon Nanotubes/Graphene Microfluidic paper-based Biosensors A 3-Cent HIV Test **MEMS-based Biosensors Biosensors based on Nanoparticles Magnetic Biosensors** Au Nanoparticles 1. 0 1.4 0 -0 +

PHOTONIC BIOSENSORS

Immunity to electromagnetic interferences

33

- High bandwidth
- Miniaturization
- HIGH SENSITIVITY
- Capacity of integration in lab-on-a-chip
- Multiplexing



Optical waveguide biosensors offer an unique opportunity for POC devices





Label-free

Evanescent wave principle: refractive index change at the sensor surface

and the for


2-channels SPR

Tablet control







REAL APPLICATIONS

Surface biofunctionalization

Chemical Surface activation (1st step)

• Introduction of functional groups to bind to the bioreceptor

Surface biofunctionalization (2nd step)

- TEPS Maintaining structure and functional proper*
- Stable linkage between the biomolecule
- Optimized **density** of functional grg
- Favorable orientation
- EN • Good accessibility to the targe and vertical spacers)

Antifouling surfaces (3rd step)

• Prevention of non-specific adsorptions from real samples





TRAC 79,191-198 (2016)





Thiabendazole detection in whole oranges



Pyraclostrobin detection in fruit juices







Summary of Applications @NanoB2A Group









Content

- 1. Lab-on-a-chip device
- 2. iSPR optical coupler
- 3. Smartphone SPR for food analysis





































- Can smartphone be used for food analysis?
- \checkmark
- How can we transfer a smartphone into a food analyser?



Challenge 1:

Various smartphone models, dimensions, and specificities



FoodSmart phone.eu



[1] D. J. You, T. S. Park, and J.-Y. Yoon, "Cell-phonebased measurement of TSH using Mie scatter optimized lateral flow assays," *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 180–185, Feb. 2013.

[2] S. Feng, D. Tseng, D. Di Carlo, O. B. Garner, and A. Ozcan, "High-throughput and automated diagnosis of antimicrobial resistance using a costeffective cellphone-based micro-plate reader," *Sci. Rep.*, vol. 6, no. November, pp. 1–9, 2016.

[3] H. Guner et al., "A smartphone based surface plasmon resonance imaging (SPRi) platform for onsite biodetection," Sensors Actuators B Chem., vol. 239, pp. 571–577, Feb. 2017.



Solution:

Smartphone modulated colorimetric reader (SMCR)













 S. D. Kim, Y. Koo, and Y. Yun, "A smartphone-based automatic measurement method for colorimetric pH detection using a color adaptation algorithm," Sensors (Switzerland), vol. 17, no. 7, 2017.

Challenge 2

Colour interference due to coloured solutions, e.g. red wine





★★☆☆☆ Don't work at all on red wines, because the wine distorts the color. 21 January 2018 - Published on Amazon.com Verified Purchase

Obvious once I stopped to think about it, but not mentioned in the item notes that I saw.

4 people found this helpful.







Challenge 3:

Varied camera colour performance across different smartphone models





Solution:

Camera colour correction





Challenge 4:

- A tremendous amount of food analytical data is potentially going to be generated.
- Common data storage practice in traditional databases is prone to data tampering which could lead to fraud.
- Current one-to-one on-chain off-chain data storage scheme is inefficient in terms of data volume taken.





Thank you for your attention!



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 720325












































<text><list-item><list-item> Pesticide detection Traditionally HPLC/GC-MS (high sensitivity and accuracy, but also disadvantages...) Rapid, reliable and simple on-site screening methods are necessary as complementary detection techniques Our proposal: Smartphone-based food analysis























9



















What are aflatoxins?

























12

Special thanks to:

Prof. Dr. Shana J. Sturla, ETH Zürich

Dr. Silvia Generelli, CSEM

Dr. Davide Migliorelli, CSEM

Dr. Loïc Burr, CSEM



13









| | Pesticide/product | combinati | ions to be monito | red in/on | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| | products | s of plant o | origin 2021-2023 | | |
| | | TADCET | LIGT | | |
| | | TARGET | LIST | | |
| 2,4-D | Clothianidin | Etoxazole | Formetanate | Myclobutanil | Spinosad |
| -Phenylphenol | Cyazofamid | Famoxadone | Fosetyl-Al | Omethoate | Spinetoram |
| bamectin | Cyflufenamid | Fenamidone | Fosthiazate | Oxadixyl | Spirodiclofen |
| cephate | Cyfluthrin | Fenamiphos | Glyphosate | Oxamyl | Spiromesifen |
| cetamiprid | Cymoxanil | Fenarimol | Glufosinate ammonium | Oxydemeton-methyl | Spiroxamine |
| crinathrin | Cypermethrin | Fenazaquin | Haloxyfop including haloxyfop-P | Paclobutrazole | Spirotetramat |
| ldicarb | Cyproconazole | Fenbuconazole | Hexaconazole | Parathion methyl | Tau-Fluvalinate |
| ldrin and dieldrin | Cyprodinil | Fenbutatin oxide | Hexythiazox | Penconazole | Tebuconazole |
| metoctradin | Cyromazine | Fenhexamid | Imazalil | Pencycuron | Tebufenozide |
| zinphos-methyl | Deltamethrin | Fenitrothion | Imidacloprid | Pendimethalin | Tebufenpyrad |
| zoxystrobin | Diazinon | Fenoxycarb | Indoxacarb | Permethrin | Teflubenzuron |
| ifenthrin | Dichlorvos | Fenpropathrin | Iprodione | Phosmet | Tefluthrin |
| iphenyl | Dicloran | Fenpropidin | lprovalicarb | Pirimicarb | Terbuthylazine |
| itertanol | Dicofol | Fenpropimorph | Isocarbophos | Pirimiphos-methyl | Tetraconazole |
| loscalid | Diethofencarb | Fenpyrazamine | Isoprothiolane | Prochloraz | Tetradifon |
| Bromide ion | Difenoconazole | Fenpyroximate | Kresoxim-methyl | Procymidone | Thiabendazole |
| Iromopropylate | Diflubenzuron | Fenthion | Lambda-cyhalothrin | Profenofos | Thiacloprid |
| Supirimate | Dimethoate | Fenvalerate | Linuron | Propamocarb | Thiamethoxam |
| Suprofezin | Dimethomorph | Fipronil | Lufenuron | Propargite | Thiophanate-methyl |
| aptan | Diniconazole | Flonicamid | Malathion | Propiconazole | Tolclofos-methyl |
| Jarbaryl | Diphenylamine | Fluazifop-P | Mandipropamid | Propyzamide | Triadimefon |
| Carbendazim and benomyl | Dithianon | Flubendiamide | Mepanipyrim | Proquinazid | Triadimenol |
| Carbofuran | Dithiocarbamates | Fludioxonil | Mepiquat | Prosulfocarb | Thiodicarb |
| Chlorantraniliprole | Dodine | Flufenoxuron | Metalaxyl and metalaxyl-M | Prothioconazole | Triazophos |
| Chlorfenapyr | Emamectin benzoate B1a (emamectin) | Fluopicolide | Methamidophos | Pymetrozine | Tricyclazole |
| Chlormequat | Endosulfan | Fluopyram | Methidathion | Pyraclostrobin | Trifloxystrobin |
| Chlorothalonil | Epoxiconazole | Fluquinconazole | Methiocarb | Pyridaben | Triflumuron |
| Chlorpropham | Ethephon | Flusilazole | Methomyl | Pyridalyl | Vinclozolin |
| Chlorpyrifos | Ethion | Flutriafol | Methoxyfenozide | Pyrimethanil | |
| Chlorpyrifos-methyl | Ethirimol | Fluxapyroxad | Metrafenone | Pyriproxyfen | |
| Nefentenine | Etofenprox | Folpet | Monocrotophos | Quinoxyfen | |











































| URL-FV 💋 | | | | | |
|---------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| | | 246 Cor | npounds | | |
| | | | | | |
| Acephate | Chlorpyrifos | Fenamidone | Iprovalicarb | Paraoxon methyl | Quinoxyfen |
| Acetamiprid | Chromafenozide | Fenamiphos | Isocarbophos | Penconazole | Quizalofop-ethyl |
| Alachlor | Clofentezine | Fenamiphos-sulfone | Isoprocarb | Pencycuron | Rotenone |
| Albendazole | Clomazone | Fenamiphos-sulfoxide | Isoprothiolane | Pendimethalin | Simazine |
| Aldicarb | Clothianidin | Fenarimol | Isoproturon | Penflufen | Spinosyn A |
| Aldicarb-sulfone | Coumaphos | Fenazaquin | Isoxaflutole | Penthiopyrad | Spinosyn D |
| Aldicarb-sulfoxide | Cyazofamid | Fenbendazole | Kresoxim-methyl | Permethrin, trans- | Spinosyn J |
| Ametoctradin | Cyflufenamid | Fenbuconazole | Lenacil | Phenthoate | Spinosyn L |
| Anilofos | Cyhalofop-butyl | Fenhexamid | Linuron | Phosalone | Spirodiclofen |
| Atrazine | Cymoxanil | Fenobucarb | Malaoxon | Phosmet | Spiromesifen |
| Avermectin B1a | Cyproconazole | Fenoxycarb | Malathion | Phoxim | Spirotetramat |
| Azinphos-ethyl | Cyprodinil | Fenpropathrin | Mandipropamid | Pirimicarb | Spiroxamine |
| Azinphos-methyl | Cyromazine | Fenpropidin | Mepanipyrim | Pirimicarb, desmethyl- | Sulfoxaflor |
| Azoxystrobin | DEET | Fenpropimorph | Metalaxyl | Pirimiphos-methyl | Tebuconazole |
| BAC10 | Demeton-S-methyl | Fenpyrazamine | Metamitron | Prochloraz | Tebufenozide |
| BAC8 | Demeton-S-Methyl-Sulfone | Fenpyroximate | Metconazole | Profenofos | Tebufenpyrad |
| Benalaxyl | Demeton-S-methylsulfoxide | Fenthion | Methamidophos | Promecarb | Terbuthylazine |
| Bendiocarb | Diazinon | Fenthion-sulfone | Methidathion | Prometryn | Terbuthylazine-desethyl |
| Bifenazate | Dichlorvos | Fenthion-sulfoxide | Methiocarb | Propamocarb | Terbutryn |
| Bifenthrin | Dicrotophos | Fenuron | Methiocarb-sulfone | Propaguizafop | Tetraconazole |
| Bitertanol | Diethofencarb | Fipronili_POS | Methiocarb-sulfoxide | Propargite | Thiabendazole |
| Boscalid | Difenoconazole | Flazasulfuron | Methomyl | Propazine | Thiacloprid |
| Bromacil | Difenoxuron | Flonicamid | Methoxyfenozide | Propiconazole | Thiamethoxam |
| Bromuconazole | Diflubenzuron | Fluacrypyrim | Metobromuron | Propoxur | Thiobencarb |
| BTS 44595 | Dimethoate | Fluazifop | Metolachlor | Propyzamide | Thiodicarb |
| BTS 44596 | Dimethomorph | Flufenacet | Metolcarb | Proquinazid | Thiophanate-methyl |
| BTS-40348 | Dimethylyinphos, Z- | Flufenoxuron | Metrafenone | Prosulfocarb | Tolfenpyrad |
| Bupirimate | Diniconazole | Fluometuron | Monocrotophos | Prothioconazole | Triadimefon |
| Buprofezin | Diuron | Fluopicolide | Monolinuron | Pymetrozine | Triadimenol |
| Butoxycarboxim | Dodine | Fluopyram | Monuron | Pyraclostrobin | Triallate |
| Carbaryl | Edifenphos | Flusilazole | Myclobutanil | Pyrethrini | Triazophos |
| Carbendazim | Emamectin B1a | Fluxapyroxad | Neburon | Pyrethrinii | Trichlorfon |
| Carbofuran | Epoxiconazole | Formetanate | Nitenpyram | Pyridaben | Triclocarban |
| Carbofuran, 3OH- | Ethiofencarb | Fosthiazate | Novaluron | Pyridalyl | Tricyclazole |
| Chlorantraniliprole | Ethion | Haloxyfop | Omethoate | Pyridaphenthion | Trifloxystrobin |
| Chlorbromuron | Ethiprole | Hexaconazole | Oxadiargyl | Pyridate | Triflumizole |
| Chlorfenvinphos B- | Ethirimol | Hexaflumuron | Oxadixyl | Pyrimethanil | Triflumuron |
| Chlorfluazuron | Ethoprophos | Hexythiazox | Oxamvl | Pyriofenone | Triticonazole |
| Chloridazon | Etofenprox | Imazalil | Oxasulfuron | Pyriproxyfen | Tritosulfuron |
| Chlorotoluron | Etoxazole | Imidacloprid | Oxfendazole | Quinalphos | XMC |

| / <u>🏊</u> | | European Reference Labora |
|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| 246 target Pe | sticides – 63 Acquir | ed with tMS2 |
| Acetamiprid | Fenuron | Pendimethalin |
| Aldicarb-sulfoxide | Flonicamid | Permethrin, trans- |
| Avermectin B1a | Fluazifop | Phoxim |
| Bendiocarb | Fluometuron | Propargite |
| Bitertanol | Hexaflumuron | Propoxur |
| BTS-40348 | Iprovalicarb | Propyzamide |
| Carbaryl | Isocarbophos | Prosulfocarb |
| Chlorfluazuron | Isoprocarb | Prothioconazole |
| Chloridazon | Isoxaflutole | Pymetrozine |
| Clothianidin | Linuron | Pyrethrin |
| Cymoxanil | Metamitron | Quinoclamine |
| Demeton-S-methyl | Methiocarb | Simazine |
| Diethofencarb | Methiocarb-sulfone | Sulfoxaflor |
| Dodine | Methiocarb-sulfoxide | Tebufenozide |
| Ethiofencarb | Methomyl | Triadimefon |
| Ethirimol | Methoxyfenozide | Triadimenol |
| Famoxadone | Metolcarb | Trichlorfon |
| Fenhexamid | Nitenpyram | Triclocarban |
| enpropathrin Oxadiargyl | | Triflumizole |
| Fenpropidin | Oxamyl | Tritosulfuron |
| Fenpropimorph | Paraoxon methyl | ХМС |




























| SA | AMPLE | MATRIX | TARGET/QUANTITATIVE | SCREENING |
|-----|-------|------------|--|---|
| | 001 | Kiwi | | |
| | 002 | Kiwi | | |
| | 003 | Kiwi | | |
| | 004 | Kiwi | Phosmet | |
| | 005 | Kiwi | | |
| | 006 | Kiwi | | |
| | 007 | Kiwi | | |
| | 008 | Kiwi | | Forchlorfenuron |
| | 009 | Kiwi | Boscalid | Forchlorfenuron |
| | 010 | Onion | | Beauvericin; Penicillic-Acid |
| | 011 | Onion | | |
| | 012 | Onion | | |
| | 013 | Onion | | |
| | 014 | Onion | | Beauvericin |
| | 015 | Onion | | Beauvericin M+NH4: Penicillic-Acid |
| | 016 | Onion | | |
| | 017 | Onion | | |
| | 018 | Onion | | |
| | 019 | Onion | | |
| | 020 | Onion | | |
| | 021 | Onion | | |
| | 022 | Onion | | Bosuporicin |
| | 022 | Onion | Target/Quantitative | Deauvencin |
| | 024 | Onion | Talgeoqualititative | |
| | 025 | Onion | | Recurrentain |
| | 025 | Orango | Imeralii | Beauvericiti |
| | 027 | Orange | Acetominaid, Formaryinate, Durimethenil | A cotominaid motobolite IM 2 d |
| | 020 | Orange | Designation of the pyroximate of the second se | Acetampro-metabolite-im-z-1 |
| | 020 | Orange | Pyrinetrianii, Tinabendazole, Imazalii Aceteminzidi Duzimethenik Drenicenezele, Imazelii | |
| | 029 | Orange | Acetampro, Fyrmetriam, Fropiconazole, mazam | |
| | 030 | Orange | IIIIdZalli | |
| | 031 | Orange | Imidacioprid; Pyriproxyten | Imidacioprid,desnitro; Imidacioprid,desnitro-olefin |
| | 032 | Orange | Pyriproxyfen; Imidacloprid | Imidacloprid, desnitro; Imidacloprid, urea |
| | 033 | Orange | Acetamiprid; Imazalil | |
| | 034 | Orange | Acetamiprid; Pyriproxyfen | Acetamiprid-metabolite-IM-2-1 |
| | 035 | Orange | Hexythiazox; Pyriproxyfen; Imazalil | |
| | 036 | Orange | Thiabendazole; Propiconazole; Imazalil | |
| | 037 | Orange | Acetamiprid; Pyrimethanil; Imazalil | |
| | 038 | Orange | Acetamiprid | |
| | 039 | Orange | | |
| | 040 | Orange | Acetamiprid; Fenpyroximate | |
| | 041 | Orange | | |
| | 042 | Orange | Acetamiprid; Etofenprox; Phosmet; Imazalil | |
| | 043 | Orange | Acetamiprid; Imazalil | |
| | 044 | Orange | Thiabendazole; Pyrimethanil; Imazalil | Imidacloprid, desnitro; Thiabendazole, 50H |
| | 045 | Orange | Pyriproxyfen; Imazalil | |
| ~ | 046 | Orange | | |
| 100 | 047 | Orange | | Imidacloprid, desnitro |
| | 048 F | Pineapple | | Beauvericin_M+NH4 |
| | 049 | Raisins | Famoxadone; Fluxapyroxad; Indoxacarb; Mandipropamid; Metalaxyl; Methoxyfenozide; Metrafenone; Penconazole; Proquinazid; Pyrimethanil; Sulfoxaflor; Tebuconazole; Zoxamide | |
| | 050 9 | Strawborry | Boscalid: Eluopyram | |





Thank you for your kind attention!





















| 3. PhACs in BIOTA. Targ | et Analysis | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Analytical Method | | | | | |
| | MOLLUSKS | | | | |
| PLE Extraction | 500 mg of freeze-dried sample placed in PLE cells | | | | |
| SPE Purification | Extraction applied in 3 cycles of 5 min, with a Methanol/water (1:2) as solvent. 50°C | | | | |
| Analysis by UHPLC-MS/N | Extracts were diluted to 100 ml and purified by SPE Polymeric Sorbent | | | | |
| | Eluates in MeOH collected and evaporated | | | | |
| | Final extracts analyzed by UHPLC/QqLIT | | | | |
| Alvarez-Muñoz et al. Occurrence of pharmaceuticals and endocrine disrupting compounds in macroalgaes, bivalves and fish from coastal areas in Europe. Environmental Research 143 (2015) 56–64 | | | | | |



| nACs in BIOTA. | Target Analysis | 5 | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|----------|----------------------|
| Therapeutic family | Compound | Precursor ion | RT (min) | Internal standard |
| Antibiotics | Ronidazole | 201 [M+H]+ | 1.28 | Ronidazole-d3 |
| | Metronidazole | 172 [M+H]+ | 1.31 | Ronidazole-d3 |
| | Dimetridazole | 142 [M+H]+ | 1.53 | Ronidazole-d3 |
| | Sulfamethoxazole | 254 [M+H]+ | 2.02 | Sulfamethoxazole- d4 |
| (Metabolite) | N-acetyl-sulfamethoxazole | 296 [M+H]+ | 2.43 | Sulfamethoxazole- d4 |
| | Azythromycin | 749 [M+H]+ | 2.88 | Azithromycin-d3 |
| | Erythromycin | 734 [M+H]+ | 3.46 | Erythromycin-N,N13C2 |
| Psychiatric drugs | Venlafaxine | 278 [M+H]+ | 2.81 | Venlafaxine-d6 |
| (Metabolite) | O-demethyl-venlafaxine | 264 [M+H]+ | 2.19 | Venlafaxine-d6 |
| | Carbamazepine | 237 [M+H]+ | 3.26 | Carbamazepine-d10 |
| (Metabolite) | 10,11-Epoxycarbamazepine | 253 [M+H]+ | 2.79 | Carbamazepine-d10 |
| (Metabolite) | 2-Hydroxycarbamazepine | 253 [M+H]+ | 2.77 | Carbamazepine-d10 |
| | Citalopram | 325 [M+H]+ | 2.96 | Citalopram-d4 |
| | Alprazolam | 309 [M+H]+ | 3.50 | Diazepam-d5 |
| analgesics/anti-inflammatories | Codeine | 300 [M+H]+ | 1.41 | Carbamazepine-d10 |
| | Phenazone | 189 [M+H]+ | 2.12 | Phenazone-d3 |
| | Propyphenazone | 231 [M+H]+ | 3.27 | Phenazone-d3 |
| | Piroxicam | 330 [M-H]- | 1.02 | Meloxicam-d3 |
| Tranquilizer | Azaperone | 328 [M+H]+ | 2.50 | Azaperone-d4 |









| | | | | | | | | and the second |
|--|-----------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------------------|
| PhACS, EDCs and FR in seafood of European | | | | | | | | |
| Hotspots | | | | | | | | |
| Monitoring campaign in seafood from Hot spots in Europe | | | | | | | | |
| Hotspot locations: (1) Western Scheldt, (2) Tagus estuary, (3) Po delta and (4) Ebro delta | | | | | | | | |
| | S1 | S2 | S2 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S4 | Freq. of det. (%) |
| | Flounder | Mullet | Mullet | Mussel | Mussel | Mussel | Clam | |
| BDE-28 | n. d. | 8.42 | n. d. | 35.0 | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 22 |
| BDE-47 | 39.9 | 115 | 48.7 | 420 | 15.2 | n. d. | n. d. | 56 |
| BDE-100 | n. d. | 107 | 33.4 | 410 | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 33 |
| BDE-99 | n. d. | 61.0 | n. d. | 507 | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 22 |
| BDE-154 | n. d. | 59.4 | n. d. | 262 | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 22 |
| BDE-153 | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 246 | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 11 |
| BDE-209 | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 367 | n. d. | 56.1 | n. d. | 22 |
| ∑PBDEs (lw) | 39.9 | 350 | 82.1 | 2,246 | 15.2 | 56.1 | n. d. | 67 |
| ∑PBDEs (ww |) 0.46 | 3.91 | 1.42 | 6.04 | 0.21 | 0.69 | n. d. | 67 |
| γ-HBCD | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 13.8 | n. d. | n. d. | 11 |
| HBB | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 338 | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 11 |
| PBEB | n. d. | 3.70 | n. d. | 13.9 | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 22 |
| DBDPE | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | n. d. | 22 |
| Dec 602 | n. d. | n. q. | n. q. | n. q. | n. d. | n. q. | n. d. | 44 |



- Occurrence of PhACs and EDCs in highly consumed and/or ecologically relevant seafood species from hot spots in Europe
- The highest levels: psychiatric drug venlafaxine and antibiotic azithromycin in mussel samples and TBEP in fish.
- Levels of contaminants in mussels allows pointing out most contaminated spot: Po Delta > Tagus Estuary > Ebro Delta
- PBDEs were found in 67% of the samples with total levels of 39.9-2,246 ng/g lw and 0.46-6.04 ng/g ww.
- PBDEs/non-PBDE-FRs ratios were 1.10-94.8, showing that PBDEs are still more abundant than the Emerging BFRs. This trend is expected to be inverted in the years to come.
- The samples from the Tagus estuary present higher PBDE levels than the samples from the rest of the hotspots. This estuary is located in a more industrialized and populated region than the other hotspots.

Conclusions



| Η | luman exposure to contaminated seafood | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Monitoring campaign in seafood from Hot spots in Europe | | | | | | | |
| | Results | | | | | | | |
| | Based on the frequency of detection and levels found: 8 EDCs out of 20 analysed selected for further analysis in commercial samples 10 PhACs out of 20 analysed selected for further analysis in commercial samples | | | | | | | |
| | Compound type | Family | Prioritization proposed | | | | | |
| | PhACs | Analgesics/anti-inflammatories | Diclofenac | | | | | |
| | | Antibiotics | Azithromycin | | | | | |
| | | β-Blockers | Metroprolol, carazolol | | | | | |
| | | Diuretic | Hydrochlorothiazide | | | | | |
| | | Prostatic hyperplasia | Tamsulosin | | | | | |
| | | Psychiatric drugs | Venlafaxine, citalopram, CBZ, diazepam | | | | | |
| | EDCs | Stimulants | Caffeine | | | | | |
| | | Flame retardants TCEP, TBPE | | | | | | |
| | | Plasticizers Bisphenol A | | | | | | |
| | | Antibacterials | Triclosan | | | | | |
| | | Preservatives | Methylparaben, ethylparaben, propylparaben | | | | | |



















Conclusions

>In the majority of the **commercial seafood**:

- PhACs below quantification limits.
- **EDCs** at 0.28 to 183.8 ng/g dry weight.
- **HFRs** were found in 90.5% of the seafood samples at nd-356 ng/g lw (nd-41.1 ng/g ww). **Mussels** and **seabreams** showed the highest occurrences and concentrations.

>Human health risk assessment:

- Highest EDCs intake via seafood consumption in Spain
- EDCs Intake < guidance values no health risk via seafood consumption
- Other dietary and non-dietary sources of exposure increase exposure but no potential health risk to European consumers
- no health risk related to the exposure to BFRs via seafood consumption. However, a refined risk assessment for BDE-99 is of interest in the future.





Conclusions

>In the majority of the **commercial seafood**:

- PhACs below quantification limits.
- EDCs at 0.28 to 183.8 ng/g dry weight.
- **HFRs** were found in 90.5% of the seafood samples at nd-356 ng/g lw (nd-41.1 ng/g ww). **Mussels** and **seabreams** showed the highest occurrences and concentrations.

≻Cooking by steaming:

- · no effect on PhACs levels,
- increased the levels of EDCs.
- the cooking process concentrated PBDEs and HBB.
- > Human health risk assessment:
 - Highest EDCs intake via seafood consumption in Spain
 - EDCs Intake < guidance values no health risk via seafood consumption
 - Other dietary and non-dietary sources of exposure increase exposure but no potential health risk to European consumers
 - no health risk related to the exposure to BFRs via seafood consumption. However, a refined risk assessment for BDE-99 is of interest in the future.


































































































| | analisis annes | | | | | |
|-----|---|-----------------------------|-----|--|---|--|
| /40 | Setting manue | (and a | /40 | OTO AND | 100.000 | |
| | PROCESSION INFORMATION OF TREAST | #32/2/11090 | | PROCESSION NORMALIZATION TRADUCT | XX(2/19000 | |
| | CATEGORIA D'ASSAIG ANÀLISI D'ADDITIUS ALMENTARIS PER CROMATOGRAFIA DE LIQUIDS | Edina, 1 Data: 811122016 | | CATEGORIA D'ANNAIS: ANALISI DE RENCUS 2005ANITARIS EN ALIMENTS I PRODUCTES D'ORIGEN ANIMAL PER CROMATOGRAFIA DE LÍGIROS | Resource 2 Data 3000/0210 | |
| | Daviesi i Neviat pr. | Auronation. | | Easterne : Revised per | Agentation: | |
| | in Males (A. Salars | A. Pulsee | | Franklin Hildertal Controls | Jacob Datasia | |
| | MICONSCIPCTORE RESPIRET A LESSON ANTRALIN Adaption J and a softward of the sof | al de congener par la marja | | WORKACCHO MERCITE & 100KO The shares a main annual maintain Shares a second s | NATURON Ni ILIA MITINO INI JA MITINO | |
| | ocariste dise or due ersatism | Pag. 1 (6) | | ACCUTION EAST AND TOPOTON | Pig fan 12 | |























| | 10000 V/2000 07/ | | E. Mario | E (1), M. Castello, A. Kulters. |
|--|--|---|---|--|
| | Control les ead Food and Chen | tical Toxicology | | a da an taon 1996 - A da anna anna anna anna ann ann anna anna ann anna anna anna anna 1996 - A da anna anna anna anna anna anna anna |
| PLAPVIER | journal barnepage: www.alb | www.configurationstations | The statements of the fields, beam of group of the statement has a descent and a configuration of the statement of the field of the field of the statement of the statement of the statement resonance of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement of the statement resonance of the statement of the | $ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1$ |
| Dietary intake of a Catalonia, Spain: A | rsenic, cadmium, mercu, nalysis of the temporal | ry and lead by the population of | LENDER, FORMER, F | A CONTRACT OF A |
| Neus González ⁴ , Josep G José L. Domingo ⁴ , Marti | alderön ^a , Antoni Rühies ^a , Irab i Nodel ^{a,} | el Timoner', Vistoria Castell', | Epidentic formations analysis, IAAL's and Merchanters | Conference of America Science and Science and America Science of Ameri |
| Lakenany of Tarialay we Bostone Sabalary e de raile Austr apoyr Casto Food Sato Aporo, Depotisor | nar Hush, Schol & Hokson, 2014, Dereman K (*Retains, Christey, Ads. Dalates: 2015, 00 (*Retain, Coundar & Castara, Bo derect) | nen i Trigli, Jaarlaneng 20, atlati, Ran, Sanatria, Igaar 15, Akraina, Calalana, Spak 42, ANRS, Randona, Calalana, Spak | | |
| | ABATRACT | | | Martin |
| dates conour New Awards nave A | a. and y, a more shifty Adv. manuarchina at device (Av. and an objective served) Settlets, and a model framework (Methods the contrast of a province to the contrast of a province to the contrast of a province to the contrast of a settlet (Methods compared and the settlet compared as a settlet of a settlet province, Settlet grandwork of the the contrast proceedings and Methods | The control of the second seco | | A result of the second |
| E heridaettei Derektering (20), bestel fehr und reinnersy fligt an ratio all genatist (bis), schelaren (20), best (biss), best allersten and all genatist (biss), best (bis | | datalegement, they are seen of the reset recordfulls groups of populations in errors response. In Tan L analder Althousens exists is the behaviours and dominipance of alkides when compared to these of arbits, the respective a special anomaly (i.e., 2020). More of 646 is agreeden store the responsibility in samer that look reserver is the anomaly data course are special behaviour of the own | | |
| | | some: With this propies, arrays and a truth of shalles (10.66) or significant in manales (10.66), we presentiably nonleasting (10.66) or at 10.7000; Derivanti et al., 2010; In 2000; He Danien Sparey of structure structures for structures and the structure structure structure are structure between the structure structure structure structure are structures in the structure structure structure struc- ture structure structures in the structure structure polynomic (10.600) et al. structure (10.600; Marine and 10.600; Marine and structures are structures and postenies structure polynomic (10.600) et al. structure (10.600; Marine and 10.600; Marine and structures are structures and an area structures and an area structures and an area and postenies structures polynomic (10.600) et al. structures (10.600; Marine and 10.600; Marine and structures area and an area | | AND A REAL PROPERTY A REAL |
| | | et al., 2003; were analysed, Song Da. analysed, Education as homeless for subsequent and/as. In 2003; 2003 edu 2003; Education moves of conducted in order to quinte the intersection regarding and only for concentrations of heavy methods in both, fair allow their detailing mathem (Marti-Call et al., 2006; Martinell et al., 2011; Parello et al., 2013). | antina antin | Dev. A CLARITMENT, AND WHILE ADD. Models and Distance and the second accurate Charle memory research memory and provide and the second clarification and the comparement particular instruments (second particular instruments) |
| "Generating action Tread allows martineably as | uni (M. Kedal). | | of the second second second second | |
| Hou (Hournage and Article and | | | THE approximation The second | nanjanania dimensio fina umateri fina fano manaj a materi materia na dimensi dimensi, manuning af nanga shagat kan an a nano ka ditabalis da nakanagist kuntus similar dina manuning, amin 1995, t |



















Official Control Regulation "Methods cascade"

1. Methods used for sampling and for laboratory analyses, tests and diagnoses during official controls and other official activities shall comply with Union rules **establishing those methods or the performance criteria for those methods**.

2. In the absence of the Union rules and in the context of official controls and other official activities, official **laboratories shall use one of the following methods** according to the suitability for their specific analytical, testing and diagnostic needs:



7





Official Control Regulation "Methods cascade"

(b) in the absence of the suitable rules or protocols, as referred to in point (a), methods which comply with relevant rules established at national level, or, if no such rules exist, relevant methods developed or recommended by **national reference laboratories** and validated in accordance with internationally accepted scientific protocols; or

relevant methods developed and validated with inter or intra-laboratory methods validation studies in accordance with internationally accepted scientific protocols



9





Official Control Regulation sampling and analysis

Legal rules can be established on

(a) the methods to be used for sampling and for laboratory analyses, tests and diagnoses;

(b) performance criteria, analysis, test or diagnosis parameters, measurement uncertainty and procedures for the validation of those methods;

(c) the interpretation of analytical, testing and diagnostic results.



11





























Conclusions – Guaranteeing a high level of feed and food safety Opportunities and challenges

For guaranteeing a high level fo food safety very important that through research **new analytical innovative methodologies /approaches**, multi-analyte methods, screening methodologies, smartphone-based methods become available

Challenges:

- \rightarrow how to use the results in compliance testing
- → how to ensure accurate follow-up to « signals »
- \rightarrow result/outcome interpretation, information flow, information sharing, ...

ealth and ood Safety





European food safety legislation with respect to veterinary drugs and the role of rapid tests in its implementation

Dr. Wim Reybroeck ILVO 25/11/2020



EUROPEAN FOOD SAFETY LEGISLATION

Aim: to assure a high level of Food Safety to apply an integrated approach from farm to fork

Implementation: involves several actions:

- to assure effective control systems and evaluate

compliance with EU standards

- to manage international relations with non-EU countries
- to manage relations with EFSA and ensure science-based risk management



- establishment of maximum residue limits (MRLs)
- in some cases the use of substances is prohibited



Food-producing animals may be treated with veterinary medicines to prevent or cure disease \rightarrow possibility of residues in the food

The levels of residues in food should not harm the consumer.

Obligations:

• EU countries must implement **residue monitoring plans** to detect the illegal use or misuse of authorized veterinary medicines in food producing animals and investigate the reasons for residue violations

• Non-EU countries exporting to the EU must implement a residue monitoring plan which guarantees an equivalent level of food safety



REGULATION (EU) 2017/625 – OFFICIAL CONTROLS

 REGULATION (EU) 2017/625 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 15 March 2017 on official controls and other official activities performed to ensure the application of food and feed law, rules on animal health and welfare, plant health and plant protection products, **amending** Regulations (EC) No 999/2001, (EC) No 396/2005, (EC) No 1069/2009, (EC) No 1107/2009, (EU) No 1151/2012, (EU) No 652/2014, (EU) 2016/429 and (EU) 2016/2031 of the European Parliament and of the Council, Council Regulations (EC) No 1/2005 and (EC) No 1099/2009 and Council Directives 98/58/EC, 1999/74/EC, 2007/43/EC, 2008/119/EC and 2008/120/EC, and **repealing** Regulations (EC) No 854/2004 and (EC) No 882/2004 of the European Parliament and of the Council, Council Directives 89/608/EEC, 89/662/EEC, 90/425/EEC, 91/496/EEC, 96/23/EC, 96/93/EC and 97/78/ EC and Council Decision 92/438/EEC (Official Controls Regulation).



EU VMP RESIDUE LEGISLATION: OTHER

• Directive 96/22/EC: Banned the use of certain substances in food producing animals

• Directive 2001/82/EC: Established the rules for the authorisation and use of veterinary medicinal products

• Regulation (EC) No 853/2004 laying down specific hygiene rules for food of animal origin

• Directive 2004/41/EC repealing certain Directives concerning food hygiene and health conditions for the production and placing on the market of certain products of animal origin intended for human consumption and amending Council Directives 89/662/EEC and 92/118/EEC and Council Decision 95/408/EC




















DAIRY PLANT: LEGAL CONTROL MEASURES

Hygiene provisions formulated in Regulation (EC) 852/2004: food business operators have to comply with appropriate Community and national legislative provisions, related to the control of hazards in primary production and associated operations, including measures to control contamination arising from veterinary medicinal products.



TESTING AT THE DAIRY PLANT

Dairies have to perform autocontrol programmes (Regulation (EC) No 853/2004).

Regulation (EC) No 853/2004: food business operators in the dairy sector are not allowed to place on the market raw milk containing levels of antibiotic residues >MRL.

 \Rightarrow In practice: performance of **rapid screening tests** on milk Screening for β-lactam residues (penicillins and cephalosporins)

Spain: Real Decreto 1728/2007: also tetracyclines (one test per 5 tanker loads)

US: the testing of all incoming shipments of milk for β -lactam antibiotics is mandatory since January 1, 1992.

















Use of rapid tests for:

- fast product release e.g. carcasses in slaughter houses



- ante-mortem analysis on non-food matrices



saliva of pigs antibiotics - ILVO



feathers of poultry fluoroquinolones - RIKILT





17/11/2020

























| Priorizatio | n of the id | ent | ified r | isks | | æ 4 | Aigües de Barcelona |
|--|--------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------------|
| SANITARY RISK MANAGEMENT IN A DRINKING | SANI QUAN | TAR TIF | Y RISH | K DN | | | |
| WATER SUPPLY | | (Ris | Risk As Al | Sessmen Dapted by agi E Likeliho | t Matri BAR od x Se | x verity) | → . |
| DOCUMENTED | | | | 1 | Probabilida | d | |
| Severity: | | | 1 Decenal o más | 2 Cuatrienal | 3 Anual | 4 Trimestral | 5 Mensual |
| Toxicological | Pe | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| studies | aved | 5 | 5 | 10 | 15 | 20 | 25 |
| | ō | 10 | 10 | 20 | | -48 | 50 |
| <u>Likelihood</u>: Analytical data | + | R ≤ 11 5 ≤ R ≤ R ≥ 2 | 20 Rieso Rieso Rieso | go bajo go medio go elevado | | * | Aigües de Barcelona |







17/11/2020

















| | Quality Incidents (| (values > 100 μg/l) | Avera | age Values (µg/l |) |
|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| TRIHALOMETHANES | Before ISO 22000 (2008) | After ISO 22000 (2019) | Before ISO 22000 (2008) | After ISO 22000 (2019) | Reduction (%) |
| DWTP | 68 | 0 | 96,9 | 13,5 | 86 |
| Distribution Network | 116 | 0 | 96,0 | 44,8 | 53 |

















| 1.a Creation of the EUROPEAN FOOD SA | AFETY AUTHORITY (EFSA) |
|---|---|
| Food crises in the late 1990s (BSE, dioxins) | |
| Until 2002 the EC fully responsible of food risk analysis. Risk | assessment provided by scientific |
| committees. | |
| General Food Law (178/2002) created a European food safet | y system |
| Separated: | 1.2,2002 (JS) Official Journal of the European Communities |
| Responsibility for risk assessment (science) | (vari state policitare is vectory) |
| | REGULATION (EC) No 175(2002 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 28 January 2002 |

| 1.a Creation of the EUROPEAN FOOD SAFETY AUTHORITY (EFSA) EFSA established as source of: - scientific advice - scientific and technical support for the Community's legislation and policies on food and feed safety. and communication on risks associated with the food chain. EFSA Risk assessment and communication. European Commission, European Parliament and Member States Risk management (policy, management and enforcement). Enformed and and and and and and and and and an | . Food risk assessment ir | n the EU and EFSA | efsa construction effort authority |
|---|---|--|------------------------------------|
| EFSA established as source of: scientific advice scientific and technical support for the Community's legislation and policies on food and feed safety. and communication on risks associated with the food chain. EFSA Risk assessment and communication. European Commission, European Parliament and Member States Risk management (policy, management and enforcement). | 1.a Creation c | of the EUROPEAN FOOD SAFETY AUTHO | RITY (EFSA) |
| scientific advice scientific and technical support for the Community's legislation and policies on food and feed safety. and communication on risks associated with the food chain. EFSA Risk assessment and communication. European Commission, European Parliament and Member States Risk management (policy, management and enforcement). | EFSA established as source of: | | |
| scientific and technical support for the Community's legislation and policies on food and feed safety. and communication on risks associated with the food chain. EFSA Risk assessment and communication. European Commission, European Parliament and Member States Risk management (policy, management and enforcement). | - scientific advice | | |
| for the Community's legislation and policies on food and feed safety. and communication on risks associated with the food chain. EFSA Risk assessment and communication. European Commission, European Parliament and Member States Risk management (policy, management and enforcement). | - scientific and technical su | pport | |
| and communication on risks associated with the food chain. EFSA Risk assessment and communication. European Commission, European Parliament and Member States Risk management (policy, management and enforcement). | for the Community's | legislation and policies on food and fee | ed safety. |
| EFSA Risk assessment and communication. European Commission, European Parliament and Member States Risk management (policy, management and enforcement). | and communication on risks associated | with the food chain. | |
| Risk assessment and communication. European Commission, European Parliament and Member States Risk management (policy, management and enforcement). | EFSA | | |
| European Commission, European Parliament and Member States Risk management (policy, management and enforcement). | Risk assessment and communicat | ion. | |
| | European Commission, European Pa Risk management (policy, manage | arliament and Member States ement and enforcement). | |



| I. Food risk assessment in the EU and EFSA | ority |
|--|-------|
| 1.b Organization of EFSA | |
| Operational management | |
| Executive Director (ED) Appointed by EFSA's MB | |
| Legal representative of the Authority, responsible for operational matters, staffing issues and | |
| drawing up work programme. | |
| Management Team under responsibility of the ED collaborates in the day-to-day operation of | |
| EFSA. | |
| EFSA's experts | |
| Scientific Committee | |
| Senior scientists, with experience of work within scientific bodies, covering all disciplines across | |
| EFSA's areas of responsibility. | |
| Panels | |
| Scientists from across Europe with expertise in: risk assessment, modelling, toxicology, | |
| microbiology and pathology, epidemiology, animal production, analytical chemistry, food and feed | |
| processing, exposure assessment, statistics, | |

| CONTRACTOR REVORMENT CONTRACTOR REVORMENT CONTRACTOR | | 1.b Or | ganization of EFSA | | l |
|---|---|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| MANAGEMENT BOARD DEXEMPTING UBLECTOR Berthaulut BERNESS ERVICES NAMEROD BERNESS ERVICES NAMEROD INDUCES NONEL INDUCES INDUCES <th< th=""><th></th><th>Organisational Stru</th><th>cture on 01/09/2020</th><th></th><th></th></th<> | | Organisational Stru | cture on 01/09/2020 | | |
| LIXCUTIVE DERICHOR BOTHMAND BUSINESS SERVICES UNIVERSITY UNIVER | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | MANAGEM | ENT BOARD | | |
| BUSINESS SERVICES BUSINESS SERVICES INCLUS INCLUS INCLUS INCLUS< | | EXECUTIV | E DIRECTOR hard Un | | |
| BUSINESS SERVICES VINADUAND VINADUANT SERVICE VINADUANT SERVICE VINADUANT | | | CHEF SCIENTIST - SEN MUNICAS | OR POLICY PRCER PAGNOLL | |
| A VACUURAD A | BUSINESS SERVICES | | | | |
| Image: Construction of the construle of the construction of the construction of the const | SEVARADARO FINANCE LEGAL | ASSUBANCE | | | |
| COMPUTER SERVICES COMPUTER SERVICES COMPUTER SERVICES INSTRUCTS SECENT FIF C VALUATION INSTRUCTS SECENT FIF C VALUATION SECENT FIF C ASSISTANCE SECENT FIF C ASSISTANCE SECEN | P. PONMART D. P. PISHAL | DUDKEN | | | |
| COMPARTS EXPLOSE G. TODA (S. J.) SCELENTIFIC EVALUATION G. DE SZX AVELONDED SZX F. VERSON (S. J.) FUED RESCA 205 SSMENT SCELENTIFIC ASSISTANCE AVELONDED SZX F. VERSON (S. J.) RESCA 205 SSMENT SCELENTIFIC ASSISTANCE AVELONDED SZX F. VERSON (S. J.) RESCA 205 SSMENT RESCA 205 SSMENT RE | GLODAL PERFORMANCE SERVICES | RVICES SEVALIER | | | |
| SCHENTIFIC LYALUATION of RECULATED PRODUCTS G. G. SIZZ APULCINITION ICC:: APULCINITION ICC:: RESIDENT R. VIESING RESIDENT R. VIE | CORPORATE STRVICES | NATT (acting) | | | |
| of REGULATED PRODUCTS & SCIENTIFIC ASSISTANCE & COOPERATION A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & CALIANI A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) B STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) B STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) B STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (ASSISTANCE) B STATURE (ASSISTANCE) & STATURE (ASSISTANCE) A STATURE (A | SCIENTIFIC EVALUATION | RISK AS | SESSMENT | DMMUNICATION ENGAGEMENT | |
| APRILATIONE DESK: K. LANSESSKY, K. VERCHCK (A.J.) PESTICICE RESIDENT A. MORENER PESTICICE RESIDENT A. MORENER A. MORENER | of REGULATED PRODUCTS G. DE SEZE | & SCIENTIFI | C ASSISTANCE NER (a.i.) | & COOPERATION B. GALLANI | |
| PESTICICE REISONTS B. VIZZENEE (E. J.) PESTICICE PERS REVIEW N. TOLANARI PESTICICE PERS REVIEW PESTICICE PESTICICE PESTIC | APPLICATIONS DESK PUBLICATIONS DESK PUBLICATIONS DESK PUBLICATIONS DESK | e (a.c.) | AGSESSMENT AND METRICOOLOGICAL SUPPORT COMM | INCATION ENGAGEMENT & COOPERATION | |
| PESTICOT PER REVOID N. TRANNE N. TRANNE N. ROPCARTO PENA C. RO | PESTICIOE RESIDUES SUTRETH B. VACENENDE (a.A.) V. CURT | DN BOOLDGICAL WAZARDS AND CONTAMONANTS E. LIEBAMA CRIADO (A.L) | EVIDENCE MANAGEMENT | V. VILLANAR. | |
| T. ROBONOM | PESTICIOF PEER REVIEW FOOD INGRED M. TIRAMANI C. RONCANCI C. RONCANCI | INTE A SCIENTIFIC COMMITTEE A | | | |
| R. APOING (AL) | GNO A. AFORSO | T. HOBINSON | | ·***. | |













| to regulatory science | ato and mana | go upcortainty | in food ris | k assassmont | European Food Safety Authorit |
|--|--|--|---|---|---|
| 2.c Communica | ate and mana | ge uncertainty | in tood ris | k assessment | |
| | | | | | |
| EC: 35 Monwordser 2017 | Approx | kimate probability scale rec | ommended for ha | monised use in EFSA. | |
| 2,9903,944,2013,8128 | Probability term | Subjective probability | Additional optic | ons | |
| idance on Uncertainty Analysis in Scientific Assessments FFGA Scientific Committee. | Almost certain Extremely likely Very likely Likely About as likely as not Unlikely Very unlikely Extremely unlikely Almost impossible | 99-100% 95-99% 90-95% 66-90% 33-66% 10-33% 5-10% 1-5% 0-1% | More likely than not: > 50% | Unable to give any probability: range is 0-100% Report as "inconclusive", "cannot conclude", or "unknown" | |
| EVENT REPORT APPROVED: 09 July 2019 60:10.2003/10.41% 2019 EVA 1969 | efsa and the second sec | GUIDA ADOPTED: 2 doi: 10.290 | NCE DOCUM 1 November 2018 /j.efsa.2019.5520 | ENT | Apen 2 that may of the order set has it to consider, cannot |
| International Conference on Uncertainty in European Food Safety Authority and German Federal Institute for Risk Assessme | Risk Analysis | Gui | dance on C | ommunication of Uncerta | inty in Scientific |











| 4. The new EFSA regulation and | the future | efsa European Food Safety Authority |
|---|--|--|
| 6.9.2019 IN Official Journal of the European Union L 231/1 I (Legislative acts) REGULATIONS | Transparency of EU risk assessment | Quality & reliability of studies |
| REGULATION (EU) 2019/1381 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL of 20 June 2019 on the transparency and sustainability of the EU risk assessment in the food chain and amending Regulations (EC) No 178/2002, (EC) No 1829/2003, (EC) No 1831/2003, (EC) No 1035/2004, (EC) No 1331/2008, (EC) No 1107/2009, (EU) 2015/2283 and Directive 2001/18/EC | Improved risk communication | Sustainable governance |





| | European Food Sarety Auth |
|---|--|
| 4.c Th | ne Future |
| Holistic knowledge. Risk - benefit assess | ment (socio-economic and sustainable) |
| Transdisciplinary expertise. Challenges | of recruiting scientists |
| Data sharing and reuse | |
| Collaboration and sustainability | |
| New technologies. New way of working | APPROVED: 14 June 2019 doi: 10.2003/j.efsa.2019.e170622 |
| | |
| | Food Safety Regulatory Research Needs 2030 |


































| | a _w T | emperature (°C) | Days to inactivate Salmonella by 1 log | |
|---|------------------|---|---|---|
| Lethality treatment | 0.88 | 10 15 25 | 25 15 5 Ø | |
| Bo | 0.93 | 10 15 25 | 38 22 8 ∅ | _ |
| Developed mode to predict the inactivation of Salmonella in fuet | | risk manage storage an strategy to dry-ferment | e ment tool to desig d establish a risk enhance Salmoneli ed sausages | n a corrective minimization la reduction in |



























| | | 手構成 | | Food Sufery Authentich | |
|--|-------------------|------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Analytical Strategies — Samplin | g | | | | |
| COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 1881/2006 of 19 December 2006 setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodcuffs (Text with ELA relevance) | | Sampling | protoco | l project | s ^{copa*} cogeca |
| COMMUSISION REJULATION (EC) No 1126/2007 of 28 September 2007 | | Official controls (CE/401/2006) | IWA Seattle | CEN pr24333.2 | AFNOR XP V03-777 |
| amending Regulation (EC) No 1881/2006 sening maximum levels for certain contaminants in fondscuffs as regards Fasarium toxins in maize and maize products | Project leader | DGSANCO | ADCS, ICC, AACC, ANSI | CEN TC338 (DE, FR, UK) | AFNOR |
| (Text with ELA relevance) | Publication | 23/02/2006 | Hid 2009 7 | Summer- 2009 | June 2008 |
| COMMISSION REGULATION (EC) No 401/2006 of 23 february 2006 | Scope | Regulated mycotoxins | bechnological and safety criteria, | sectinological and safety criteria | technological and safety criteria |
| laying down the methods of sampling and analysis for the official control of the levels of mycetexins in foodstuffs | Number of samples | - | Project based on pr24333.2 | pr24333.2 (mcortakety: 8%) | Lass than pr24333.2 uncertaint: 1757 |
| (Text with EEA relevance) | Statistical model | NO | YES for technologica and safety criteria NO for GNO | YES for technologica and safety criticita | YES for technological and safety criteria |
| EU Legislative Requirements | Products | Food products | All gesins and derived products | Cereals and derived products | Cereals and derived products |
| | Transport | Road, Railway, Cargo | Road, Railway, Cargo | Road, Railway, Cargo | Road, Railway, Cargo |
|) M. Suman, Barilla SpA Nov-2020 | | | | | |













| 11101 | | · v n v x n n n | | | | | · / · |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|---|--|
| NAME OF A DESCRIPTION OF A | ioinet | enes unu | 2011.101 | | | pprou | |
| Samplir | ng: 20g | | | | | | 118 |
| Extracti | on: 100 r | nL of a mixture | of acetonitrile/wa | ter (84:16, v/ | iv) | | |
| 3. Homoge | enization | : Ultraturrax ble | nding 3 minutes | | | | |
| 4. Filtration: 6 mL | | | | | | | |
| 5. Addition to a vial containing internal standards: $ZAN + {}^{(1)}C_{-1}$ -DON | | | | | | | |
| 6. Evaporation to devia containing internal statistical data $2.74 + (-0.15)^{-10}$ | | | | | | | |
| 7 Clean u | n: throug | ny Muco Son® 2 | 26 column | | | | |
| 7. Clean u | p. unoug | in Mycoseps 2 | 20 column. | | | | |
| 8. Final preconcentration and LC-MS ^{II} analysis | | | | | | | |
| aralanone (ZAN), n isotope-labelled (| which doe ¹³ C ₁₅)-DO | s not occur in natu N internal standard | re, was used as inter d was used for the de | al standard for termination of t | quantification o he other trychotl | f Zearalenone necenes and i | e (ZON). n particular for DO! |
| aralanone (ZAN), n isotope-labelled (efficiently correct | which doe (¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses Parention m2 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample prep Fragments monitored m/z | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m Normatzed Collision Energy | al standard for termination of t atrix effects and RT. 000 | quantification o the other trychotl l ion-suppression | f Zearalenond necenes and i effects in th | e (ZON). n particular for DO! e ESI source. |
| aralanone (ZAN), a isotope-labelled (efficiently correct Mycotaxin pwv-chacoor | which doe ¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses Parention m2 371 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample prej Fragments monitored mt 281, 311 | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m Normatzed Collision Energy % | al standard for termination of t atrix effects and 100- 100- 100- 100- | quantification o the other trychoth lion-suppression | f Zearalenon necenes and i effects in th | e (ZON). n particular for DO? e ESI source. |
| aralanone (ZAN), a isotope-labelled (efficiently correct Mycotom INV+CH3COOJ IDON+CH3COOJ | which doe (¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses Parention m2 371 355 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample prej Fragments monitored miz 201, 311 205, 265 | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m Normated Collision Energy % 24 24 | al standard for cermination of t atrix effects and RE 000 1000 1000 1000 | quantification o he other trychotl l ion-suppression 2:2505 325 325 327 453 607 554 554 554 554 | f Zearalenond necenes and i effects in th | 2 (ZON). n particular for DO! e ESI source. (NVALENCO) (DECOSSNVALENCLO (DOP |
| aralanone (ZAN), a isotope-labelled (efficiently correct Mycotom INV+CH3COOJ- IDON+CH3COOJ- I(13C15)-DON +CH3COOJ- | which doe (¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses of Parent ion m2 371 355 370 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample prep <i>Flagments montowed</i> <i>mt</i> 281, 311 295, 265 310, 290 | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m Mornated Collisie Dengy % 24 24 24 24 | al standard for cermination of t atrix effects and refects and refects | quantification o the other trychoth ion-suppression 350 350 350 564 200 564 565 564 565 564 565 564 565 564 565 564 565 564 565 | f Zearalenone necenes and i effects in th | e (ZON). n particular for DO! e ESI source. [NVALENCIO] [DECOSSNVALENCIO] [DECOSSNVALENCIO] [DECOSSNVALENCIO] |
| aralanone (ZAN), n isotope-labelled (efficiently correct <i>My-cuscop</i> pw-cuscop (pou-cuscop (f3C15).001 - Cuscop (FUS-X-CUSCO) | which doe ⁽¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses of Parent ion m ² 371 355 370 413 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample prep <i>Fiagrants monitored</i> <i>mz</i> 201, 311 205, 265 310, 200 352, 187 | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m <u>Normated</u> 24 24 24 20 | al standard for termination of t atrix effects and recommended to the standard to the standard | quantification o the other trychoth $1 \text{ ion-suppression}^{2505}$ 335 230 564 230 567 278 664 | f Zearalenon necenes and i effects in th | e (ZON). n particular for DO? e ESI source. [nevalence] [denservalence] [denservalence] |
| aralanone (ZAN), n isotope-labelled (efficiently correct //////////////////////////////////// | which doe ⁽¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses of <i>Parent ion</i> <i>m</i> ² 371 355 370 413 397 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample prep Fragments monitoed m2 281, 311 295, 285 310, 290 352, 187 237, 173 | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m Normaticed Collece homy 24 24 24 20 20 24 | al standard for termination of t thrix effects and to to to to to to to to to to to to to | quantification o the other trychoth lion-suppression 2,250 2,20 6,45 7,15 7,1 | f Zearalenonn necenes and i effects in th | e (ZON). n particular for DO? e ESI source. INVALINCE (DEGESMINALINE O DOP (DOWNARCATO) (DOWNARCATO) 4 da 7 (do 10 do 1 |
| aralanone (ZAN), n isotope-labelled (efficiently correct //////////////////////////////////// | which doe (¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses of <i>Parention</i> m2 371 365 370 413 397 384 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample prep Fragments monitowed m2 281, 311 295, 265 310, 290 353, 187 327, 173 349, 307 | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m Normated Collion Energy 24 24 24 20 24 24 20 | al standard for termination of th trix effects and trian of the standard for the standard f | quantification o he other trychold d ion-suppression | f Zearalenon necenes and i effects in th | 2 (ZON). n particular for DON e ESI source. (NVALENCO) (DECESSINVALENCO (DO (DONIMARCATO) ** 44.77 (DTS ACETEOR) ** 44.77 (DTS ACETEOR) ** 44.77 (DTS ACETEOR) ** 44.77 (DTS ACETEOR) |
| aralanone (ZAN), i isotope-labelled (efficiently correct <i>Mocobado</i> PNV-CHICOOF [DOH-CHICOOF [DOH-CHICOOF] [FUS-XH-CHICOOF] [FUS-XH-CHICOOF] [DOS-CHICOOF] [DO | which doe (¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses (Parent ion m2 371 365 370 413 397 384 442 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample prep Fragments monitowed mr. 201, 311 205, 265 310, 290 353, 197 337, 173 349, 307 361 | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m <u>Normales</u> <u>Collision Energy</u> <u>24</u> <u>24</u> <u>24</u> <u>20</u> <u>24</u> <u>20</u> <u>24</u> <u>20</u> <u>24</u> <u>20</u> <u>24</u> | al standard for remination of t atrix effects and | quantification o he other trychold lion-suppression 350^{-350} 20^{-551} 275^{-651} 278^{-651} 669 | f Zearalenond necenes and i effects in th 2.17 | 2 (ZON). п particular for DO? e ESI source. [ВУЛЕНОСО] [DE05589/ALENCO DO? [D019888RCATO] 3 447 [5+6 ACTEDO?] 144 154 154 154 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 157 |
| aralanone (ZAN), n isotope-labelled (efficiently correct <i>Mocotosio</i> PNV-CH3COO] PNV-CH3COO] PNV-CH | which doe (¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses Parent ion m2 371 365 370 413 397 384 442 484 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample prej Fragments montoed motion 281, 311 295, 265 310, 290 353, 107 237, 173 349, 307 381 423 | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m Normated 24 24 24 24 20 24 24 20 24 24 24 20 24 24 | al standard for cermination of t atrix effects and to the standard for the | quantification o he other trychold l ion-suppression 2.20 12.453 600 2.20 660 2.28 660 | f Zearalenond necenes and i effects in th 2.17 | 2 (ZON). п particular for DO? в ESI source. (веление) (режение) рокалисо доя рокалисо доя рокалисо доя тота со |
| aralanone (ZAN), h isotope-labelled (efficiently correct <i>Morotado</i> PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO PNV-CH3COO | which doe (¹³ C ₁₅)-DO for losses <i>Parent lon m2</i> 371 355 370 413 397 384 442 484 317 | s not occur in natu N internal standard during sample pre Fragmats rankbood miz 201, 311 205, 265 310, 290 352, 107 237, 173 349, 307 301 423 299, 273, 200, 161 | re, was used as inter d was used for the de paration as well as m Normsteed Collece homey be 24 24 20 24 24 20 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 | al standard for remination of t utrix effects and to the standard for the | quantification o he other trychold l ion-suppression 255 25 20 Asy 20 Asy 278 Asy 278 Asy 278 Asy 278 Asy 278 Asy 278 Asy 278 Asy 278 | f Zearalenond necenes and i effects in th | 2 (ZON). n particular for DO? e ESI source. (INVALENCE) (DEGESMINALENCE O DO? (DONMARCATO) 3 44.7 (35-16 ACENDO?) N.40 3 44.7 (32-19.7 (172) a.4 18.4 19.7 (32-19.7 (32) (32) 19.7 (32) 19 |







| | Contraction of the second seco |
|---|--|
| DON & DON-3G quantification DON-3-glucoside analytical method Bangeneration Constraints of the methanolytatic (00:24) Constraints of the methanolytatic (00: | n by lon-Trap LC-MS\MS n, b, f, |
| The method was in-house validated on a bread matrix: * matrix-matched linearity (i ² > 0.99) established range of 10 - 200 µg kg ⁴ * trueness expressed as recovery was close to 90% > good intermediate precision (overall RSD < 8%) * adequate detection/ quantitation limits (4 and 11 µg kg ⁴ , respectively) > expanded uncertainty equal to 29%. Suman, M.; Bergamini, E.; Catellani, D.; Manzitti, A. *Development and validation of a liquid chromatographylinear ion trap mass spectrometry method for the quantitative determination of desynvivalenci-3 glucoside in processed clereal-derived products* Food Chemistry 2013, 136, pp. 1568-1576 | Histogram of uncertainty combined relative uncertainty intermediate precision reference material recovery volumetric measuring devices instrumental calibration curve balance devices |
| © M. Suman, Barilla SpA Nov-2020 | 0,0000 0,0500 0,1000 0,1500 |




































































Nelis et al., Highly sensitive electrochemical detection of the marine toxins okadaic acid and domoic acid with carbon black modified screen printed electrodes, **(Submitted)**

Take home message:

Carbon black SPEs have better stability and longterm performance as normal carbon SPEs.

Good stability, selectivity and reproducibility were obtained with DPV based detection and direct immobilization

Nelis et al., Highly sensitive electrochemical detection of the marine toxins okadaic acid and domoic acid with carbon black modified screen printed electrodes, **(Submitted)**









Take home message:

Pixel extraction shows potential for toxin qualification

Next step is developing a smartphone based darkfield microscope

Nelis et al., Electrochemical detection of the marine toxins okadaic acid and domoic acid with carbon black modified screen printed electrodes, **(Submitted)**

<section-header><section-header><text><text><page-footer>



List of publications

- 1. Nelis JLD, Tsagkaris A, Dillon M, Hajslova J, Elliott CT. Systematic review of smartphone-based optical assays in the food safety field. TrAC Trends Anal Chem https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2020.115934 (IF 8.43; H-index 142; TC 0)
- 2. Nelis JLD, Zhao Y, Bura L, Rafferty K, Elliott CT, Campbell K (2020) A randomised combined channel approach for the quantification of colour and intensity based assays with smartphones. Anal. Chem. (IF 6.35; H-Index 305; TC 1)
- Nelis JLD, Migliorelli D, Jafari S, Generelli S, Lou-Franco J, Salvador JP, Marco MP, Cao C, Elliott CT, Campbell K (2020) The benefits of carbon black, gold and magnetic nanomaterials for point-of-harvest electrochemical quantification of domoic acid. Microchim Acta 187. (IF 5.48; H-index 74; TC 1)
- 4. Nelis JLD, Bura L, Zhao Y, Burkin KM, Rafferty K, Elliott CT, Campbell K (2019) The Efficiency of Color Space Channels to Quantify Color and Color Intensity Change in Liquids, pH Strips, and Lateral Flow Assays with Smartphones. Sensors 19. (IF 3.03; H-index 132; TC 3)
- Zhao Y, Choi SY, Nelis JLD, Zhou H, Cao C, Campbell K, Elliott C, Rafferty K Smartphone Modulated Colorimetric Reader with Color Subtraction. Proceedings of the IEEE Sensors 2019 Conference, Montreal, Canada 1–4 (IF 0.0; H-index 35; TC 1)
- Tsagkaris AS, Nelis JLD, Ross GMS, Jafari S, Guercetti J, Kopper K, Zhao Y, Rafferty K, Salvador JP, Migliorelli D, Salentijn GIJ, Campbell K, Marco MP, Elliot CT, Nielen MWF, Pulkrabova J, Hajslova J (2019) Critical assessment of recent trends related to screening and confirmatory analytical methods for selected food contaminants and allergens. TrAC Trends Anal Chem 121:115688. (IF 8.43; H-index 142; TC 9)
- 7. Nelis JLD, Tsagkaris AS, Zhao Y, Lou-Franco J, Nolan P, Zhou H, Cao C, Rafferty K, Hajslova J, Elliott CT, Campbell K (2019) The end user sensor tree: An end-user friendly sensor database. Biosens Bioelectron 130. (IF 9.52; H-index 170; TC 9)
- 8. Nelis J, Elliott C, Campbell K (2018) "The smartphone's guide to the galaxy": In situ analysis in space. Biosensors 8. (no IF; H-index 25; TC 4)
- 9. Nelis JLD, Salvador P, Marco MP, Elliott CT, Campbell, K. A plasmonic biosensor array exploiting plasmon coupling between gold nanorods and spheres for domoic acid detection via two methods. (Under review)
- 10. Nelis JLD, Migliorelli D, Mühlebach L, Generelli S, Stewart L, Elliott CT, Campbell K. Highly sensitive electrochemical detection of the marine toxins okadaic acid and domoic acid with carbon black modified screen printed electrodes. (Submitted)
- 11. A.S. Tsagkaris, J.L.D. Nelis, K. Campbell, C.T. Elliott, J. Pulkarabova a, J. Hajslova. Smartphone and microfluidic systems in medical and food analysis (Under review)



Portable Food Safety Testing Devices The Future of Food Safety Testing

Bert Popping ST4F Virtual Meeting November 25, 2020









































































J. AOAC Special Section on Portable Food Safety Testing Devices













INTRODUCTION Food allergy patterns depends on age, genetic and environmental factors European Union (EU) Regulation №1169/2011 → 14 priority allergens Each industry has particular risk management requirements Each protein has different extraction conditions Which allergens include in a multiplex allergen test? Image: Imag





| Whey pro | oducts | (β –la | ctoglob | oulin), | milk, co | aseina | te or ch | neese | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|
| | 6 | | | | | C | | | | |
| s there a | therm | al pro | cess im | plied? | ·c | | | | | |
| s there a Detectior Product (ppm) | therm n of so Whey Conce | al pro me da Protein | cess im airy ingr Swee | plied? edient | S Acid | whey | Na | Cas | Milk p | owder |
| s there a Detection Product (ppm) | therm of so Whey Conce Casein | al pro me do Protein entrate | cess im airy ingr Swee _{Casein} | plied? edient t whey | 'S Acid Casein | whey LGB | Na | Cas LGB | Milk p Casein | oowder LGB |
| s there a Detection Product (ppm) | therm of sol Whey Conce Casein N | al pro me da Protein entrate LGB N | cess im airy ingr swee Casein N | plied? edient t whey LGB | 'S Acid Casein N | whey LGB P | Na Casein N | Cas LGB N | Milk p Casein N | bowder LGB N |
| s there a Detection Product (ppm) 1 5 | therm n of so Whey Conce Casein N P | al pro me da Protein entrate LGB N P | cess im airy ingr Swee Casein N N | plied? edient twhey LGB N P | S Acid Casein N N | whey LGB P P | Na Casein N P | Cas LGB N | Milk p Casein N P | bowder LGB N |
| s there a Detection Product (ppm) | therm n of so Whey Conce Casein N P P | al pro me da Protein entrate LGB N P P | cess im airy ingr Swee Casein N N N | plied? edient twhey LGB N P P | S Acid Casein N N N | whey LGB P P P | Na Casein N P P | Cas LGB N N | Milk p Casein N P P | Dowder LGB N N |

| Proteon Du | o Soy | | | | | | | ZE |
|--------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|------------|----------------------|-------|
| • Flour soy, | soy prot | ein isolate | e 7 | 3 | | R. | | |
| Soy prote | ins (ppm) | Protein | isolate 1 | Proteir | n isolate 2 | Protein is | solate 3 | - |
| | | β-CG | Gly | β-CG | Gly | β-CG | Gly | - |
| | 3 | Р | Р | P | Ν | Ν | P | |
| | 6 | Р | Р | Р | Ν | Ν | Р | |
| | 12 | Р | Р | Р | Ν | Р | Р | |
| | 120 | Р | Р | P | Р | Р | P | |
| • Industrial | negative, processe | P= positive es: thermo | al , hydrol | ysis , extr | usion pro | ocess | | |
| Soy proteins (ppm) | Tofu | | Pate | | Soy infant formula 1 | | Soy infant formula : | |
| | β-CG | T-Gly | β-CG | T-Gly | β-CG | T-Gly | β-CG | T-Gly |
| 2 | Ν | Р | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν |
| 10 | Ν | Р | Ν | Р | Ν | Ν | Ν | Р |
| 20 | Р | Р | Ν | Р | Ν | Ν | Ν | Р |

Р

P

Ν

Ρ

Ν

P

Ν

P




































| ako mycotoxin analysis | out of | the la | horato | v = w | hat d | o wo r | Shoor | |
|--|--------------|---|---------------|------------|-------|--------|--------|------|
| ake mycoloxin analysis | outor | | Julai | // y — v | matu | | ieeu : | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| A reliable method – fit for | purpos | е | | | | | | |
| DA*OUICK DON (Art. No. 85908) | Trilogy" re | ference mate | rial (wheat) | ý. | | | | |
| rget value | ND | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 4.5 | 6.2 |
| covery [%] | - | 106 | 93 | 93 | 100 | 95 | 104 | 93 |
| | Trilogy" ref | erence mate | rial (corn) | c | | - | | 2 |
| rget value | ND | 0.5 | 1.1 | 1.9 | 2.7 | 3.6 | 4.8 | 6.2 |
| covery [%] | | 113 | 104 | 102 | 105 | 100 | 104 | 97 |
| DA®QUICK Aflatoxin RQS (Art. No. R5205) | Trilogy* ret | erence mate | rial (corn) | | | | | |
| rget value | ND | 1.7 | 5.9 | 14.3 | 20.2 | 31.6 | 50.8 | 98.7 |
| covery [%] | - | | 97 | 110 | 104 | 106 | 96 | 82 |
| DA®QUICK Aflatoxin RQS ECO (Art. No. R5206) | Trilogy" ref | erence mate | rial (corn) | | | | | |
| rget value | ND | 1.7 | 5.9 | 14.3 | 20.2 | 31.6 | 50.8 | 98.7 |
| covery [%] | - | ÷ . | 88 | 84 | 93 | 88 | 100 | 97 |
| DA®QUICK Zearalenon RQS (Art. No. R5504) | Trilogy* ref | erence mate | rial (corn) | | | | | |
| rget value | ND | 59 | 88 | 121 | 165 | 267 | 472 | 1021 |
| covery [%] | 14 | 73 | 117 | 121 | 111 | 86 | 82 | 84 |
| DA®QUICK Fumonisin RQS (Art. No. R5606) | Trilogy" ref | erence mate | rial (corn) | | | | | |
| rget value | ND | 0.6 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 3.2 | 6.8 | 9.2 | 12.5 |
| covery [%] | | 92 | 111 | 98 | 94 | 101 | 84 | |
| | | e (for spike) | evel see tare | et values) | | | | • |
| DA®QUICK T-2 / HT-2 RQS (Art. No. R5304) | Oats sampl | and the second se | | | | | | |
| IDA®QUICK T-2 / HT-2 RQS (Art. No. R5304) arget value | Oats sampl | 50 | 100 | 200 | 400 | 600 | 800 | 1000 |





























































MULTIPLEX APPROACH

We offer tests allowing simultaneous detection of several contaminants including: residues of veterinary drugs (including antibiotics), toxins (mycotoxins) or adulterants (melamine).













EXTENSO is the all-in-one multiplex, fast, easy and automated solution the dairy industry needs to detect the widest range of contaminants in milk. All in the shortest possible time and providing a simple data-processing interface.



BENEFITS



MULTIPLE Detect more than 100 antibiotic residues and toxins in milk in one single test.





RAPID

Only 13 minutes to get your results. EXTENSO helps you to take immediate action.

CONNECTED

Remote access, multiple data export options, full traceability and automated actions.

<section-header>

| Channel | Drug family | Compounds detected |
|---------|---|--|
| AFLA | mycotoxins | aflatoxin M1 and aflatoxin B1 |
| BETA | β -lactams: penicillins + cefalosporins | amoxicillin, ampicillin, benzylpenicillin, phenoxymethylpenicillin, cloxacillin, nafcillin, dicloxacillin, oxacillin, penethamate, piperacillin, ticarcillin and aspoxicillincefalonium, cefazolin, cefoperazone, cefquinome, ceftiofur, desfuroylceftiofur, cephapirin, desacetylcephapirin, cefacetrile, cefuroxime, ceftriaxone and ceftizoxime |
| САР | phenicols | chloramphenicol |
| CEFA | β-lactams: cefalosporins | cefalexin and cefadroxile |
| COLI | polymyxins | colistin |
| ERYTHRO | macrolides | erythromycin A, gamithromycin and roxithromycin |
| GENTA | aminoglycosides | gentamycin and sisomycin |
| LINCO | lincosamides | lincomycin, clindamycin and pirlimycin |
| MELA | melamine and pyrimidine derivatives | Melamine, ammeline, baquiloprim and trimethoprim |
| NEO | aminoglycosides | neomycin B and apramycin |
| QUINO | (fluoro)quinolones | danofloxacin, enrofloxacin, ciprofloxacin, marbofloxacin, ofloxacin, difloxacin, enofloxacin, lomefloxacin, flumequine, norfloxacin, pefloxacine orbilfloxacin, orbilfloxacin, oxolinic acid, nalidixic acid and sarafloxacin |
| SDX | sulfadoxine | sulfadoxine |
| SPIRA | macrolides | spiramycin and neospiramycin |
| STREPTO | aminoglycosides | dihydrostreptomycin and streptomycin |
| SULFA | sulfonamides | sulfadiazine, sulfamerazine, sulfadimethoxine, sulfamethazine, sulfamethoxazole, sulfaquinoxaline, sulfamonomethoxine, sulfamethoxypyridazine, sulfaethoxypyridazine, sulfaethoxy |
| TETRA | tetracyclines | tetracycline, chloortetracycline, oxytetracycline, doxycycline, mynocycline, demeclocycline, sancycline, amicycline, meclocycline and methacycline |
| TYLO | macrolides | tylosin A, desmycosin and tilmycosin |



START FROM MONITORING AND... DETERMINE THE ANTIBIOTIC RISK PROFILE THEN ADOPT A SPECIFIC SCREENING PLAN

CHOOSE YOUR CUSTOM CONFIGURATION



Define your own surveillance plan: check and pay only for the channels corresponding to the contaminants you wish to detect in your sample.



| Flexib | oility: >100K com | binations in c | one kit |
|--------|-------------------|----------------|--|
| EX | TENSO CHANNELS | TEST CONFIG | |
| 1 | QUINO | x | |
| 2 | CAP | X | |
| 3 | AFLA M1 | X | |
| 4 | QUINO | x | |
| 5 | AZINE / MELA | x | |
| 6 | TETRA | x | Combinatory analysis = (2 ⁿ)-1 |
| 7 | SULFA | x | , , , , , |
| 8 | NEO | x | n = 17 types of contaminants |
| 9 | GENTA | x | n – 17 types of containmants |
| 10 | STREPTO | x | |
| 11 | TYLO | x | 131.071 virtual screening |
| 12 | CEFA | x | plans in one Kit |
| 13 | LINCO | x | |
| 14 | SDX | x | |
| 15 | SPIRA | x | |
| 16 | ERYTHRO | x | |
| 17 | COLL | X | |

| NT (D. | Channel selec | SAMPER | 20180362 7 | ~ | 8710 STRAC | -144701 (2) | 14× |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------|--|--------------|---|--|----------------|
| EAD F0750117060A | KIT TYPE 075 BATCH N* 17060A EXPDATE 01406/2018 | | | 1 | READ F07501170604 81T TYPE 075 TIST # 847CH NY 120604 TIST # 847CH NY 120604 | | <u></u> |
| | HETHOD ID : 07501 | ADAKING U | | 0-Miter | | ATANYA MATA | |
| INA THE SELEC | | | | | MATRIX TYPE SELECTION | | |
| Whey Sweet Powder | vame | WS | W1 | | Whey g-lactally up n Powder | Short Name Gro | oup |
| Whey Protein Hydrolysate Pewder | , | WPH | W1 | ~ | Whey Protein Concentrate 75 enriched & Lactalburnin Powder | WPC75 A-PB W5 | ~ |
| Whey Native Demineralized Prote | ein 28 Powder | NDWP28 | W2 | | * Whey Protein Concentrate 80 enriched α-Lactalburnin Powder | WPC80 A-PR W5 | |
| Whey Demineralized Protein 28 P | /owder | DWP28 | W2 | \sim | * Whey Electrodialyzed Powder | WEPxx W5 | |
| Whey Sweet Modified Protein 28 P | Powder | M5WP28 | W2 | | 🦋 Cassinate Sodium Pawder | CSP WG | |
| State of the Party of the | | | | | and the second se | | |
| efault method | ар — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — | ⊥ Mar Éi Mar © 06:1 | Validato Ager Ager 1 02/03/2018 | NEXT HEADINE | | Valida Monager O 06:13 42/83/241 | a 1652 HEDS MI |





















| The increment between the concentrations tested for each complete dependent on the level of spiking (ppb → ppm) The number of replicates tested at each concentration is based of closeness to the MR(P)L. Concentration tested Number of replicates |
|--|
| The number of replicates tested at each concentration is based of closeness to the MR(P)L. Concentration tested Number of replicates |
| Concentration tested Number of replicates |
| |
| ≤0.5 MRL 20 |
| >0.5 MRL - <0.9 MRL 40 |
| ≥0.9 MRL - ≤ MRL 60 |
| > MRL 20 |































| | Nanoantimicrobials |
|---|--|
| NPs do not generate antimicrobial resistence | Cupper, silver, zinc, titanium dioxide Antimicrobial effects: Plasma membrane permeabilization Membrane lipid peroxidation Alteration of proteins Inhibition of protein assembly and activity Denaturation of nucleic acids Broad spectrum antimicrobial, antiviral |




























Outline

- 1. TEST Developing an end-user friendly sensor database
- 2. Review on Gold nanozymes
- 3. Synthesis and characterisation of AuNSt
 - 1. Catalytic properties of AuNSt
 - 2. Spectroscopic properties of AuNSt
- 4. Smartphone-based detection of AuNSt
- 5. Smartphone-based detection of Mycobacterium bovis
- 6. Summary of achievements
- 7. Research outputs derived from this thesis

FoodSmartphone is funded by the European Community's Horizon 2020 Framework Programme under Grant Agreement - 720325

1. TEST – Developing an end-user friendly sensor database Micro-org. **Commercial assays** FLISA An online and interactive database 5 was developed aiming to provide an 000 organised classification of sensors, SPR commercialised or otherwise. Test strips MyT AqT MRO in others in strips in ELISA in PCR Aquatic toxins Impedimetric • Mycotoxins ublished assays Pesticides publicatior Sequencing • Microorganisms 50 PCR-AuNP 67 % Pathogens: 158 sensors ELISA-AuNP ę Spoilage: 39 sensors SERS こ PST MyT AqT MRO Su **EC-Sensors** Chroma Vibrational E Strips C Others Fluoresence Spectro 🗖 EC ELISA E AMS PCR Nelis, J. L. D., Tsagkaris, A. S., Zhao, Y., Lou-Franco, J., Nolan, P., Zhou, H., ... & Campbell, K. (2019). The end user sensor tree: An end-user friendly sensor database. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 130, 245-253.

2. Review on Gold nanozymes

Over 200 published research papers were reviewed to present the current progress Top-down and bottom-up approaches on gold nanozymes and their applications. Conjugation chemistry Characterization methods Catalytic properties of AuNPs Cell protection Cancer therapy and ROS regulation Biomedical applications Cellular imaging Clinical diagnostics ROS generation Biosensors and diagnostics o Cell protection Food safety Environmental analysis Other applications Environmental applications Morphology • Food safety applications Surface chemistry and coating Other applications Nanoenvironment conditions

Lou-Franco, J., Das, B., Elliott, C., & Cao, C. (2020). Gold Nanozymes: From Concept to Biomedical Applications. Nano-Micro Letters, 13(1), 1-36.





0.25

0.00

Wavelength / nm

In preparation – Retrieving peroxidase-like activity of gold nanostars for the smartphone-based detection of *Mycobacterium bovis* (Lou-Franco, J., ... & Cao, C.) – *Nano Research*



3.2 Spectroscopic properties of AuNSt



In preparation – SERS-based Detection of Mercury (Hg²⁺) Ions Using Star-shaped Nanozyme with Inverse Sensitivity (Logan, N.*, Lou-Franco, J.*, ... & Cao, C.) – Nano-Micro Letters



4. Smartphone-based detection of AuNSt

Color Detector

Red (R), Green (G), Blue (B) and Δ RGB values are measured with a smartphone app from a AuNSt sample used to oxidise TMB. Each colour channel is plotted to analyse its suitability to quantify the concentration of oxTMB (parameter directly proportional to the presence of AuNSt and, therefore, to the concentration of target analyte).







5. Smartphone-based detection of M. bovis 2.0 Color detector App In-house App Linear fitting Linear fitting Plate reader DoseResp fitting The assay developed uses the peroxidase-like activity of AuNSt 15 Smartphone predicition to generate a colourimetric _{топт} / а. u. 1.0 signal read with a smartphone LOD= 7.2·10³ cfu/ml R²=0.998 Slope: 1.072 R²: 0.978 camera. Abs 0.5 1.134 Slope: 1.455 R²: 0.839 0.529 + $1 + 10^{(4.972 - x)(-0.593)}$ LOD=7.2 · 10³ cfu/ml 0.0 log ([M. bovis] / cfu ml⁻¹) log ([M. bovis] / cfu ml⁻¹) Specific for M. bovis 2.5 Predicted values Linear fitting Color Detector predictions 2.0 outperform prediction QuantColorimtryApp ones / a. u. 1.5 Slope: 0.986 R²: 0.991 reader | Abs_{370 mm} ' Smartphone MAE is not Plate I plate outperformed by 0.5 reader 0.0 M. smegmatis | ⊨. ontrol E. coli K12 M. bovis /e Control log ([M. bovis] / cfu ml1) Nec

In preparation – Retrieving peroxidase-like activity of gold nanostars for the smartphone-based detection of *Mycobacterium bovis* (Lou-Franco, J., ... & Cao, C.) – *Nano Research*

6. Summary of achievements

Plasmonic nanomaterials

Review of Gold nanozymes

Study of catalytic properties of AuNSt

Study of SERS properties of AuNSt

Microbiological contamination

Review available sensors & creation of online database

Detection of *M. bovis* using a smartphone

Detection of *L. monocytogenes* and *C. jejuni* through a DNA-based approach

Future implications

Development of ultra-sensitive diagnostic assays exploiting the spectroscopic properties of Au-Ag bimetallic NPs

Applicability of the nanozyme activity of AuNSt for colourimetric sensing approaches

Smartphone-based quantification of colourimetric assays

7. Research outputs derived from this thesis

Publications

- 1. Nelis, J. L. D., Tsagkaris, A. S., Zhao, Y., Lou-Franco, J., Nolan, P., Zhou, H., ... & Campbell, K. (2019). The end user sensor tree: An end-user friendly sensor database. Biosensors and Bioelectronics, 130, 245-253.
- Nelis, J. L., Migliorelli, D., Jafari, S., Generelli, S., Lou-Franco, J., Salvador, J. P., ... & Campbell, K. (2020). The benefits of carbon black, gold and magnetic nanomaterials for point-ofharvest electrochemical quantification of domoic acid. Microchimica Acta, 187(3), 164.
- 3. Lou-Franco, J., Das, B., Elliott, C., & Cao, C. (2020). Gold Nanozymes: From Concept to Biomedical Applications. Nano-Micro Letters, 13(1), 1-36.
- 4. Zhao, Y., Choi, S. Y., Lou-Franco, J., Nelis, J. L. D., Zhou, H., Cao, C., ... & Rafferty, K. (2020). Smartphone modulated colorimetric reader with color subtraction. Proceedings of IEEE Sensors.

Under preparation:

- 5. SERS-based Detection of Mercury (Hg²⁺) lons Using Star-shaped Nanozyme with Inverse Sensitivity (Logan, N.*, Lou-Franco, J.*, ... & Cao, C.) Nano-Micro Letters
- 6. Retrieving peroxidase-like activity of gold nanostars for the smartphone-based detection of Mycobacterium bovis (Lou-Franco, J., ... & Cao, C.) Nano Research
- 7. 1,4-BDT mediated Gold Nanostar@Silver Satellites: Synthesis, Characterisation and Application in Mercury Sensing (Ellis, M., Lou-Franco, J., ... & Cao, C.)
- 8. Nanozymes in Point-of-Care Diagnosis: an Emerging Futuristic Approach for Biosensing (Das, B., Lou-Franco, J., ... & Cao, C.) Nano-Micro Letters
- 9. Detection of Mycobacterium bovis using iELISA by biogenic synthesised gold nanoparticles with peroxidase mimicking activity (Das, B., Lou-Franco, J., ... & Cao, C.)

Posters & Other Research outputs

Lou-Franco, J., ... & Cao, C. (2018). Tuneable Plasmonic and Catalytic Gold Nanostars for Surface-Enhanced Resonance Raman Scattering (SERRS): Synthesis, Characterization and Optimization. 28th World Congress on Biosensors (Miami).

Lou-Franco, J., ... & Cao, C. (2019). Retrieving Peroxidase-like Activity of Ligand-capped Gold Nanostars for the Detection of Mycobacterium bovis. 9th International Symposium RAFA (Prague).

Lou-Franco, J., ... & Elliott, C. (2019). Milk pasteurization: could tuberculosis be slipping into our breakfast bowls? New Food Magazine, Dec. 2019.

Acknowledgements



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 720325

























II

ull 🍥









Γ

ull 🥱

Surface plasmon resonance platform

















| Acknowledgments |
|----------------------|
| |
| |
| FoodSmart Nb4D SCSIC |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| ciber-bbn |



























| Lab-on-valve Immunoassay (LOVIA)* | | | | BAM |
|--|--|--|-----------|-------------|
| | | | | |
| CBZ concentration (µ | g L ⁻¹) in wastewater samples obtained by μ -BIS- | LOV and reference methods. | | |
| Influent | 2.2 ± 0.3 | 2.4 ± 0.6 | 2.9 ± 0.3 | 2.22 ± 0.03 |
| * or: (Mie | μ-BIS-LOV cro-bead injection spect | croscopy) | | |
| Ramos II, Carl P, of carbamazepine | Schneider RJ, Segundo MA: Automated lab-on a. Anal Chim Acta 1076 (2019) 91-99 | -valve sequential injection ELISA for detern | nination | |
| Carl P, Ramos II, N-hydroxysuccini | Segundo MA, Schneider RJ: Antibody conjugat mide chemistry for automated immunoassay. F | tion to carboxyl-modified microspheres thro PLoS ONE 14(6) (2019): e0218686 | ugh | |
| 26/11/20 Immunoanalytical platforms for on-site environmental health and food safety testing | | | | 14 |












































FAMILIA TORRES

Family-owned winery founded 1870

OUR WINERIES

- 150th Anniversary 5 generations Member of PFV
- > Historical connection Penedès, Conca de Barberà, Priorat, Costers del Segre
- Later also Rioja, Ribera del Duero, Rueda, Rías Baixas + Chile/California
 2.432 hectares (2.000 Spain, 400 Chile, 32 California); Catalonia 1.800 ha, of
- which 850ha certified organic by CCPAE
- Focus on singular wines that express the landscape of each wine region we are passionate about, recovery of ancestral varieties and climate change.
- Balance between tradition and innovation with special focus on sustainability (Torres & Earth -IWCA)







QUALITY SYSTEM IN VINEYARD

Grape suppliers' advice:

- Phytosanitary treatments
- Grape Maturity
- Grape transportation
- Documentation

Grape quality controls:

- > Maturity control (^obrix: probable alcohol degree, acidity and pH)
- Sanitary status (Gluconic acid)
- Phytosanitary residues. Random test before harvesting
- Documentation (ORGANIC, DO, VARIETY..)



TORRES

QUALITY SYSTEM IN VINEYARD

Grape suppliers' advice:

- Phytosanitary treatments
- Grape Maturity
- Grape transportation
- Documentation

Grape quality controls:

- Maturity control (^obrix: probable alcohol degree, acidity and pH)
- Sanitary status (Gluconic acid)
- Phytosanitary residues. Random test before harvesting
- Documentation (ORGANIC, DO, VARIETY..)

MAIN SAFETY CONCERNS IN FINAL PRODUCT

- Phytosanitary over the legal limit. ORGANIC PRODUCTS
- Production of Ochratoxin A.
- Labelling mistakes. (Origin, organic, variety)

7

TORRES

QUALITY SYSTEM IN WINEMAKING PROCESS

Quality controls:

- Twice a day fermentation control (alcohol degree, volatile acidity, glucose-fructose, etc.
- Legal parameters. (Ochratoxin A, Ethyl carbamate, phytosanitary, Biogenic amines, metals, methanol, SO₂, etc.
- Microbiological control. Inoculum maintenance during the alcoholic and malolactic fermentation
- Traceability of oenological products (vegan, allergenics)



TORRES



QUALITY SYSTEM IN WINEMAKING PROCESS

Quality controls:

- Twice a day fermentation control (alcohol degree, volatile acidity, glucose-fructose, etc.
- Legal parameters. (Ochratoxin A, Ethyl carbamate, phytosanitary, Biogenic amines, metals, methanol, SO₂, etc.
- > Microbiological control. Inoculum maintenance during the alcoholic and malolactic fermentation
- Traceability of oenological products (vegan, allergenics)

MAIN SAFETY CONCERNS IN FINAL PRODUCT

- > Parameters over the legal limit. ORGANIC PRODUCTS.
- Labelling mistakes. (Origin, organic, variety, etc.)
- Healthy concerns: allergenics (milk, egg, SO₂..)
- Organoleptic profile
- Product recall

9

TORRES







TAKE YOUR TIME BEFORE THE FILLING PROCESS



BE AWARE OF THE LEGAL CHANGES (Eurolex, wine association, legal platforms)

QUALITY SYSTEM IN WINEMAKING PROCESS

TORRES

<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header>

11

QUALITY SYSTEM IN FINAL PRODUCT

FILLING PROCESS:

- Routine checklist
- Foreign body
- Microbiological stability. Quarantine.

LABELLING AND CUSTOMER COMPLIANCE:

- > Different country legislation (varieties, allergenic, sugar...)
- Product category
- Organoleptic profile
- Documentation (ORGANIC, DO, VARIETY..)

BE READY TO ACT

TRACEABILITY, BATCH NUMBER MANAGEMENT

Batch number

Allergenics

MANDATORY LABEL INFORMATION (EU):

- Product category*/DOP/IGP
- ➢ % vol.
- Origin*
- Volume
- Operator responsible (importer)
- Sugar content (sparkling)

TORRES

CONCLUSIONS

MOST COMMON ISSUES:

- Foreign bodies in bottles
- > Analytics parameters out of range (SO₂, % vol..)
- Microbiological stability. Quarantine.

QUALITY CHALLENGES:

- > Parameters detection online in the cellar (alcoholic fermentation monitoring)
- Glass detection in glass bottles
- Fast detection of microorganisms
- Phytosanitary detection

CONCLUSION

TORRES

- System based on risk assessment
- Monitoring of regulatory changes
- Keep in contact with costumers





Background

Screening methods, 2002/657/EC methods that are used to detect the presence of a substance or class of substances at the level of interest.

| | Trends in Analytical Chemistry 121 (2015) (10666 | rs o | on the t | opic Biosenuo aud Biosletronio 130 (2019) 245-253 |
|---|--|-------------|---|---|
| ELSEVIER | Contents lists available at ScienceDirect Trends in Analytical Chemistry journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/trac | | ELSEVIER | Contents luss available at ScienceDirect Biosensors and Bioelectronics journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/focate/bios |
| Critical assess confirmatory a allergens A.S. Tsagkaris ⁴ , Y. Zhao ^{5,8} , K. R M.P. Marco ^{6,7} , (| analytical methods for selected food contaminants and foods Under review | MDP | The end user set J.L.D. Nells ⁴ , A.S. TS K. Rafferty ⁶ , J. Hajsl ¹⁰⁰ mus <i>for likeli</i> food Sarayy ments of food sarays and new Page. Card Reptile of of Records. Research of settler | nsor tree: An end-user friendly sensor database agkaris ^b , Y. Zhao ^{6,e} , J. Lou-Franco ⁵ , P. Nolan [*] , H. Zhou ^{6,d} , C. Cao [*] , ova ^b , C.T. Elliott [*] , K. Campbell ^{**} Shird of helped have, Genet David, David for Media, Reveals and the Mice TV Mic, 10 Annual Science, Science and Science of Constraint Constraints and Annual Tree Science and Constraints and generate and Constraints, Constraints, Science of Science and Technic Program Science, Scien |
| 1 2 3 | Optical screening methods for pesticide residue detection in food matrices: Advances and emerg | ing | 1 | Under review Smartphone and microfluidic systems in medical and food analysis |
| 4 | Analytical trends Aristeidis S. Tsagkaris ¹⁹ , Jana Pulkrabova ¹ and Jana Hajslova ¹ Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition, Faculty of Food and Biochemical Technology, Uni | iversity of | 2 3 | A.S. Tsagkaris *', J.L.D. Nelis ^b , K. Campbell ^b , C.T. Elliott ^b , J. <u>Pulkarabova</u> ^s , J. |
| | Chemistry and Technology Prague, Technická 5, 166 28 Prague 6 – Delvice, Prague, Carch Repr • Correspondence: tragkaražívschi.cz | ublic | 4 | Hajslova * |









Silicone tubing= Finger pumping

samples and substrates were put in the silicone tubes, which can be used as a finger-pump



In-house smartphone color reader

- In-house prototype
- Apparatus: FDM 3D-printer (150 EUR) & smartphone (100 EUR)
- Fast manufacturing: < 2h per run
- Cost per "box": < 1 EUR
- Ambient light effect elimination
- Standardized light conditions, flash on







Carbofuran screening in apple extracts

sensors MDPI 20 Article A Hybrid Lab-on-a-Chip Injector System for Intensity 0.05 mg/Kg Autonomous Carbofuran Screening Aristeidis S. Tsagkaris ^{1,} *¹, Jana Pulkrabova ¹, Jana Hajslova ¹ and Daniel Filippini ^{2,*} blank Department of Food Analysis and Nutrition, Faculty of Food and Biochemical Technology, University of Chemistry and Technology Prague, Technická 5, 6–Dejvice, 166 28 Prague, Czech Republic; 0 pulkrabj@vscht.cz (J.P.); hajslovj@vscht.cz (J.H.) Optical Devices Laboratory, Department of Physics, Chemistry and Biology-IFM, Linköping University, Frames 5000 0 5-58183 Linköping, Sweden Correspondence: tsagkara@vscht.cz (A.S.T.); daniel.filippini@liu.se (D.F.) 600 carbofuran concentration sample spiked (mg Kg⁻¹) found (mg Kg⁻¹) 0 not detected a PC2 9.3% 0 b not detected 0 not detected C 0 blank d not detected 0.050 0.049 a 0.050 b 0.048 > 0.05mg/Kg 0.050 blank 0.049 -400 C 0.050 0.050 mg/kg 0.051 d -1000 PC1 86.6% 750





- Common matrix: urine
- Up to 48 h to be released in urine
- Common detection method: chromatographic methods



- 1. Intoxication cannot be monitored during the early stage
- 2. Chrom. methods: rather costly, timeconsuming and need highly qualified laboratory staff









PHOTON FOOD





- Preceding EU projects led by consortium members
- Separation and pre-concentration by paper-based microfluidics
- New light sources and photonics devices
- · Hand-held and portable solution
- Validation
- Key numbers

PHOTON FOOD

4



Preceding EU projects led by consortium members

| | Relevance for PHOTONFOOD | |
|--|---|--|
| | | |
| FUST - Source tracking and monitoring of mould contamination in food production (FP7-SME-2012 315271-FUST) (2012-2014). | Development of automated lab system for source tracking of microorganisms along the food production chain. Benchmarking. | |
| MYCOSPEC - Novel infrared Spectroscopic tools fo Mycotoxin Determination (FP7-SME-2012-314018 MYCOSPEC) (2013-2016). | QLCs and thin-film GaAs/AlGaAs waveguide technology. Benchmarking for mycotoxin contamination in PHOTONFOOD. | |
| | | |

















New solution

- a) The use of the MI-FI device for daily monitoring, and
- b) the HI-FI device for reference analysis along the value chain. Compared to existing testing off-site, considerably more data will be collected.
- c) Data transfer to platforms and data integration will define a new paradigm for food safety control and reduction of food waste, and thereby represent a disruptive technology in agronomy and food industries.
- d) PHOTONFOOD devices will feature two different types of photonic technologies (IC-LED and ICL/QCL), and waveguides.
- e) a paper-based microfluidic sample handling unit, for detection of minute concentrations of contaminants



© PHOTONFOOD | Smart Tech for Food workshop| 26.11.2020







18

PHOTON FOOD





